

Stage 2 Detailed Site Investigation

120C OLD CANTERBURY ROAD, SUMMER HILL

Prepared for The Yard 120c

18/02/21









Prepared for	The Yard 120c
Report Name	120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill
File Reference	10791EV.P.117-R02
Job Reference	10791EV.P.117
Date	18/02/21
Version Number	[00]

Construction Sciences	ABN 74 128 806 735
Phone	+61 1300 165 769
Email	Jessica.Brodie@constructionsciences.net
Address	Unit 2, 4 Kellogg Road, Rooty Hill, NSW 2766

Nalin De Silva Principal Environmental Consultant Effective date: 18/02/202118/02/2021

Jessica Brodie Graduate Environmental Consultant Date approved: 18/02/202118/02/2021

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Version	Effective Date	Revision	Author	Reviewer	Recipient
Final	18 February 2021		Jessica Brodie	Nalin De Silva	Jamie Howieson The Yard 120C

© Construction Sciences. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Construction Sciences and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Construction Sciences.

This document is produced by Construction Sciences solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Construction Sciences does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.



INFORMATION ABOUT THIS REPORT

LIMITATIONS

Scope of Services: The report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services set out in CS's Proposal under CS's Terms of Engagement, or as otherwise agreed with the Client. The scope of services may have been limited and/or amended by a range of factors including time, budget, access and site constraints.

Specific Purpose: The report is provided for the specific development and purpose as described in the report. The report may not contain sufficient information for developments or purposes other than that described in the report.

Currency of Information: The information in this report is considered accurate at the date of issue with regard to the current conditions of the site.

Reliance on Information: In preparing the report, CS (and the report Certifier if applicable) have necessarily relied upon information provided by the Client and/or their Agents. Such data may include surveys, analyses, designs, maps and plans. CS has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data except as stated in this report.

Copyright and Reproduction: The contents of this document are and remain the intellectual property of CS. This document should only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and should not be used for other projects or by a third party. This report shall not be reproduced either totally or in part without the permission of CS. Where information from this report is to be included in contract documents or engineering specification for the project, the entire report should be included in order to minimise the likelihood of misinterpretation.

Construction Specifications: Unless otherwise stated, the report, or sections of the report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by CS.

Report Should Not Be Separated: The report must be read in conjunction with the attached Information Sheets and any other explanatory notes and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections.

Review by Others: CS cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions from review by others of this report or test data, which are not otherwise supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

GENERAL NOTES

Geotechnical and Environmental Reporting: Geotechnical and environmental reporting relies on the interpretation of factual information based on judgment and opinion and is far less exact than other engineering or design disciplines. Geotechnical and environmental reports are for a specific purpose, development and site as described in the report and may not contain sufficient information for other purposes, developments or sites (including adjacent sites) other than that described in the report.

Subsurface Conditions: Subsurface conditions can change with time and can vary between test locations. For example, the actual interface between the materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than indicated and contaminant presence may be affected by spatial and temporal patterns. Therefore, actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ from those predicted since no subsurface investigation, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal all subsurface details and anomalies. Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes or groundwater fluctuations can also affect subsurface conditions and thus the continuing adequacy of a geotechnical report. CS should be kept informed of any such events and should be retained to identify variances, conduct additional tests if required, and recommend solutions to problems encountered on site.

Groundwater: Groundwater levels indicated on borehole and test pit logs are recorded at specific times. Depending on ground permeability, measured levels may or may not reflect actual levels if measured over a longer time period. Also, groundwater levels and seepage inflows may fluctuate with seasonal and environmental variations and construction activities.

Interpretation of Data: Data obtained from nominated discrete locations, subsequent laboratory testing and empirical or external sources are interpreted by trained professionals in order to provide an opinion about overall site conditions, their likely impact with respect to the report purpose and recommended actions in accordance with any relevant industry standards, guidelines or procedures.

Soil and Rock Descriptions: Soil and rock descriptions are based on AS 1726 – 1993, using visual and tactile assessment except at discrete locations where field and / or laboratory tests have been carried out. Refer to the accompanying soil and rock terms sheet for further information.

Further Advice: CS would be pleased to further discuss how any of the above issues could affect a specific project. We would also be pleased to provide further advice or assistance including:

- Assessment of suitability of designs and construction techniques;
- Contract documentation and specification;
- Construction control testing (earthworks, pavement materials, concrete);
- Construction advice (foundation assessments, excavation support).



Executive Summary

Construction Sciences Pty Ltd (CS) was engaged by The Yard 120c, to undertake a stage 2 detailed site investigation (DSI) for land located at 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill (the site).

At the commencement of this work, CS understood:

- > The site is currently owned by The Yard 120C;
- > The site is currently utilised as a storage space;
- > The site is proposed for a land use scenario¹ comprising:
 - Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access including dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high rise buildings and flats.
 - Commercial / industrial such as shops, offices, factories and industrial sites.
- > The proposed land use scenario assumes a reticulated potable water supply will be available at the site; and
- > This DSI is required to address:
- > the findings of the stage 1 preliminary site investigation prepared by Network Geotechnics (NG) in 2018; and
- > development consent planning decision making processes referred to in State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) No. 55

The objectives of this project were to:

The objectives of this project were to:

- Assess the potential for contamination to be present at the site, arising from past and present land use activities;
- > Provide advice on whether the site is suitable, in the context of land contamination, for the proposed land use scenario; and
- Provide recommendations for supplementary investigations, contamination management, or remedial works.

The scope of work undertaken to address the project objectives included:

- > A desktop review of site history;
- > A walkover of the site;
- > Soil and water sampling;
- > Laboratory analysis; and
- > Data assessment and reporting.

The scope of works was undertaken with reference to the relevant sections of NEPC (2013), NSW EPA (2020b) and WA DOH (2009.

¹ Adopted from Section 2.2 of NEPC (2013a) and Section 3 of NEPC (2013e)



A number of areas of environmental concern (AEC) on the site, where potential land contaminating activities may have occurred, have been identified for the site, based on the site history review and site walkover observations.

The identified AEC and the COPC associated with those AEC are presented in the table below as the conceptual site model.

ID	AEC	Source	СОРС
AEC01	Site	Uncontrolled filling (~2000m ²)	Hydrocarbons, PAH, OCP, PCB,
		Demolition waste storage	metals, asbestos, aesthetics.
		Metal scrap storage	
		Chemical storage	

Following assessment and analysis of the aforementioned COPCs, the finalised CSM at the completion of the stage 2 detailed site investigation works, is presented below.

ID	AEC	Source	СОРС	
AEC01	Site	Uncontrolled filling (~2000m ²) Demolition waste storage Metal scrap storage Chemical storage	Hydrocarbons	Material down to a minimum of 3m to be excavated and disposed of offsite to facilitate basement construction. No further assessment required.

Based on CS's assessment of desktop review information, fieldwork observations and laboratory analytical data, CS makes the following conclusions:

- > the site is considered to be **suitable** for the following land use scenario, following the excavation and removal of fill material:
 - Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access including dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high rise buildings and flats.

This report must be read in conjunction with the Information About This Report page at the front of this report.

Contents

1	Intro	duction		8	
	1.1	Backgro	und	8	
	1.2	Objectiv	'es	8	
	1.3	Scope o	f Work	8	
2	Site I	dentificat	tion	10	
	2.1	Site Loca	ality	10	
	2.2	Site Layo	out	10	
	2.3	Lot Num	nber and Deposited Plan	10	
	2.4	Local Go	overnment Authority	10	
	2.5	Zoning		10	
	2.6	Geograp	phic Coordinates	10	
	2.7	Detail ar	nd Level Survey	10	
3	Geolo not d	ogy, Topo lefined.	ography, Elevation, Hydrogeology, Hydrology and Acid Sulfate SoilsError! Boo	kmark	
	3.1	Geology	/	11	
	3.2	Topography and Elevation			
	3.3	Hydrogeology and Hydrology1			
	3.4	4 Acid Sulfate Soils1			
4	Previ	ous Cont	amination Assessments	12	
	4.1	Network Geotechnics (2018)			
	4.2	JK Geotechnics (2020)			
	4.3	Constru	ction Sciences (2021)	13	
5	Conc	eptual Sit	te Model	14	
	5.1	Sources	of Contamination	14	
	5.2	Land Us	e Scenario	14	
		5.2.1	Adopted Land Use Scenario	14	
		5.2.2	Assumptions for Adopted Land Use Scenario	14	
	5.3	Recepto	rs	15	
		5.3.1	Identified Receptors	15	
		5.3.2	Assumptions for Identified Receptors	15	
	5.4	Exposur	e Pathways	15	
		5.4.1	Human Health	15	
		5.4.2	Hazardous Ground Gases		
		5.4.3	Aestnetics	16 17	
		5.4.4		17	



		5.4.5	Groundwater			
		5.4.6	Terrestrial Ecosystems			
	5.5	Concep	otual Site Model	20		
6	Data	Quality	Objectives			
	6.1	Step 1:	State the problem	22		
	6.2	Step 2: Identify the decision/goal of the study				
	6.3	Step 3:	Identify the information inputs	22		
	6.4	Step 4: Define the boundaries of the study				
	6.5	Step 5: Develop the analytical approach				
		6.5.1	Duplicates and Triplicates	24		
		6.5.2	Trip Blanks and Trip Spikes	24		
		6.5.3	Rinsate Blanks	24		
		6.5.4	Field Blanks	24		
		6.5.5	Laboratory Quality Assurance and Quality Control	25		
		6.5.6	Data Quality Indicators	25		
		6.5.7	If/Then Statements	27		
	6.6	Step 6:	Specify the performance or acceptance criteria	27		
		6.6.1	If / Then Decisions	27		
	6.7	Step 7:	Develop the plan for obtaining data			
		6.7.1	Sampling Point Density and Locations			
		6.7.2	Sampling Method – Soils	29		
		6.7.3	Sampling Method - Groundwater	29		
		6.7.4	Field Screening			
		6.7.5	Decontamination			
		6.7.6	Sample Identification, Preservation, Handling and Transport			
		6.7.7	Laboratory Selection			
		6.7.8	Laboratory Analytical Schedule			
		6.7.9	Laboratory Holding Times, Analytical Methods and Limits of Reporting	31		
7	Field	work				
	7.1	Soils				
		7.1.1	Sampling			
		7.1.2	Site Specific Geology			
		7.1.3	Potential Asbestos Containing Materials			
		7.1.4	Odours			
		7.1.5	Staining			
		7.1.6	Headspace Screening			
	7.2	Ground	dwater			
		7.2.1	Monitoring Well Installation			
		7.2.2	Groundwater Sampling			
8	Labo	oratory		40		
9	Asse	essment o	of Data Quality Indicators	41		
10	Disc	ussion				
	10.1	Human	h Health - Dermal Contact / Ingestion / Dust Inhalation			



	10.2	Human H	Health - Asbestos	42
		10.2.1	Bonded Asbestos Containing Materials	42
		10.2.2	Fibrous Asbestos / Asbestos Fines	42
	10.3	Aesthetic	CS	42
	10.4	Manager	ment Limits for Petroleum Hydrocarbons	43
	10.5	Groundw	vater	43
		10.5.1	Aquatic ecosystem protection	43
11	Revis	ed Conce	ptual Site Model	44
12	Duty	to Report	t Contamination	45
	12.1	On-site S	Soil Contamination	45
	12.2	Off-site S	Soil Contamination	45
	12.3	Foreseea	able Contamination of Neighbouring Land	45
13	Concl	usions an	nd Recommendations	46
14	Refer	ences		47

Appendices

10791EV.P.117 FIGURES

10791EV.P.117 TABLES

APPENDIX A SITE SURVEY

APPENDIX B LOGS

APPENDIX C CALIBRATION

APPENDIX D and E WELL SURVEY DATA and GME FIELD FORMS

APPENDIX F LABORATORY

APPENDIX G DATA QUALITY INDICATORS



1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Construction Sciences Pty Ltd (CS) was engaged by The Yard 120c, to undertake a stage 2 detailed site investigation (DSI) for land located at 120C Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill (the site).

At the commencement of this work, CS understood:

- > The site is currently owned by The Yard 120C;
- > The site is currently utilised as a storage space;
- > The site is proposed for a land use scenario² comprising:
 - Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access including dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high rise buildings and flats.
 - Commercial / industrial such as shops, offices, factories and industrial sites.
- > The proposed land use scenario assumes a reticulated potable water supply will be available at the site; and
- > This DSI is required to address:
 - the findings of the stage 1 preliminary site investigation prepared by Network Geotechnics (NG) in 2018; and
 - development consent planning decision making processes referred to in State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) No. 55.

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this project were to:

- Assess the potential for contamination to be present at the site, arising from past and present land use activities;
- > Provide advice on whether the site is suitable, in the context of land contamination, for the proposed land use scenario; and
- Provide recommendations for supplementary investigations, contamination management, or remedial works.

1.3 Scope of Work

The scope of work undertaken to address the project objectives included:

- A desktop review of site history;
- A walkover of the site;

² Adopted from Section 2.2 of NEPC (2013a) and Section 3 of NEPC (2013e)



- Soil and groundwater sampling;
- Laboratory analysis; and
- Data assessment and reporting.

The scope of works was undertaken with reference to the relevant sections of NEPC (2013) and NSW EPA (2020b).



2 Site Identification

2.1 Site Locality

The locality of the site is presented in Figure 1.

2.2 Site Layout

The site covers an area of approximately 1956m².

The general layout of the site is present in Figure 2. The layout plan also includes locations on site of:

- Established site access points; and
- Surface water bodies on site and immediately adjacent to the site;

2.3 Lot Number and Deposited Plan

The site is identified as Lot 1 in DP817359, Lot 100 in DP875660, and part Lot 1 SP88286.

2.4 Local Government Authority

The local government authority for the site is Inner West Council.

2.5 Zoning

The local government authority's local environment plan (LEP), indicates that the site is currently zoned B4 Mixed Use.

2.6 Geographic Coordinates

The geographic coordinates of the general centre of the site obtained from Google Earth were 33°53'42" S and 151°08'35" E.

2.7 Detail and Level Survey

A copy of a detail and level survey of the site is presented in Appendix A.



3 Site Characterisation

3.1 Geology

The Department of Mineral Resources Geological Survey of NSW Sydney 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 9130 (Edition 1) 1983, indicated that the site is underlain by Ashfield Shale bordering an alluvial canal.

3.2 Topography and Elevation

A detail and level survey plan of the site indicated that:

- the topography of the site is generally flat with some minor north facing slopes; and
- the surface of the site was located at an elevation of approximately 9m Australian Height Datum (AHD) in the north and 18m AHD in the south.

3.3 Hydrogeology and Hydrology

NSW Water Realtime Data reported that there are no registered groundwater features located within a 500m radius of the site.

A review of readily available maps held on file by CS, indicated that surface water bodies near the site included:

- Hawthorn Canal, bordering the site in the north and east.
- Iron Cove, located approximately 2,500m to the north.
- Cooks River, located approximately 2,200m to the south.

Based on the location of the identified surface water courses and site topography, the inferred groundwater flow direction at the site is considered likely to be towards the north east.

Based on site surface topography and elevation, the inferred general surface water flow direction on the site is considered likely to be towards the north east.

3.4 Acid Sulfate Soils

A review of the NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation's Acid Sulfate Soil Risk Map for Botany Bay (Edition 2, 1997), indicated that:

- the site is located in a map class description of 'no known occurrence' where acid sulfate soils are not known or expected to occur in these environments; and
- land management activities are not likely to be affected by acid sulfate soil materials.

Further assessment of acid sulfate soils, in the context of this project is considered not warranted.



4 Previous Contamination Assessments

A copy of:

- > NG 2018, 'Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigation, 120c Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill, NSW' dated February 2018, ref: G09-2641.
- > JKG 2020, 'Geotechincal Assessment, 120c Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill, NSW' dated 20 March 2020, ref: P512895.
- > CS 2021, 'Addendum to Preliminary Contamination Assessment, 120c Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill, NSW' dated 20 January 2021, ref: 10791EV.P.117 – R1.

was provided to CS for review during this project.

4.1 Network Geotechnics (2018)

The objective of NG (2018) was to identify potential contamination risks at the site, in order to provide recommendations on site suitability in relation the proposed development.

The scope of work undertaken to address the project objective included a site walkover and a desktop review of the site.

Based on the observations made during the site walkover and information obtained during the desktop review, NG (2018) made the following conclusions:

- > The site contains fill, and had been used as storage for shipping containers.
- > There was no concern for potential contamination in relation to the proposed development plan at the time, which did not include the three level basement that is now proposed.

4.2 JK Geotechnics (2020)

The objective of JK Geotechnics (2020) was to review geotechnical and subsurface conditions in relation to further excavation for the proposed development including a three-level basement carpark.

The scope of work undertaken to address the project objective included a document review of a previous geotechnical assessment (JKG, dated 2013) and report preparation. This report noted:

- > the site was underlain with shallow fill containing bricks, concrete, ash and clay;
- > Residual clay and sandstone bed rock was found below fill; and
- > Groundwater was encountered in some boreholes at about 2m depth

Based on the observations made during the document review, JKG (2020) proposed the following management strategy in relation to contamination:

 The development of three groundwater monitoring wells due to the expectation of seepage during basement construction;



4.3 Construction Sciences (2020)

The objective of CS (2020) was to provide an addendum to the preliminary site investigation conducted by NG (2018), required due to a change in development plans including a new excavation depth of up to 9m BGL. This involved assessing additional risk due to potential site contamination from the impact of proposed development, including the 3-level basement car park.

The scope of work undertaken to address the project objective included a document review of a previous preliminary site investigation (NG, dated 2018) and addendum preparation.

Based on the observations made during the addendum, CS drew the following conclusions:

> The conclusions made about site suitability in NG (2018) are no longer applicable due to the change in proposed development for the site (excavation to 9m BGL for a three level basement carpark), and further investigation of contamination is required.



5 Conceptual Site Model

The site history review and observations made during the site walkover, were assessed in the context of the project objectives, in order to develop a conceptual site model (CSM) for the site.

5.1 Sources of Contamination

A number of potential land contaminating activities have been identified for the site, based on the site history review and site walkover observations. These include:

- Uncontrolled filling;
- Vehicle servicing;
- Demolition waste storage; and
- Chemical storage.

Table J1 in Appendix J of AS 4482.1-2005 and Appendix A in DUAP (1998) provides guidance on chemicals associated with the land uses activities. That guidance provides a basis for deciding on contaminants of potential concern (COPC) for each relevant land use activity. Information on COPC adopted for this investigation is presented in Section 5.5 of this report.

5.2 Land Use Scenario

5.2.1 Adopted Land Use Scenario

For the purpose of this investigation, CS understands that the proposed land use scenario for the site includes:

• Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access including dwellings with fully and permanently paved yard space such as high rise buildings and flats.

5.2.2 Assumptions for Adopted Land Use Scenario

Section 3 of NEPC (2013e) advises that the residential with minimal access to soil land use scenario includes high-density residential, not including a private garden. This land use scenario assumes typical residential unit blocks, consisting of multistorey buildings where living areas are on the ground floor (constructed on a ground level slab or above subsurface structures including basement car parks or storage areas).

Occupants of the buildings would have access to yard spaces that are largely covered by permanent paving, with some small areas of landscaping or lawns. Opportunities for direct access to soil by residents of these buildings are therefore minimal but there may be some potential for residents to inhale, ingest or come into direct dermal contact with dust (particulates) derived from the soil on the site.

The scenario does not include landscaped/playground (including sandpit) areas used for recreation within a high-density development. These are considered a 'public open space' land use scenario.



5.3 Receptors

5.3.1 Identified Receptors

Based on the adopted land use scenario, CS considers receptors at the site may include residents, workers, intrusive maintenance workers, ecological (aquatic) ecosystems.

5.3.2 Assumptions for Identified Receptors

The human receptors at a residential with minimal access to soils site, would typically include adults, children and infants who spend the majority of their time indoors within the residential properties, with some limited use of communal outdoor areas on site. The residents that are considered to be most susceptible to health risks associated with soil contaminants are the residents of ground floor units, due to the greatest potential for outdoor soil to be tracked indoors and vapour intrusion occurring with residences immediately overlying contaminated soil.

5.4 Exposure Pathways

5.4.1 Human Health

5.4.1.1 Dermal Contact / Ingestion / Dust Inhalation

Site history information and walkover observations indicated a potential for contaminants to be present in soils at the site, which may present a dermal contact or ingestion risk to human health.

The proposed land use scenario is likely to include exposure to fill material during below-ground carpark construction, where a pathway between identified receptors and direct contact / ingestion contaminant sources, may be complete.

Further assessment of dermal contact, dust inhalation and ingestion risk is considered warranted.

5.4.1.2 Vapour Intrusion / Inhalation

Vapour intrusion / inhalation exposure risks to human health can occur when a primary or secondary vapour source³ is present.

Site history information and walkover observations did not indicate a potential for vapour sources to be present at the site.

Site history information and walkover observations did not indicate a potential for significantly contaminated groundwater to be present on the site.

Site history information and walkover observations also indicated a potential for historical uncontrolled filling. However, CS considers that:

- the transport, placement and spreading of uncontrolled filling typically includes significant disturbance of soils, which would typically result in the volatilisation of contaminants that might normally present an intrusion / inhalation risk; and
- the potential for contaminants to be present in uncontrolled filling at concentrations which could present an intrusion / inhalation risk, is low.

³ Primary sources can include underground storage tanks, while secondary sources can include significantly contaminated soil or groundwater.



Further assessment of vapour intrusion / inhalation risks associated with the uncontrolled filling, is considered not warranted.

5.4.1.3 Asbestos

Bonded asbestos containing materials (ACM) comprises asbestos which is in sound condition, although possibly broken or fragmented, and where the asbestos is bound in a matrix such as cement or resin.

Fibrous asbestos (FA) comprises friable asbestos material and includes severely weathered cement sheet, insulation products and woven asbestos material, which can be broken or crumbled by hand pressure.

Asbestos fines (AF) include free fibres, small fibre bundles and small fragments of bonded ACM that can pass through a 7mm x 7mm sieve.

Asbestos poses a risk to human health when asbestos fibres are made airborne and inhaled. The assessment of sites contaminated with asbestos in soil should aim to describe the nature and quantity of asbestos in soil in sufficient detail to enable a risk management plan to be developed for the proposed land use scenario.

Site history information and walkover observations indicate a potential for bonded ACM, FA and/or AF to be present in soils at the site.

The proposed land use scenario is not likely to include unsealed and open space areas, however intrusive works for the below-ground carpark construction creates a potential pathway between identified receptors and asbestos in soils.

Further assessment of asbestos exposure risk is considered warranted.

5.4.2 Hazardous Ground Gases

NSW EPA (2020a) provides advice on ground gases that if present in the pore space of soils and rocks, and can adversely impact human health and safety or the integrity of structures. The ground gases that are generally of concern in this context are:

- Bulk ground gases, including methane, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, hydrogen sulphide, and petroleum vapours; and
- > Trace ground gases including radon, volatile organic compounds and mercury vapour.

CS has reviewed desktop site history information and site walkover data in the context of sources and origins of hazardous ground gases in Table 1 and Table 2 of NSW EPA (2020a). Based on that review, CS is of the opinion that further assessment of hazardous ground gases in the context of the stage 1 PSI conducted by NG (2018), is considered not warranted.

5.4.3 Aesthetics

CS has used the guidance in Section 3.6.2 and Section 3.6.3 of NEPC (2013a) to facilitate an assessment of site history review information and site walkover observations, in the context of aesthetics risk and the sensitivity of the proposed land use. For example, higher expectations apply to residential properties with gardens compared with industrial settings.



Table 5.4 Preliminary Aesthetics Risk Screening

Preliminary Aesthetics Risk Screening Questions	Potenti al
Is there a potential for highly malodorous soils or extracted groundwater (e.g. strong residual petroleum hydrocarbon odours, hydrogen sulphide in soil or extracted groundwater, organosulfur compounds) to be present on site?	No
Is there a hydrocarbon sheen on surface waters on site?	No
Is there potential for discoloured chemical deposits or soil staining with chemical waste other than of a very minor nature, on be present in site soils;	Yes
Is there potential for large monolithic deposits of otherwise low risk material, e.g. gypsum as powder or plasterboard or cement kiln dust, to be present in site soils;	No
Is there potential for the presence of putrescible refuse including material that may generate hazardous levels of methane such as a deep fill profile of green waste or large quantities of timber waste, in site soils?	No
Is there potential for soils containing residue from animal burial (e.g. former abattoir sites) to be onsite.	No
Is there a potential for large quantities of non-hazardous inert material to be present in site soils?	No
Is there a potential for high odour residue material to be present in site soils?	No
Is there a potential for large quantities of various fill types and demolition rubble to be present in site soils proposed for residential land use?	Yes

The historical records review, observations made during the site walkover and results of the preliminary risk screening, identified the following potential aesthetics risks for the site:

- Remnant road base and asphalt coverage on site surface; and
- Fill material containing demolition rubble along the batter in the southern portion of the site;

Further assessment of aesthetic risks on site, is considered warranted.

5.4.4 Management Limits for Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Section 2.9 of NEPC (2013a) indicates that there are a number of policy considerations which reflect the nature and properties of petroleum hydrocarbons:

- Formation of observable light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL);
- Fire and explosive hazards; and
- Effects on buried infrastructure e.g. penetration of, or damage to, in-ground services by hydrocarbons.

Section 2.9 of NEPC (2013a) notes that CME (2008) includes management limits to avoid or minimise these potential effects. Application of management limits requires consideration of site specific factors such as depth of building basements and services, and depth to groundwater, to determine the maximum depth to which the limits should apply. NEPC (2013a) also states that:



- management limits may have less relevance at operating industrial sites (including mine sites) which have no or limited sensitive receptors in the area of potential impact.
- the presence of site total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) contamination at the levels of the management limits does not imply that there is no need for administrative notification or controls in accordance with jurisdiction requirements.

Site history information and walkover observations indicated a potential these policy considerations to be associated with identified AEC at the site, in the context of the proposed future land use scenario. On that basis, further assessment of petroleum hydrocarbons in soils in the context of those policy considerations, is considered warranted.

5.4.5 Groundwater

Section 2.2 of NSW DEC (2007) provides guidance on the need for the potential for groundwater contamination to be assessed, for the purposes of evaluating whether it may pose an unacceptable risk to human health and/or the environment.

Section 3.2 of NEPC (2013d) provides guidance on the environmental values (that are conducive to public benefit, welfare, safety or health) and that require protection from the effects of pollution, waste discharge and deposits. These values include:

- Ecosystem protection;
- Aquaculture and human consumers of food;
- Agricultural water (irrigation and stock water);
- Recreation and aesthetics;
- Drinking water; and
- Industrial water.

Each of these values is considered in the following sub-sections.

5.4.5.1 Aquatic ecosystem protection

The nearest surface water body likely to host an aquatic ecosystem, is considered likely to be polluted and be of a quality that is not consistent with natural background water quality.

Given the combination of

- The nearest water body being sealed (Hawthorn Canal);
- regional geology (which is likely to include low permeability clays); and

it is considered unlikely that those contaminants would become sufficiently mobile, migrate into groundwater and subsequently be transported to the nearest surface water receptor.

However, given the likely nature of the contaminants of potential concern on the site, CS considers that further assessment of aquatic ecosystem protection as a groundwater value is warranted.



5.4.5.2 Aquaculture and human consumers of food

The nearest surface water body (Hawthorn Canal) is located on adjacent to the site, however it is up gradient and sealed. On that basis, it is unlikely that site occupants would frequent that surface water body for the collection and consumption of aquatic based foods.

Based on these scenarios, CS considers that further assessment of aquaculture and human consumers of food as a groundwater value, is not warranted.

5.4.5.3 Agricultural water (irrigation and stock water)

Section 3.3 of this report did not identify any registered groundwater bores within a 500m radius of the site, authorised for irrigation or stock watering purposes.

Urban development both on the site and on land down gradient of the site, is likely to prevent agricultural land use activities from being undertaken. Subsequently, extraction of groundwater for agricultural purposes in the future is considered unlikely.

Based on these scenarios, CS considers that further assessment of agricultural water as a groundwater value, is not warranted.

5.4.5.4 Recreation and aesthetics

The nearest surface water body is a sealed canal that is unlikely to be used recreationally. On that basis, it is unlikely that the surface water body would be used for:

- sports in which the user comes into frequent direct contact with water, either as part of the activity or accidentally, for example, swimming or surfing (primary contact);
- sports that generally have less-frequent body contact with the water, for example, boating or fishing (secondary contact); or
- visual passive recreational use, for example, pleasant places to be near or to look at (no body contact).

CS considers that further assessment of recreation and aesthetics as a groundwater value, is not warranted.

5.4.5.5 Drinking water

Section 3.3 of this report did not identify any registered groundwater bores within a 500m radius of the site, authorised for drinking water purposes.

The current / future land use scenario for the site includes a reticulated drinking water system. Urban development surrounding the site is also likely to include a reticulated drinking water system.

CS considers that further assessment of drinking water as a groundwater value, is not warranted.

5.4.5.6 Industrial water

Section 3.3 of this report did not identify any registered groundwater bores within a 500m radius of the site, authorised for industrial purposes.

Urban residential development / commercial development / agricultural land use both on the site and on land down gradient of the site, is likely to prevent industrial activities from being undertaken. Subsequently, extraction of groundwater for industrial purposes in the future is considered unlikely.



Based on these scenarios, CS considers that further assessment of industrial water as a groundwater value, is not warranted.

5.4.6 Terrestrial Ecosystems

Site history information and walkover observations indicated a potential for contaminants, which may present an ecological risk, may be present on site.

Section 3.4.2 of NEPC (2013a) indicates that:

- a pragmatic risk-based approach should be taken when assessing ecological risk in residential and commercial / industrial land use settings;
- in existing residential and urban development sites, there are often practical considerations that enable soil properties to be improved by addition of ameliorants with a persistent modifying effect or by the common practice of backfilling or top dressing with clean soil;
- in other cases, all of the site soils will be removed during site development works or relocated for the formation of new land forms;
- sites may also be backfilled with clean soil/fill and the fate of any excavated contaminated soil should be considered in process; and
- commercial and industrial sites may have large building structures and extensive areas covered with concrete, other pavement or hardstand materials and may have limited environmental values requiring consideration while in operational use.

The proposed land use scenario is not likely to include unsealed, open space and landscaped areas, where an ecological exposure pathway may be complete.

On that basis, further assessment of terrestrial ecosystem exposure risks is not considered warranted.

5.5 Conceptual Site Model

A conceptual site model (CSM) is a representation of site-related information regarding contamination sources and receptors, and exposure pathways between those sources and receptors.

Based on:

- the areas of environmental concern (AEC) at the site where sources of contamination may be present;
- the contaminants of potential concern (COPC) identified for the site;
- receptors identified for the site; and
- the exposure pathways between those sources and receptors assessed as being potentially or actually complete,

a CSM is presented for the site in Table 5.5.



Table 5.5 Conceptual Site Model

ID	AEC	Source	COPC	Exposure Pathway	Receptor
AEC01	Site	Uncontrolled filling Demolition waste storage Metal scrap storage Chemical storage	Hydrocarbons, PAH, OCP, PCB, metals, asbestos, aesthetics.	Dermal contact Soil Ingestion Dust inhalation Aesthetics Management Limits	Residents Workers (Construction and Maintenance) Aquatic Ecosystems



6 Data Quality Objectives

Appendix B in NEPC (2013b) provides guidance on the data quality objective (DQO) process, which is a seven step iterative planning approach that can be used to define the type, quantity and quality of data needed to inform decisions relating to the environmental condition of a site.

6.1 Step 1: State the problem

The reason the project is being undertaken, is set out in Section 1.1 of this report.

The objective of this project is set out in Section 0 of this report.

The project team and technical support experts identified for the project include the CS project director, CS project manager, CS field staff and CS's subcontractors.

The design and undertaking of this project will be constrained by the client's financial and time budgets.

The regulatory authorities associated with this project include NSW EPA, the local planning authority, and SafeWork NSW.

6.2 Step 2: Identify the decision/goal of the study

The decisions that need to be made during this project, to address the project objectives, include:

- > Is the data collected for the project, suitable for assessing land contamination exposure risks?
- > Do the detected concentrations of contaminants of potential concern identified in the CSM, present an unacceptable exposure risk to the receptors identified in the CSM, based on the proposed land use scenario?
- > Is the site suitable, in the context of land contamination, for the proposed land use scenario?

6.3 Step 3: Identify the information inputs

The information inputs required to make the decisions for the project set out in Section 6.2, include:

- > Data obtained during the site history review and site walkover;
- > Identification of sample media that needs to be collected, as set out in Section 6.7;
- > Parameters that will be measured in each relevant sample, as set out in Section 6.7;
- > The analytical methods required for each identified COPC, so that assessment can be made relative to adopted site criteria. These are set out in Section 6.7 of this report; and
- > The basis for decisions to be made from field screening, including photo-ionisation detector (PID) data, and what action is to be taken if a defined concentration is attained, as set out in Section 6.7; and
- > The site criteria for the media of concern. These criteria are set out in Table 6.3.1 and will be adopted based on the proposed land use scenario⁴ and identified receptors.

⁴ The land use scenarios in Section 2.2 of NEPC (2013a) will be considered when adopting human health assessment criteria. The land use scenarios in Section 2.5 of NEPC (2013a) will be considered when adopting ecological assessment criteria.

Exposure Pathway	Land Use Setting⁵	Reference	
Human health direct contact	HIL B - Residential with minimal opportunities for soil access	Table 1A(1) in NEPC (2013a) Table B4 in Friebel, E & Nadebaum P (2011)	
Human health (asbestos)	Residential B	Table 7 in NEPC (2013a) ⁶	
Human health (aesthetics)	All	Characteristics and processes in Section 3.6.2 and 3.6.3 in NEPC (2013a)	
Groundwater	Aquatic (freshwater or marine)	Table 1C in NEPC (2013a) ANZG (2018) (ANZG (2018) (https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz- guidelines/guideline- values/default/water-quality- toxicants/search).	
Management Limits (petroleum hydrocarbons)	Residential, parkland and public open space	Table 1B(7) in NEPC (2013a)	

Table 6.3.1 Adopted Site Assessment Criteria

6.4 Step 4: Define the boundaries of the study

The geographical and spatial extent of the project will be limited to:

- > the site as defined by the boundaries set out in Section 2; and
- > any physical constraints or existing infrastructure on site that prevents safe and reasonable access by the project team and/or typical industry equipment used for projects of this nature.

The time and budget constraints of the project will be as per those set out in the contract (and subsequent variations) between CS and the client.

The temporal boundaries of the project will include:

- Weather conditions including rain, wind, heat and cold, which may adversely affect execution of fieldwork tasks and/or data quality;
- > Availability of the site for access to execute fieldwork tasks; and
- > Availability of project team members to execute the project.

The lateral and vertical intervals in which contamination distribution is believed to be uniformly distributed, based on the CSM, will be:

⁵ Consideration will be given to soil type, soil texture, soil depth, groundwater depth and appropriate species protection levels.

⁶ A depth of up to 10cm below ground level is adopted to define 'surface soil'.



- The inferred lateral boundaries of each AEC, including groundwater down gradient of primary / secondary sources (where applicable);
- > The inferred vertical extent of each AEC, likely to be to the base of fill material, to the base of stockpiled material, to ~1m below the base of belowground infrastructure, and to ~2m below inferred standing water level (where applicable).

The scale of the decisions required will be based on the site, as defined by its boundaries.

6.5 Step 5: Develop the analytical approach

6.5.1 Duplicates and Triplicates

Field duplicates and triplicates will be collected at a rate of one set per 20 samples collected (an equivalent of 5%), and one set per 10 samples collected (an equivalent of 10%) where PFAS is a contaminant of concern. Sample collection will include splitting of one bulk sample across three separate sample containers. Soil samples will not be homogenised, particularly where the COPC are volatile or semi volatile in nature.

Analysis of the duplicate and triplicates will be based on at least one of the analytes that the parent sample is being analysed for (excluding asbestos).

The relative percent difference (RPD) of the detected concentrations in the parent and duplicate, and the parent and triplicate, will be calculated.

6.5.2 Trip Blanks and Trip Spikes

One trip blank and trip spike will be used for each day of sampling⁷. A minimum of one trip blank and one trip spike will be scheduled for BTEX analysis, during the project, provide sample handling, preservation and storage procedures the same for each day of sampling.

6.5.3 Rinsate Blanks

One rinsate blank will be used for each day of sampling⁸. A minimum of one trip blank and one trip spike will be scheduled for BTEX analysis, during the project, provided sample handling, preservation and storage procedures are the same for each day of sampling.

Analysis of the rinsate blank will be based on at least one of the analytes that the parent sample is being analysed for (excluding asbestos).

6.5.4 Field Blanks

One field blank will be used for each day of sampling⁹. A minimum of one field blank will be scheduled for PFAS analysis, during the project, provided sample handling, preservation and storage procedures the same for each day of sampling.

⁷ Only where samples being collected on that day are expected to be analysed for BTEX and/or TRH C6-C10.

⁸ Only where non-disposable sampling equipment is being used on that day.

⁹ Only where PFAS is a contaminant of concern for samples collected on that day.



6.5.5 Laboratory Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) program of the primary analytical laboratory will typically include analysis of method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, laboratory control samples and laboratory duplicates. The laboratory will report on whether the QA/QC analysis meets the laboratory's adopted data quality objectives.

6.5.6 Data Quality Indicators

Data quality indicators (DQI) will be adopted to facilitate an assessment of the completeness, comparability, representativeness, precision and accuracy (bias) of the field and laboratory data collected. These DQI are set out in Table 6.5.6.

Table 0.5.0 Data Quality Indicators	Table	6.5.6	Data	Quality	Indicators
-------------------------------------	-------	-------	------	---------	------------

Completeness					
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target		
Experienced sampling team used	Yes	Complete SRA and COA attached	Yes		
Sampling devices and equipment set out in sampling plan were used (refer Section 6.7.1).	Yes	Critical samples identified in sampling plan, analysed	Yes		
Critical locations in sampling plan, sampled (refer Section 6.7.1).	Yes	Analysis undertaken addresses COPC in sampling plan (refer Section 6.7.8)	Yes		
Critical samples in sampling plan, collected (refer Section 6.7.1).	Yes	Analytical methods reported in laboratory documentation and appropriate LOR used	Yes		
Completed field and calibration logs attached	Yes	Sample holding times met (refer Section 6.7.9)	Yes		
Completed COC attached	Yes				

Comparability					
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target		
Same sampling team used for all work.	Yes	Same laboratory used for all analysis (refer Section 6.7.7).	Yes		
Weather conditions suitable for sampling.	Yes	Comparable methods if different laboratories used Refer Section 6.7.9).	Yes		
Same sample types collected and preserved in same way (refer Section 6.7.6).	Yes	Comparable LORs if different laboratories used.	Yes		



Comparability			
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target
Relevant samples stored in insulated containers and chilled (refer Section 6.7.6).	Yes	Comparable units of measure if different laboratories used (refer Section 6.7.9).	Yes

Representativeness			
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target
Media identified in sampling plan, sampled (refer Section 6.7.1).	Yes	Samples identified in sampling plan, analysed.	Yes
Samples required by sampling plan, collected (refer Section 6.7.1).	Yes		

Precision			
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target
Minimum 5% duplicates and triplicates collected and analysed (refer Section 6.5.1).	Yes	All laboratory duplicate RPDs within laboratory acceptance criteria (refer Section 6.5.5).	Yes
Minimum 10% duplicates and triplicates collected and analysed where PFAS is a contaminant of concern (refer Section 6.5.1).	Yes		
RPD unlimited where detected concentrations are <10 times the LOR.	Yes		
RPD within 50% where detected concentrations are 10-20 times the LOR.	Yes		
RPD within 30% where detected concentrations are >20 times the LOR.	See Comment		

Accuracy (bias)				
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target	
Trip blank analyte results less than LOR (refer Section 6.5.2).	Yes	Laboratory method blank results within laboratory acceptance limits (refer Section 6.5.5).	Yes	



Accuracy (bias)				
Field Considerations	Target	Laboratory Considerations	Target	
Trip spike analyte results less between 60% and 140% (refer Section 6.5.2).	Yes	Laboratory control sample results within laboratory acceptance limits (refer Section 6.5.5).	Yes	
Rinsate blank analyte results less than LOR (refer Section 6.5.3).	Yes	Laboratory spike sample results within laboratory acceptance limits.	Yes	

6.5.7 If/Then Statements

If field and laboratory analytical dataset is within the DQI assessment parameters, then the data may be considered to be adequately complete, comparable, representative, precise and accurate, for decision making within the objectives of this project.

If field and laboratory analytical dataset is outside the DQI assessment parameters, then additional data may be collected to address identified data gaps.

If field and laboratory analytical results are within adopted contamination assessment criteria, then the site may be considered suitable for the proposed land use scenario.

If field and laboratory analytical results are outside adopted contamination assessment criteria, then the site may be considered unsuitable for the proposed land use scenario, or additional data collected to further inform the decision making process.

6.6 Step 6: Specify the performance or acceptance criteria

6.6.1 If / Then Decisions

There are two types of decision error:

- > sampling errors occur when the sampling program does not adequately detect the variability of a contaminant from point to point across the site. That is, the samples collected are not representative of site conditions (e.g. an appropriate number of representative samples have not been collected from each stratum to account for estimated variability); and
- > measurement errors occur during sample collection, handling, preparation, analysis and data reduction.

In the assessment of land contamination, these errors can result in either:

- > a Type I error, where contamination exposure risks are considered to be acceptable, when they are not; or
- > a Type II error, where contamination exposure risks are considered to be not acceptable, when they are.

In order for decision rules to be sound, they should be designed to minimise decision errors. The risk of decision error will be mitigated by:

 Ensuring fieldwork tasks are undertaken by suitably experienced field staff and sub-contractors, with reference to the DQO presented in this report;



- > Ensuring laboratory analyses are undertaken by NATA accredited laboratories; and
- > Ensuring interpretation of data is undertaken by suitably experienced environmental consultants and/or outsourcing interpretation to technical experts (if warranted).

6.7 Step 7: Develop the plan for obtaining data

6.7.1 Sampling Point Density and Locations

Table A in NSW EPA (1995) includes guidance on minimum sampling point densities required characterising a site, based on detecting circular hot spots by using a systematic sampling pattern. Application of this guidance is recommended when:

- > There is little knowledge about the probable locations of the contamination;
- > The distribution of the contamination is expected to be random (e.g. landfill sites); or
- > The distribution of the contamination is expected to be fairly homogenous (e.g. agricultural lands).

Section 3.1 of NSW EPA (1995) states that judgemental or stratified sampling methods can be used if there is sufficient information about the probable distribution of the contamination. Additionally, Section 6.2.1 in NEPC (2013b) states that judgemental sampling, the selection of samples (number, location, timing, etc) is based on knowledge of the site and professional judgement. Sampling would be expected to be localised to known or potentially contaminated areas identified from knowledge of the site either from the site history or an earlier phase of site assessment. Judgemental sampling can be used to investigate sub-surface contamination issues in site assessment.

Section 7.5 of NEPC (2013b) and VIC EPA (2009) provides guidance on sampling methods and sample numbers for stockpiles.

Section 4.1 and Table 1 of WA DOH (2009) provides guidance on asbestos in soil sampling densities, relative to the likelihood of asbestos being present on the site.

Section 5.3 of HEPA (2020) requires site specific sampling to take into account:

- > the features of the surrounding land;
- > that some environmental media act as temporary or permanent PFAS sinks (e.g. PFAS concentrations in sediments in surface water bodies (including drainage lines) are important to consider when assessing transport via wastewater and surface water pathways; and
- > other known or potential sources of PFAS contamination.

Table 6.1 of Sullivan et al (2018) provides guidance on acid sulfate soil sampling densities, relative to the type of soil disturbance proposed, the volume of soil to be disturbed, and the extent of the site.

Section A4.2 of NSW EPA (2019) notes that ground gas monitoring network design is a compromise between coverage and cost, however an adequate number of rationally placed sampling points is fundamental to a credible investigation. The design should be based on desktop review data, site reconnaissance and an adequate conceptual site model, and should consider the three dimensionality of gas flow. Further guidance is provided in Table 19 of NSW EPA (2020a) and Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of NSW EPA (2016).

The scope of this project has included collection of data that provides an understanding of:

> site history;



- > the locations of potentially contaminated areas;
- > the identified COPC;
- > laydown mechanisms for COPC in each AEC;
- > the likely lateral and vertical extent of potential contamination in each AEC; and
- > constraints on site which may restrict the use of certain sampling techniques.

On that basis, it is considered reasonable to adopt a mix of grid based and judgemental sampling patterns, using the sampling point densities set out in Table 6.7.1 and Figure 3.

Table 6.7.1 Sampling Point Densities and Locations

ID	AEC	Sampling Point ID	Method	Target Depth (mbgs)
AEC01	Site (~2000m ²)	BH01-BH08	Borehole	5m, refusal or 0.3m into natural
		MW01-MW03	Groundwater well	5m or 2m below inferred standing water level, or refusal, whichever occurs first

6.7.2 Sampling Method – Soils

Soil samples will be collected from relevant sampling points at the surface, and at regular intervals thereafter, or where there is a change in lithology, or where there is visual/olfactory evidence of potential contamination.

When identified COPC include volatiles (e.g. BTEX, TRH or VOC), collected soil samples will be screening for ionisable volatile organic compounds using a photo-ionisation detector (PID). A sub sample from each sample collected at each sampling point will be placed in a zip lock bag, sealed, and shaken. Each zip lock bag will then be pierced with the tip of a PID and the results recorded on the relevant sampling point log.

Samples requiring asbestos gravimetric screening will be 10L in volume, and will be collected and screened with reference to Table 5 in WA DOH (2009).

Samples requiring calculation of asbestos fines (AF) and fibrous asbestos (FA), will be collected as separate samples.

6.7.3 Sampling Method - Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring bores will be drilled to a target depth of 5m below ground surface or 2m below inferred standing water level, using a drilling rig fitted with push tubes / solid stem augers.

A monitoring well will be constructed in each bore using 50mm Class 18 PVC machine slotted screen and casing, gravel pack from the base to approximately 0.5m above the top of the screen, followed by approximately 0.5m of hydrated bentonite, grout to the surface and a lockable cast iron road box or lockable monument.

Monitoring wells will be developed following installation, and allowed to equilibrate before sampling (preferably 5 days later).

At the start of the groundwater monitoring event (GME), depth to standing water level in MW01, MW02 and MW03, will be gauged in each well, and then each well purged until field groundwater quality parameters stabilise. Field groundwater quality parameters including dissolved oxygen, conductivity, oxygen reduction



potential, pH and temperature, will be measured using a calibrated water quality meter and flow cell. Observations will also be made of colour, clarity, odour and sheen. Field water quality measurements and observations will be recorded on a groundwater monitoring event field sheet.

Groundwater samples will be collected using low flow sampling techniques (peristaltic pump), from up gradient wells before down gradient wells. Samples for volatile analysis will be collected before semi volatiles. Headspace in sample containers will be avoided. Relevant samples will be field filtered to 0.45µm.

Samples will be submitted to a NATA accredited laboratory for analysis.

6.7.4 Field Screening

When identified COPC include volatiles (e.g. BTEX, TRH or VOC), collected soil samples will be screening for ionisable volatile organic compounds using a photo-ionisation detector (PID). A sub sample from each sample collected at each sampling point will be placed in a zip lock bag, sealed, and shaken. Each zip lock bag will then be pierced with the tip of a PID and the results recorded on the relevant sampling point log.

6.7.5 Decontamination

Non-disposable sampling equipment will be decontaminated between sampling points to mitigate potential for cross contamination of samples. The decontamination method to be used will be:

- > Wash off the non-disposable sampling equipment with a solution of potable water and phosphate free detergent (e.g. Decon 90), noting that Decon 90 will not be used on equipment used for collection of samples that will be analysed for PFAS compounds;
- > Rinse the washed equipment with distilled or de-ionised water; and
- > Air dry the rinsed equipment.

6.7.6 Sample Identification, Preservation, Handling and Transport

Soil samples will be identified using the CS project number, sampling point identification number and sampling depth interval (e.g. TP01/0.0-1.0 or BH04/0.2-0.4), and date the sample was collected.

Groundwater samples will be identified using the CS project number, sampling point identification number (e.g. MW03) and date the sample was collected.

Samples will be placed in laboratory prepared containers (containing preservatives as appropriate), bulk sample bags and zip lock bags.

Soil, water and vapour samples will be stored in insulated containers with ice.

Samples will be transported to the analytical laboratory by CS field staff, using the analytical laboratory's chain of custody (COC) documentation.

6.7.7 Laboratory Selection

Analytical laboratories used for this project will be NATA accredited for the analytical methods used.

6.7.8 Laboratory Analytical Schedule

Samples scheduled for laboratory analysis will be selected based on:

> The COPC identified for the AEC the sample was collected from;



- > Observations made of the sample when collected (including staining, odour and discolouration); and
- > The results of PID headspace screening (if applicable).

The proposed laboratory analytical schedule (including upper limiting sample quantities) for the project is set out in Table 6.7.8.

ID	AEC	Sampling Point ID	ткн / втех	РАН	OCP/PCB	Metals (8)	Asbestos (ID)	Asbestos (0.001%)	CEC / pH
AEC01	Site	BH01 – TP08	12	16	2	16	2	16	2
AEC01	Site	MW01 – MW03	3	3	-	3	-	-	-

Table 6.7.8 Laboratory Analytical Schedule

6.7.9 Laboratory Holding Times, Analytical Methods and Limits of Reporting

Sample holding times, laboratory analytical methods and limits of reporting applicable to this project, are set out in Table **6.7.9**.

	Table 6.7.9 Laborator	y Holding Tim	es, Analytical N	Methods and L	imits of Reporting
--	-----------------------	---------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------------

Analyte	Holding Time	Method	LOR (mg/kg)	LOR (µg/L)
BTEX and TRH C6-C10	14 days	USEPA 5030, 8260B and 8020	0.2-0.5	1-2 and 50
TRH C10-C40	14 days	USEPA 8015B & C	20-100	50-500
VOC	14 days	USEPA 8260	0.1-0.5	-
РАН	14 days	USEPA 8270	0.1-0.2	0.5-10
OCP	14 days	USEPA 8081	0.2	-
РСВ	14 days	USEPA 8270	0.2	-
Metals	6 months	USEPA 8015B & C	0.05-2	0.1-5
pН	On receipt	APHA 4500 pH	-	0.1 pH unit
Asbestos ID	No limit	AS4926	Absence / presence	-
Asbestos (WA DOH)	No limit	Inhouse	0.001% w/w	-



7 Fieldwork

7.1 Soils

7.1.1 Sampling

Soil sampling works were undertaken by CS on 4 February 2021. These works included:

- > Surveying of each sampling point by a service locating contractor for buried services;
- > Drilling of eight soil bores (BH01 to BH08) using a track mounted drilling rig fitted with push tube augers and solid stem augur.
- > Development of three groundwater monitoring wells (MW01 to MW03)

Soil samples were collected at each sampling point, at the surface and at regular intervals thereafter, or where visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed.

Samples were collected directly from the push tube liner, using a fresh pair of nitrile gloves.

Samples were placed in suitable laboratory prepared containers and labelled.

Boreholes were backfilled with excess drill cuttings and clean sand.

Duplicate and triplicate samples were collected by splitting the primary sample across three sample containers (without homogenising, to avoid loss of volatiles).

Sampling point locations were confirmed on a site plan. The sampling point location plan is presented in Figure 3.

Image 7.1.1.1 View of borehole locality at sampling point BH02





Image 7.1.1.2 View of borehole at sampling point BH04

7.1.1.3 View of bore hole at sampling point BH06





7.1.2 Site Specific Geology

Observations made of soils encountered during intrusive investigation works were recorded on logs. These logs are presented in Appendix B.

A summary of subsurface conditions is presented in Table 7.1.2.

Table 7.1.2 Summary of Subsurface Conditions

Layer	Description	Depth (m)
Fill	Gravelly SAND/CLAY, coarse grained/low plasticity, loose/stiff, brown (red/grey in BH05 and BH06), with some bitumen, moist to dry	0.0 to 0.4 (1.5 in BH08, 3.0 in BH05, 3.5 in BH06)
Natural	CLAY red/grey, low to medium plasticity, stiff, dry to wet, with trace ironstone	0.2 to 5
Rock	SANDSTONE, white/yellow	3.2 to 3.4

7.1.2.1 View of fill soils encountered at BH02









7.1.2.3 View of natural soils encountered at BH05






7.1.2.3 View of rock (sandstone) encountered at BH05



7.1.3 Potential Asbestos Containing Materials

Visual evidence of potential asbestos containing materials (ACM) was encountered on the surface at sampling point BH02, in the form of a fibrous cement fragment. A sample of this potential ACM was collected.

7.1.3.1 View of potential ACM fragment at BH02



7.1.4 <u>Odours</u>

Olfactory evidence of odours in the soil samples collected included a mild hydrocarbon odour in sample BH01-0.5-0.8.

7.1.5 Staining

There was visual evidence of minor black staining in the sample BH01-0.5-0.8.

7.1.6 Headspace Screening

Headspace screening was undertaken, by placing a sub sample from each relevant sample at each relevant sampling point, in a zip lock bag, sealing it, shaking it, then piercing the bag with the tip of the PID and results recorded.

The results of the headspace screening are presented on the logs in Appendix B. The results recorded indicated the potential for ionisable volatile organic compounds to be present in the samples screened was generally low, with the highest occurring in BH02-0.0-0.1 at 6.0ppm.

A copy of the PID calibration certificate is presented in Appendix C.



7.2 Groundwater

7.2.1 Monitoring Well Installation

Monitoring well installation works were undertaken by Stratacore under the supervision of CS. Each well was constructed using 50mm Class 18 PVC machine slotted screen and casing, gravel pack, bentonite seal, grout and a lockable cast iron road box. Well construction details are present in the logs in Appendix B.

Each well was developed using a Waterra D-25 foot valve.

7.2.1.1 View of well head at sampling point MW01



7.2.1.2 View of well head at sampling point MW03





7.2.2 Groundwater Sampling

Standing water levels in each of the monitoring wells were gauged by CS on 8 February 2021 and the results recorded on the field groundwater quality parameter forms presented in Appendix E. Based on the well survey data and measured standing water levels, the inferred groundwater flow direction is towards the south. Inferred groundwater contours cannot be assessed given the fact that the wells are in a linear formation.

Each well was then purged using a peristaltic pump and dedicated LDPE and silicon tubing, until water quality parameters stabilised. The results were recorded on the field groundwater quality parameter forms presented in Appendix E.

The same pump and dedicated tubing was used to collect a sample from each monitoring well. Samples were placed in suitable laboratory prepared containers and labelled. The samples collected for metal analysis were filtered in the field during collection, using disposable 45µm filters.

A copy of the groundwater sampling equipment calibration documentation is presented in Appendix C.

7.2.2.1 View of groundwater sampling at MW03





8 Laboratory

The samples were transported to the analytical laboratory using chain of custody protocols. A selection of those samples were scheduled for laboratory analysis, taking into consideration the laboratory analytical schedule presented in Table 6.7.8 and observations made in the field.

A copy of the sample receipts and certificates of analysis, is presented in Appendix G.

The relevant laboratory analytical results were tabulated and presented in the attached Table LR01, Table LR02, Table LR03 and Table LR04.



9 Assessment of Data Quality Indicators

An assessment of performance against the data quality indicators (DQI) is presented in Appendix G.



10 Discussion

10.1 Human Health - Dermal Contact / Ingestion / Dust Inhalation

The detected concentrations of the relevant COPC in the soil samples analysed, were less than the adopted human health direct contact assessment criteria, with the exception of:

the concentration benzo(a)pyrene TEQ in samples BH05-1.5-1.7 (9.6mg/kg) and BH03-MW01-0.0-0.1 (6.1mg/kg), where the criterion is 4mg/kg;

Asphalt gravels were logged as being observed in sample BH03-MW01-0.0-0.1, which is considered to be the source of the elevated concentration of benzo(a)pyrene TEQ. Footnote 6 in Table 1A(1) of NEPC (2013a) advises that where the B(a)P occurs in bitumen fragments, it is relatively immobile and does not represent a significant health risk. The elevated concentration of benzo(a)pyrene TEQ in sample BH05-1.5-1.7 is not directly related to bitumen in strata. However, the layers in which these exceedances occur are a part of the fill material flagged for excavation and subsequent offsite disposal. On that basis, further assessment of the benzo(a)pyrene (TEQ) in samples BH05-1.5-1.7 and BH03-MW01-0.0-0.1 in relation to dermal contact, dust inhalation and ingestion risk is considered not warranted.

10.2 Human Health - Asbestos

10.2.1 Bonded Asbestos Containing Materials

Fragments of potential ACM were observed during field works on the surface of BH02. However, asbestos was not identified in the samples collected of this material.

Further assessment of bonded asbestos human health exposure risks is considered not warranted.

10.2.2 Fibrous Asbestos / Asbestos Fines

The concentration of FA and AF detected in the samples analysed, were less than the adopted health screening level of 0.001% w/w.

Further assessment of fibrous asbestos / asbestos fines human health exposure risks is considered not warranted.

10.3 Aesthetics

Visual and olfactory observations made of the soils and groundwater encountered during fieldwork, indicated the presence of some bitumen in the fill material at some sampling points.

This fill material has been flagged for excavation and disposal offsite.

On this basis, the observations made on site are considered to not present circumstances which would trigger further assessment of aesthetics.

Further assessment of aesthetic risks is considered not warranted.



10.4 Management Limits for Petroleum Hydrocarbons

The detected concentrations of the relevant COPC in the soil samples analysed, were less than the adopted management limits for petroleum hydrocarbon assessment criteria.

10.5 Groundwater

10.5.1 Aquatic ecosystem protection

The detected concentrations of the relevant COPC in the groundwater samples analysed, were less than the adopted aquatic ecosystems assessment criteria, with the exception of:

- > Zinc in MW01 (38µg/L), MW02 (61µg/L) and MW03 (54µg/L),
- > Nickel in samples MW02 (0.032mg/L) MW03 (0.017mg/L), and
- > Copper in samples MW01 (0.006 mg/L), MW02 (0.004 mg/L) and MW03 (0.007mg/L), slightly elevated across all sample locations.

CS notes that the elevated concentrations of zinc, nickel and copper in the groundwater are likely to be attributable to the mineralogy in the Ashfield shale. CS further notes that:

- > The detected concentrations of zinc in the soil samples analysed were within background ranges presented in Berkman D A (1989), indicating that the zinc concentrations in site soils are unlikely to be a significant contributor to zinc concentrations in site groundwater; and
- The zinc concentration detected in the groundwater samples were within close proximity to the arithmetic mean concentration of zinc in stormwater (40µg/L) in a 'high urban' environment presented in Table 2.17 in Fletcher T, Duncan H, Poelsman P & Lloyd S (2004). This indicates that diffuse stormwater recharge of groundwater is considered likely to be a significant contributor to concentrations of zinc in groundwater, both on site and regionally, rather than a specific source in site soils.

Further assessment of aquatic ecosystem exposure risks is considered not warranted.

11 Revised Conceptual Site Model

A conceptual site model (CSM) is a representation of site-related information regarding contamination sources and receptors, and exposure pathways between those sources and receptors. The CSM at the completion of the stage 2 detailed site investigation works, is presented for the site in Table 11.1.

Table 1	1.1	Revised	Conceptual	Site	Model
---------	-----	---------	------------	------	-------

ID	AEC	Source	СОРС	Exposure Pathway	Receptor	Outcome
AEC01	Site	Uncontrolled filling Demolition waste storage Metal scrap storage Chemical storage	Hydrocarbons	Dermal contact Soil Ingestion Management Limits	Residents Workers (Construction and Maintenance) Aquatic Ecosystems	Material down to a minimum of 3m to be excavated and disposed of offsite to facilitate basement construction. No further assessment required.

12 Duty to Report Contamination

CS understands the client is the owner and/or occupier of the land that the site is located on. The scope of work CS was engaged to undertake for this investigation, did not include an assessment of site data against relevant duty to report notification triggers in NSW EPA (2015). CS advises that if:

- > the client has undertaken activities on the site that may have contaminated the land; or
- > the client is the owner of the land that may have been contaminated;

then NSW EPA (2015) provides guidance on when the client should seek further advice about site contamination and its duty to report. Further information on the client's duty to report, can be found at <u>www.epa.nsw.gov.au</u>.

12.1 On-site Soil Contamination

This test applies to contamination in, or on, soil on the site.

Given the results of the test above, an assessment of whether a person has been or foreseeably will be exposed to the identified contaminants of concern or a by-product the contaminant, is not warranted.

12.2 Off-site Soil Contamination

This test applies to contamination in, or on, soil on neighbouring land.

Assessment of the 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) on the arithmetic average concentration of contaminants in or on soil on neighbouring land, was not within the scope of this stage 2 DSI.

Assessment of whether the detected concentration of identified contaminants in individual soil samples on neighbouring land were not equal to or more than 250% of the relevant HIL or HSL for the current or approved use of the respective offsite land, as specified in Section 6 of NEPC (2013a), was not within the scope of this stage 2 DSI.

12.3 Foreseeable Contamination of Neighbouring Land

This test applies to the foreseeable contamination of neighbouring land.

The available data is not sufficient for determining, with a reasonable degree of certainty, whether contaminants identified onsite, will foreseeably enter neighbouring land.

The results of the test reported in Section 12.1 of this investigation did not identify contaminant concentrations that would foreseeably be above the relevant HIL or HSL for that contaminant for the current or approved use of the respective off-site land, as specified in Section 6 of NEPC (2013a).

Further to the preceding paragraph, it is therefore considered that the concentration of the contaminants, even if found to foreseeably enter neighbouring land, would not foreseeably continue to remain above the relevant HIL or HSL for that contaminant for the current or approved use of the respective off-site land, as specified in Section 6 of NEPC (2013a).



13 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on CS's assessment of desktop review information, fieldwork observations and laboratory analytical data, CS makes the following conclusions:

> the site is considered to be suitable for the proposed residential land use (with minimal opportunities for soil access such as high rise buildings and flats), subject to the excavation and removal of fill material to facilitate the basement construction.

This report must be read in conjunction with the *Information About This Report* page at the front of this report.

14 References

ANZG 2018, 'Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality' (https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines).

AS 4482.1-2005 'Guide to the investigation and sampling of sites with potentially contaminated soil, Part 1: Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds' dated November 2005.

Berkman D A 1989, 'Field Geologist's Manual, Third Edition' published by The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

CCME 2008a, 'Canada-wide standard for petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in soil: Scientific Rationale Supporting Technical Document', ref: PN 1399, dated January 2008.

CCME 2008b, 'Canada-wide standard for petroleum hydrocarbons (PHC) in soil, technical supplement' dated January 2008.

DUAP 1998, 'Managing Land Contamination Planning Guidelines SEPP55 – Remediation of Land', dated April 1999, ref: 98/65.

Friebel, E & Nadebaum, P 2011, 'Health screening levels for petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater. Part 2: Application document', CRC CARE Technical Report No. 10.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) 2013a, 'Schedule B(1) Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater', National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) as amended in May 2013.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) 2013b, 'Schedule B(2) Guideline on Site Characterisation', National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) as amended in May 2013.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) 2013c, 'Schedule B(4) Guideline on Site-Specific Health Risk Assessment Methodology', National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) as amended in May 2013.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) 2013d, 'Schedule B(6) Guideline on The Framework for Risk-Based Assessment of Groundwater Contamination', National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) as amended in May 2013.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) 2013e, 'Schedule B(7) Guideline on Derivation of Health-Based Investigation Levels', National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) as amended in May 2013.

NSW DEC 2007, 'Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination' dated March 2007, ref: DEC 2007/144.

NSW EPA 1995, 'Contaminated Sites: Sampling Design Guidelines', dated September 1995, ref: EPA 95/59.

NSW EPA 2015, 'Guidelines on the Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997' dated September 2015, ref: EPA 2015/0164.



NSW EPA 2017, 'Contaminated Land Management, Guidelines for the NSW Site Auditor Scheme (3rd edition)', dated October 2017, ref: EPA 2017P0269.

NSW EPA 2020b, 'Contaminated Land Guidelines: Consultants reporting on contaminated land' dated May 2020, ref: EPA2020P2233.

WA DOH 2009, 'Guidelines for the Assessment, Remediation and Management of Asbestos Contaminated Sites in Western Australia', dated May 2009.

10791EV.P.117 FIGURES







APPENDIX A SITE SURVEY



APPENDIX B LOGS

1	C S	ons	true	ction s					E	BORE	HOLE LOG SHEE
Clie Proj	nt: ect:	ļ	ine ` Stag	rard 120C e 2 Detailed Site Invest	tigatior	۱ 				ŀ	IOIE NO: BH0
-00	atior	n: 1	20c (Old Canterbury Road,	Summe	er Hill		Job No: 10791EV.P.117	0	0	Sheet: 1 of
205 Dia	Ition	1: 	opr	ho				Angle from Horizontal: 90 Mounting: Track		Surfac	e Elevation:
las.	ina/ł	Hole I	Diam	neter: /				Mounting. Track	Contractor	Strata	
)ate	e Sta	arted:	4/2/	21 Date Co	mplete	d: 4/2/	21	Logged By:	Contractor	Check	ed By: NDS
[Drillin	g		Sampling & Testing				Material Des	scription		•
Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	Sample or Field Test	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle characteri colour, secondary and minor componen ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, colou fabric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure	istic, Ir, Souther State Nondition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
				BH01 0.00 - 0.10 m ASB BH01 0.00 - 0.20 m BID: 0.70pm			SP	FILL: Gravelly SAND: coarse grained	М	L	FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM.
				ES			×	0.30m SAND/BITUMEN			0.30 m: Mild hydrocarbon odour, minor black staining, no PACM.
				BH01 0.50 - 0.80 m PID: 1.5ppm ES ASB	- 0.5		SP		М	L	
			tered	PH01100_120m				0.80m CRUSHED SANDSTONE: coarse, with bro sand inclusions and residual clay	own		0.80 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM.
			Not Encoun:	PID: 0.4ppm ES ASB	-		* * * * *				
				BH01 1.50 - 1.70 m	- 1.5		× × × ×				
				ES ASB			* * * *		M		
				BH01 2.00 - 2.20 m PID: 4.7ppm ES ASB	- 2.0		* * * *				
,					-			2.40m			
					- 2.5			TERMINATED AT 2.40 m Refusal			
					F						
					F						
					- 3.0						
					-						
					-						
					- 3.5						
					Ę						
					F						
					-4.0						
					-						
					t						
					-						
					- 4.5						
					Ę						
					F						
					50						
					-						
ME	THOD))	1	PENETRATIO	N	1	F	IELD TESTS S/	AMPLES		SOIL CONSISTENCY
EX R HA PT	E) Ri Ha Pu	kcavato ipper and aug ush tub	r buck ger e	et VE Very Easy E Easy F Firm H Hard	(No Resista	ance)	F	PT - Standard Penetration Test B P - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D CP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer U U	 Bulk disturb Disturbed s Environmer Thin wall tul 	ed sample ample Ital sample De 'undisti	e VS - Very Soft S - Soft e F - Firm urbed' St - Stiff
SO AH	N So Ai	onic dril ir hamm	ling 1er	VH Very Hard	(Refusal)		F	SP - Perth Sand Penetrometer IC - Moisture Content M	OISTURE		VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard
PS AS	Pe Sł	ercussion hort spin	on san ral aug	er Water	r Level on	Date	F	BT - Plate Bearing Test /P - Borehole Impression Test	- Dry - Moist		
AD/ AD/ HF/ WB RR	/V So /T So A Ho S W Ro	olid fligh olid fligh ollow flig (ashbor ock rolle	nt auge nt auge ght aug e drillir er	rr: V-Bit rr: TC-Bit ger ng water	n inflow outflow			ID - Photoionisation Detector W S - Vane Shear; P=Peak, R=Residual (uncorrected kPa) W	 Wet Plastic limit Liquid limit Moisture co 	ntent	VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dens D - Dense VD - Very Dense
Refe abbi	er to ex reviatio	planatory	/ notes t asis of c	or details of lescriptions	CO	NSTI	⊥ RU	CTION SCIENCES PTY	′ LTD		

Construction Sciences

BOREHOLE LOG SHEET

Pro	ject:	St 12	tage Oc C	2 Detailed Site Investig	ation	Hill		Job No: 1079151/ B 117			10IE NO: BHU2
Pos	sition:							Angle from Horizontal: 90°		Surfac	e Elevation:
Rig	Type:	Geo	pro	be				Mounting: Track		Driller:	Stratacore
Cas	sing/Ho	le D	iam	eter: /			Contractor: Stratacore				
Dat	e Start	ed: 4	1/2/2	1 Date Com	pleted	: 4/2/2	21	Logged By:		Checke	ed By: NDS
Method	Builling	Casing	Water	Sampling & Testing Sample or Field Test	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	Material Descriptio SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle characteristic, colour, secondary and minor components ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, colour, fabric & texture, strength, weathering, defects and structure	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
▲ – PT – ●			Not Encountered	BH02 0.00 - 0.10 m PID: 6.0ppm ES ASB	-		SP	FILL: Gravelly SAND: coarse grained, brown/white, with some bitumen and trace glass fragments 0.40m	м	L	FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, PACM on surface.
			Note	DENETRATION				ELD TESTS			
EX R HA PT SC AH PS AS AC HF WI RF	K Exca Rippo A Hanc Push DN Sonia A Air hi S Perci S Shor D/V Solid D/T Solid D/T Solid B Wasl R Rock	vator l er d auge t tube c drillin ammer ussion t spira flight flight flight flight flight flight aroller	bucke r ng samp l auger auger auger tt aug drilling	t VE Very Easy (Ne E Easy F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (Re VH Very Hard (Re Very Easy (Ne F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (Re Very Easy (Ne F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (Re Very Easy (Ne F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (Re Very Hard (Re) (Re) (Re) (Re) (Re) (Re) (Re) (Re)	o Resistan efusal) evel on [low tflow	uce) Date	F C F M F II F	PT - Standard Penetration Test B - PT - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer D - ICP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer D - SP - Perth Sand Penetrometer U - ICP - Moisture Content MOISTUF BT - Plate Bearing Test M - IP - Borehole Impression Test M - ID - Photoionisation Detector W - S - Vane Shear; P=Peak, L L R=Residual (uncorrected kPa) W -	c Bulk disturbed Sisturbed sa invironmeni 'hin wall tub RE Pory Moist Vet Mastic limit Moisture cor	ed sample Imple tal sample e 'undistu	Solucionalistica (VS - Very Soft S - Soft F - Firm virbed' St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense



CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD

CS NON-CORED 4.2.21 LOGS.GPJ <<DrawingFile>> 15/02/2021 15:59 10.02.00.04 Datgel AGS RTA, Photo, Monitoring Tools g CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES 26.02.2020 2.01.4 LIB.GLB

11

	-	Con Scie	stru nce	ction s							В	ORE	HOLE LOG SHEET
Clie	ent: piec	t:	The Stac	Yard 120c e 2 Detaile	ed Site Investi	gation						F	lole No: BH04
Loc	atio	on:	120c	Old Cante	rbury Road, S	umme	r Hill		Job No: 10791EV.P.11	7			Sheet: 1 of 1
Pos	sitic	on:							Angle from Horizontal	: 90°		Surfac	e Elevation:
Rig	inc		Diar	ODE					Mounting: Track	Contra	actor	Strata	Stratacore
Dat	e S	tarteo	1: 4/2	/21	Date Con	pleted	d: 4/2/	21	Logged By:	oonar	(Checke	ed By: NDS
	Drill	ling		Samp	ling & Testing	1			Materia	al Description			•
Method	Recictance	Casing	Water	S	ample or ield Test	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle chan colour, secondary and minor com ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, fabric & texture, strength, weath defects and structure	racteristic, ponents colour, ering,	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
				BH04 0.00 - (PID: 0.6ppm ES ASB	0.10 m	<u>_</u>		CL	FILL: Gravelly CLAY: low plasticity, trace asphalt	brown, with	D	St	FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
				BH04 0.30 - (PID: 0.8ppm, ES	0.60 m , QC201, QC202				0.30m CLAY: low plasticity, red/grey with bi with trace ironstone	rown mottle,			NATURAL 0.30 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
			tered			- - 1.0 -							
PT			Not Encoun			- 1.5 - -		CL			D	St	
						- - 2.0 - -							
						- 2.5 - -			2.80m				
				BH04 2.80 - 3 PID: 0.4ppm	3.90 m	Ŧ		CL	Sandy CLAY: low plasticity, grey		м	F	2.80 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
						3.0 - -			TERMINATED AT 3.00 m Target depth				
YCI 1202/20/0						- - - 3.5							
						-							
						-4.0 -							
						- - -4.5							
						- - -							
- Gen roð -						- 5.0							-
	ETHC (()))))))))))))	DD Excava Ripper Hand a Push tu Sonic d Air ham Percus Short s Solid fli Hollow Washb Rock ro explanate	tor buc be rilling mer sion sa biral au ght	mpler ger uer: V-Bit ing for details of descriptions	PENETRATION VE Very Easy (I E Easy F Fim H Hard VH Very Hard (F WATER Water I shown water o	No Resista Refusal) Level on nflow utflow	nce) Date		FIELD TESTS SPT - Standard Penetration Test PP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer DCP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer MC - Moisture Content PBT - Plate Bearing Test MP - Photoionisation Detector V3 - Vane Shear, P=Peak, R=Residual (uncorrected kPa)	SAMPLES B - Bulk D - Dist ES - Envi U - Thin MOISTURE D - Dry M - Mois W - Wet PL - Plas LL - Liqu w - Mois	disturbe urbed sa ironment wall tub st tic limit id limit sture cor	ed sample mple al sample e 'undistu	Be SOIL CONSISTENCY VS - Very Soft S - Soft S - F - Firm St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense

Construction Sciences **BOREHOLE LOG SHEET** Client: The Yard 120c Project: Stage 2 Detailed Site Investigation 120c Old Canterbury Road, Summer Hill Location: Job No: 10791EV.P.117 Angle from Horizontal: 90° Position: Surface Elevation: Rig Type: Geoprobe Mounting: Track **Driller: Stratacore** Casing/Hole Diameter: / Contractor: Stratacore Date Started: 4/2/21 Date Completed: 4/2/21 Logged By: Checked By: NDS

I	Drilling	g		Sampl	ling & Testing		Material Description							
Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	S	ample or ield Test	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification		SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle char colour, secondary and minor comp ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, fabric & texture, strength, weathe defects and structure	acteristic, onents colour, ering,	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
•				BH05-MW02 PID: 8.0ppm	0.00 - 0.10 m	-				FILL: CLAY: low plasticity, red/grey, ironstone and bitumen	with trace			FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no
			Water encountered	PID: 8.0ppm ES ASB BH05-MW02 PID: 4.4ppm ES ASB	1.00 - 1.20 m			CL		ironstone and bitumen		D	St	0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
				BH05-MW02 PID: 3.8ppm, ES ASB	2.50 - 2.70 m QC101, QC102	- 2.5								-
						† -								2.70 m: Water intrusion
						- 3.0			3.00m					
1 5				BH05-MW02 ES	3.00 - 3.20 m	-		СІ	3.20m	CLAY: medium plasticity, red with gre trace ironstone	ey mottle, with	M - W	s	NATURAL 3.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
∎ T						Ē			2.40m	SANDSTONE: white/yellow		w	н	3.20 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
<u>v</u>						- 3.5	••••		3.40m	TERMINATED AT 3.40 m Target depth				
										с				
						F								
						- 4.0								-
						Ļ								
						F								
						-4.5								-
						-								
						L								
						- 5.0								-
						-								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ME EX R HA PT SO H PS AD AD HF WE R	THOD EX Ri PL N SC A A HC S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	kcavato pper and aug ish tube onic drill r hamm ercussio nort spir olid fligh olid fligh olid fligh olid fligh olid shbore ock rolle	r bucke er er on sam al auge t auge t auge ght auge ght aug ght aug ght aug	et pler er r: V-Bit r: TC-Bit ler g	PENETRATION VE Very Easy (N E Easy F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (F WATER Water L Shown Water in Water of	o Resista efusal) evel on flow utflow	^{nce)} Date	F S P P N P N P V	IELD T РТ- ICP- SP- IC- BT- ID- ID- SS-	ESTS Standard Penetration Test Hand/Pocket Penetrometer Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Perth Sand Penetrometer Moisture Content Plate Bearing Test Borehole Impression Test Photoionisation Detector Vane Shear; P=Peak, R=Residual (uncorrected kPa)	SAMPLES B - Buil D - Dist ES - Env U - Thir MOISTURE D - Dry M - Mois Moie V - Plas - PL - Plas LL W - Moie Woie	c disturbe urbed sar ironment n wall tube st t t stic limit sture con	d sample nple al sample ' 'undistu	SOIL CONSISTENCY VS - Very Soft S - Soft F - Firm St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense

Rock roller Refer to explanatory notes for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD



Hole No: BH05-MW02

Sheet: 1 of 1



-	j.	Sc	ien	ces	tion							В	ORE	HOLE LOG SHEET
CI	ient	:	1	The \	ard 120c	od Sito Invocti	action						F	lole No: BH06
	ojec	ion	: 1	20c (Did Cante	rbury Road, S	umme	r Hill		Job No: 10791EV.P.11	17		_	Sheet: 1 of 1
Po	ositi	on:								Angle from Horizontal	: 90°		Surfac	e Elevation:
Ri	g Ty	/pe	: Ge	opro	be					Mounting: Track			Driller:	Stratacore
Ca	asin	g/H	ole I	Diam	eter: /						Contr	actor:	Strata	acore
Da	ate S	Star	ted:	4/2/2	21	Date Con	pleteo	1: 4/2/2	21	Logged By:			Checke	ed By: NDS
	Dri	lling			Samp	ling & Testing				Materia	al Description	1	1	
Method		Kesistance	Casing	Water	S	ample or ield Test	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle cha colour, secondary and minor com ROCK TYPE, grain size and type fabric & texture, strength, weath defects and structure	aracteristic, ponents , colour, nering,	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
				Not Encountered	BH06 0.00 - (PID: 4.0ppm ES ASB BH06 1.00 - 7 PID: 4.0ppm ES ASB BH06 2.50 - 2 PID: 3.8ppm ES ASB	1.20 m	- 0.5 		CL	FILL: CLAY: low plasticity, grey/red, ironstone	with trace	D	St	FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM -
					BH06 3.50 - 3 PID: 0.9ppm ES	3.70 m	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		CI	3.50m CLAY: medium plasticity, red with g 3.80m TERMINATED AT 3.80 m Target depth	rey mottle	w	F	NATURAL 3.50 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
	METH EX SON AD/T HFA RR	IOD Exco Ripp Haa Sor Air Per Sho Sol Sol Hol Wa Roo	cavato per nd aug nic drill hamm ort spir ort spir id fligh low flig id fligh low flig schoore ck rolle	r bucke ing er al aug t auge ght au <u>c</u> e drillin	pler er r: V-Bit r: TC-Bit er g	PENETRATION VE Very Easy (T E Easy F Fim H Hard VH Very Hard (F WATER Water I shown Water in Water o	- 4.5 - 4.5 	nce) Date	FS PD PM P V	IELD TESTS PT - Standard Penetration Test P - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer CP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer SP - Perth Sand Penetrometer IC - Moisture Content BT - Plate Bearing Test ID - Photoinisation Detector S - Vane Shear; P=Peak, R=Residual (uncorrected kPa)	SAMPLES B - Bull D - Diss ES - En U - Thin MOISTURE D - Dry M - Moi W - We PL - Plat LL - Liqu W - Moi	st to limit sturbed sa irronmenn wall tub stic stic limit stic limit sture cor	ed sample mple tal sample e 'undistu	s arbed' bribed' SoliL CONSISTENCY VS - Very Soft S - Soft F - Firm St - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense

abbreviations and basis of descriptions

CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD

	1	C	ons	truc	tion							В	ORE	HOLE LOG SHEET
ſ	Clie	nt:		The Y	ard 120c	od Sito Invosti	aation						F	lole No: BH07
	Loc	atior	n: 1	20c (Did Cante	rbury Road, S	umme	r Hill		Job No: 10791EV.P.117				Sheet: 1 of 1
	Pos	ition	:							Angle from Horizontal: S	90°	;	Surfac	e Elevation:
╞	Rig	Туре	: Ge	opro	be					Mounting: Track		<u> </u>	Driller:	Stratacore
┝	Cas	ing/l	Hole I		eter: /	Data Con	nloto	4. 4/0/	24	Loggod Du	Contra	actor:	Strata	
┢	Dau	Drillin	nieu.	41212	Samp	ling & Tosting	pieted	J. 4/2/	21	Logged by.	Description		SHECK	eu by. NDS
┝			y I		Samp	ing a resurg			<i>с</i>		Description			
	Method	Resistance	Casing	Water	S	ample or ield Test	Depth (m	Graphic Log	Classification	SOIL TYPE, plasticity or particle charact colour, secondary and minor compor ROCK TYPE, grain size and type, co fabric & texture, strength, weatherin defects and structure	teristic, nents lour, ng,	Moisture Condition	Consistency Relative Density	STRUCTURE & Other Observations
ſ	1				BH07 0.00 - 0 PID: 3.0ppm ES ASB	0.10 m	}		SP	FILL: SAND: coarse grained, with trace	bitumen	М	L	FILL 0.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
55 K LA, Photo, Wonttoring Loois	PT			Not Encountered	BH07 0.80 - PID: 3.7ppm ES BH07 1.50 - PID: 2.0ppm ES BH07 1.80 - 2 PID: 0.8ppm ES BH07 2.50 - 2 PID: 0.7ppm ES	1.00 m 1.70 m 2.00 m	0.5 		CL	1.70m 1.70m CLAY: low plasticity, red with grey mottl trace ironstone CLAY: low plasticity, grey with red mottl CLAY: low plasticity, red with grey mottl CLAY: low plasticity, red with grey mottl	le, with le	D - M	St St	NATURAL 0.20 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM 1.70 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM 2.00 m: No odour, no staining, no PACM
Datgel Auc					<u>E</u> 5									
5.00	¥						-3.0-	<u> </u>		3.00m TERMINATED AT 3.00 m		W		-
21 LUGO.GFJ SSUIAWIIGFIIGSS 10/02/2021 10:09							- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Target depth				
H 4.4							-4.5							-
							F							
							F							
3							ŀ							
ř							- 5.0							-
	M		<u> </u>			DENETDATION								
KUCIION SUENCES 20.02.2020 2.01.4	EX R HA PT SO AH PS AD AD HF RR	I HOD Ri Ri Pu N Sci N Sci St V Sci /T Sci A Ho S W S Ro	ccavato pper and aug ush tub- pnic dril r hamm ercussio nort spin blid fligh blid fligh blid fligh blow flig ashbor pock rolle	r bucke ler er on sam al auge t auge t auge drillin er	et er r: V-Bit r: TC-Bit ler g	VE Very Easy F Easy F Firm H Hard VH Very Hard (I WATER Water I water of Water of	No Resista Refusal) Level on nflow wutflow	^{nce)} Date	F S P D P M P I V	IFLEF IFSTS PPT - Standard Penetration Test PP - Hand/Pocket Penetrometer DCP - Dynamic Cone Penetrometer BT - Plate Bearing Test VIP - Borehole Impression Test ID - Photoionisation Detector 'S - Vane Shear; P=Peak, R=Residual (uncorrected kPa)	B - Bulk D - Dist ES - Envi U - Thin MOISTURE D - Dry M - Mois U - Plas LL - Liqu w - Mois	tionment wall tube st st itic limit id limit sture con	d sample mple al sample e 'undistu tent	SUIL CUNSISTENCY VS - Very Soft S - Soft F - Firm VSt - Stiff VSt - Very Stiff H - Hard RELATIVE DENSITY VL - Very Loose L - Loose MD - Medium Dense D - Dense VD - Very Dense
<u> </u>													_	

UCTION SCIENCES 26.02.2020 2.014 LIB.GLB Log CS NON-CORED 4.2.21 LOGS.GPJ << DrawingFile>> 15/02/2021 15:59 10.02.00.04 Datget AGS RTA, Photo, M

SON

Refer to explanatory notes for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD



<<DrawingFile>> 15/02/2021 15:59 10.02.00.04 Datgel AGS RTA, Photo, Monitoring Took CS NON-CORED 4.2.21 LOGS.GPJ CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES 26.02.2020 2.01.4 LIB.GLB Log

R HA PT SON AH PS

AS

AD/V AD/T HFA WB

RR

Air hammer

Rock roller

Percussion sampler

Percussion sampler Short spiral auger Solid flight auger: V-Bit Solid flight auger: TC-Bit Hollow flight auger Washbore drilling

Refer to explanatory notes for details of abbreviations and basis of descriptions

E Easy Firm

H VH

WATER

Hard Very Hard (Refusal)

shown

Water Level on Date

water inflow

water outflow

CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer

Perth Sand Penetrometer

Borehole Impression Test

Photoionisation Detector

R=Residual (uncorrected kPa)

Vane Shear; P=Peak,

Moisture Content

Plate Bearing Test

DCP -

-

-

PSP

MC

PBT

IMP -

PID

VS

Environmental sample Thin wall tube 'undisturbed'

Firm

RELATIVE DENSITY

-

Very Loose Loose Medium Dense Dense

Very Dense

. St VSt -Stiff Very Stiff Hard

н

VL

MD

D

VD

ES 2

MOISTURE

-

-

Dry Moist Wet Plastic limit

Liquid limit Moisture content

Ū

D M

w

PL LL

w



orm Number: DGM03 RL0

	C	O	nstruction	1	PIEZOMETER	R INSTALLATION LOG
	S	ci	ences		Hole ID	H05-MW02
CLIENT CONTRAC PROJECT LOCATIOI PROJECT	CTOR : : N : No. :	The Ya Stratac Stage 1 120c C 107911	ard 120c core 2 Detailed Site Investigation DId Canterbury Road, Summer Hil EV.P.117	POSITION : EASTING : NORTHING : COORD. SYS. : MGA94 56 GROUND RL :	5	SHEET : 1 OF 1 STATUS : LOGGED BY : DRILL DATE : 04/02/2021
/lethod Drilling Water	Depth (m)	m AHD) Aranhic Lod	Description Soil / Rock Description	ID Type MW02 Standpipe piezov	meter	ip Depth & RL Installation Date Static Water Level 0.20 m 04/02/2021
	- 1 - 1 - 1 1 		FILL FILL NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL END OF BOREHOLE @ 3.40 m TARGET DEPTH	2.00 m 2.00 m 2.30 m 2.30 m 2.93 m 2.93 m		ID: MW02 SAND PACK: 0.075-10 mm STICKUP: 0.00 m
RIG INCLINATIC AZIMUTH	: Geop N : :	probe	CHECKED BY : N CHECKED DATE : APPROVED BY :	NDS REMARK		



DGM03 RL0 orm Number:

APPENDIX C CALIBRATION

InstrumentYSI Quatro Pro PlusSerial No.18G103298



1300 137 067

Item	Test	Pass	Comments
Battery	Charge Condition	✓	
	Fuses	✓	
	Capacity	✓	
Switch/keypad	Operation	\checkmark	
Display	Intensity	\checkmark	
	Operation	\checkmark	
	(segments)		
Grill Filter	Condition	✓	
	Seal	✓	
РСВ	Condition	✓	
Connectors	Condition	✓	
Sensor	1. pH	✓	
	2. mV	✓	
	3. EC	✓	
	4. D.O	✓	
	5. Temp	✓	
Alarms	Beeper		
	Settings		
Software	Version		
Data logger	Operation		
Download	Operation		
Other tests:			

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications:

Sensor	Serial no	Standard Solutions	Certified	Solution Bottle	Instrument Reading
				Number	
1. pH 10.00		pH 10.00		355386	pH 9.96
2. pH 7.00		pH 7.00		355072	pH 7.03
3. pH 4.00		pH 4.00		351412	pH 3.98
4. mV		229.6mV		358632/358634	229.6mV
5. EC		2.76mS		350510	2.77mS
6. D.O		0.00ppm		10959	0.00ppm
7. Temp		22.0°C		MultiTherm	22.0°C

Calibrated by:

Kylie Rawlings

Calibration date:

Next calibration due:

7/03/2021

5/02/2021

InstrumentGeotech Interface Meter (30M)Serial No.3908



Item	Test	Pass	Comments
Battery	Compartment	✓	
	Capacity	✓	
Probe	Cleaned/Decon.	✓	
	Operation	✓	
-			
Connectors	Condition	✓	
		✓	
Tape Check	Cleaned	 ✓ 	
Connectors	Checked for cuts	✓	
Instrument Test	At surface level	✓	

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been cleaned and tested.

Calibrated by:Ashok HettigamaCalibration date:5/02/2021Next calibration due:6/04/2021

PID Calibration Certificate

Instrument PhoCheck Tiger Serial No. T-105859



**

		Deeg		Comment	Comments			
A		Pass					2	
Item	Test	1	*. · · ·					
Battery	Charge Condition	1		2				
	Fuses	1						
	Capacity	2				2 7 0.3	12075	
	Recharge OK?	1					100	
a the bikey nad	Operation		· · · · ·					
Switchikeypad	Intensity	* ,	· (a) · · · · · ·					
Display	Operation	1						
	(segments)	1 K 12	12 11 1 1 1	N 8 8 1				
and and a second	Condition	1	a second			08		
Grill Filter	Seal	1						
and a second	Operation	1						
Pump	Filter	1						
	Filler	1						
	Flow Mature Disphradm	1	f	14 A.				
W 5	Valves, Diaphraym	1	¥ 0					
CB	Condition			***				
Connectors	Condition		10.0.111					
Sensor	PID	~	10 6 eV Ļ	imp j		÷		
larms	Beeper	1	Low	High	TWA	STEL		
	Settings	1	50ppm	100ppm	N/A	N/A		
oftware	Version	1			and all states and the second	and the second se		
ata logger	Operation	1						
ownload	Operation	~						
ther tests:								

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications.

Sensor	Serial no	Calibard	Castilland	10	
		Calibration gas and	Cerunea	Gas bottle	Instrument Reading
DIDLAT		concentration		No	
PID Lamp		100ppm Isobutylene	NIST	SY358	100 1000

 Calibrated by:
 Lauren Tompkins

 Calibration date:
 3/02/2021

 Next calibration due:
 5/08/2021

-

APPENDIX D/E WELL SURVEY DATA/GME FIELD FORM
Construction Sciences	
GROUNDWAT	ER FIELD DATA SHEET
Project No: 20791EV. p. Hot 117 Project Name: DS1 Address: 120(010 Contective) Co	Well ID: MAQ Sampler(s): MB Date: $B/2/2$
Well Status SUMMC HITD Monument damaged: YES / NO / N/A Locked well casing: YES / NO / N/A Casing Diameter: YES / NO / N/A WQM ID: 4.92 PID ID: 4.92 Total well depth: MBTOC Depth to water before pump install: 4.8 Pump intake depth: mBTOC	Well ID visible: YES / NO / N/A Cap on PVC casing: YES / NO / N/A Well Headspace PID (ppm): IP ID: Sampling Equipment: Sampling Equipment:
Casing above ground: m BTOC	Temperature 15-20 ☐ 20-25 K 25-30 ☐ >30 □
- ciol	Clear Partly cloudy 🗹 Overcast
702mLx5:3.50	Calm Slight breeze Moderate breeze Vindy
	Fine Showers Rain

Water	Qua	lity	Def	tails:

Time am / pm	Vol (L)	Depth to water (mBTOC)	DO (ppm)	EC (μS cm ⁻¹)	рН	Redox (mV)	Temp (°C)	Comments (colour, turbidity, sediment, odour, sheen, thickness)
	Well purge acceptance	& stabilisation criteria	±10%	±3%	±0.1	±10%	±10%	
12.00		4.2	0.01	403	6.70	- 78.	22.	
2.01		4.4	0.92	901	6.71	-72.	22.5	
2.02		4.5	0.57	890	6.7	-70.2	22.	6
2.63		4.6	0.05	885	6.70	-60.3	2:	1
1.04		4.8	0.83	881	6.7	-68.1	2:	
2.05		4.85	0.84	883	6.69	-67.	22	5
			1					

Dup. Sample ID: Q(IO) Trip Sample ID: Q(IO)

Metals Sample Field Filtered verno



GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Project No: 0701EV.D.11	1	Well ID: MA	3			
Project Name: DST		Sampler(s):	MB			
Address: 10 C add con	regoury	Date: 8/9	121_			
Well Status Ed Summ	erhill	1-1,	1			
Monument damaged:	YES NON NA	Well ID visible:			YES / NO / N	VA
Locked well casing:	TES / NO / N/A	Cap on PVC ca	ising:		YES / NO / N	A
Casing Diameter: Go M M	A	Well Headspac	e PID (ppm)	:		
WQM ID:		IP ID:				
PID ID:		Sampling Equip	ment			
Total well depth: 2.93	mBTOC					
Depth to water before pump install: 2.3	mBTOC					
Depth to water after pump install:	mBTOC					
Pump intake depth:	mBTOC					1
Casing above ground:	m BTOC	Weather Cond	itions:			1
		Temperature	15-20	20-2	50	1
			25-30 🗖	>30		1
(603×5)×3		Clear	Partly clo	udy 🗖	Overcast	
= 4045		Calm	Slight bree Windy	eze 🛛	Moderate breez	
Note: Firm inter	a) diameter nine = 4 00 1	Fine D	Showers		Rain	

Water Quality Details:

Time am / pm	Vol (L)	Depth to water (mBTOC)	DO (ppm)	EC (μS cm ⁻¹)	pН	Redox (mV)	Temp (°C)	Comments (colour, turbidity, sediment, odour, sheen, thickness)
	Well purge & acceptance c	stabilisation xiteria	±10%	±3%	±0.1	±10%	±10%	
124		2.4	1.54	12 32	66	31.0	23.4	Redforange, turk
1.25		2.5	2.0	9.1	6.7	41.1	25.1	
1.26		2.7	1.98	55.9	6.6	93.1	23.7	,*
.27		2.8	1.95	8.1	6.6	97.3	227	
.28		2.8	1.97	8.0	6.6	99.5	23.8	Red, ver
						а. -		
		1						
Sample	ID: MW	02	D	up. Sample	D:		Tri	ip Sample ID:

Metals Sample Field Filtered: yes/no

Construction Sciences



GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Project No: prod(EV/ p 117	Well ID: MWB3								
Project Nome: DCI	Sampler(s): 1/4 B								
Project Name: 1)51	Date: 9/1/1/								
Address: 1700,012 contensury	Date. BJ VI CI								
eard, Simmer He									
Well Status									
Monument damaged: YES / NOI N/A	Vveil ID visible: YES (NO / NA								
Locked well casing: (ES_DNO / N/A	Cap on PVC casing: YES / NO / N/A								
Casing Diameter: Go M M	Well Headspace PID (ppm):								
WQM ID:	IP ID:								
PID ID:	Sampling Equipment:								
Total well depth; 2.90 mBTOC									
Depth to water before pump install: 1. 85 mBTOC									
Depth to water after pump install: 2 . 4 mBTOC									
Pump intake depth: mBTOC									
Casing above ground: m BTOC	Weather Conditions:								
	Temperature 15-20 🗖 20.25 🕱								
	25-30 2 >30 2								
1010 15/23	Clear Parthy cloudy D Outprost								
(2.180 × 5)× ->	and cloudy Covercast								
	Calm I Slight brooze I Madanta								
Note: 50mm internal diameter pipe = 1.96 L/	m. All measurements below well coller								

Water Quality Details:

Time am / pm	Vol (L)	Depth to water (mBTOC)	DO (ppm)	EC (μS cm ⁻¹)	рН	Redox (mV)	Temp (°C)	Comment	S (colour,
	Well purge & acceptance c	stabilisation	±10%	±3%	±0.1	±10%	±10%	sheen, thickne	nent, odour, Iss)
2:12		1.490	5.23	5.8	6.5	175.1	24.6	Clear	1. 1. 1
2-13		\$1.95	5.15	6.1	5.68	177.7	24.0	"	"
2.15		2.0	4	6.0	5.8	187.1-	2.	~	**
		1.10	1.1	2.0	5.8	200.0	230	v	~
								······································	

Sample ID: VIWO3

Dup. Sample ID:

Trin Samuel

APPENDIX F LABORATORY

					Field ID	BH01-0.0-0.1	BH01-0.5-0.8	BH01-1.0-1.2	BH01-1.5-1.7	BH02-0.0-0.1	BH02-0.0-PACM	BH03-MW01-0.0-0.1
					Date	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table							
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil							
NA												
FA- Comment	Comment					1	1	1		1	1	1
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment					1	1	1		1	0	1
Approximate Sample Mass	g					775	617	659		590	9	627
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment					1	1	1		1	1	1
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment					1	1	1		1	1	1
TRH												
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500					330			210
Asbestos												
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment					1	1	1		1	1	1
Metals												
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500	2.9			4.4	4.4		
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				49			10	20		
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000	34				71		
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	38			14	100		
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200	58				43		
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000	100			11	140		
Organochlorine Pesticides												
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30							
РАН												
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5							6.2	1.4		4.0
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	0.6	0.6		9.6	2.1		6.1
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400				77.8	13.7		36.4

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

					Field ID	BH03-MW01-0.3-0.5	BH04-0.0-0.1	BH04-0.3-0.6	BH05-MW02-0.0-0.1	BH05-MW02-1.0-1.2	BH05-MW02-2.5-2.7
r					Date	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table						
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil						
NA											
FA- Comment	Comment						1		1	1	
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment						1		1	1	
Approximate Sample Mass	g						1,031		687	601	
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment						1		1	1	
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment						1		1	1	
TRH											
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500							
Asbestos											
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment						1		1	1	
Metals											
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500	4.1					
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				28		20			29
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000	10		8.3			18
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	22		17			28
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200	6.6		5.6			7.8
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000	15		12			14
Organochlorine Pesticides											
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30						
PA <u>H</u>											
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5									
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	0.6		0.6	0.6		0.6
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400						

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

					Field ID	BH06-0.0-0.1	BH06-3.7-3.8	BH07-0.0-0.1	BH07-0.8-1.0	BH07-2.5-2.7	BH08-MW03-0.0-0.1	BH08-MW03-0.5-0.7
					Date	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table							
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil							
NA												
FA- Comment	Comment					1		1	1		1	
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment					1		1	1		1	
Approximate Sample Mass	g					540		1,146	663		595	
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment					1		1	1		1	
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment					1		1	1		1	
TR <u>H</u>												
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500								
Asbestos												
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment					1		1	1		1	
Metals												
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500	7.4	26		15	57	4.7	
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				25	58		73	57	28	
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000	28	61		20	44	12	
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	32	56		58	64	29	
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200	5.5	16		5.6		5.4	
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000	23	42		13	19	35	-
Organochlorine Pesticides												
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30			0.5				
PAH												
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5										
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	0.6	0.6		0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400							

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

					Field ID	BH08-MW03-1.8-2.0	QC101	QC102	QC201	QC202
					Date	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	4/02/2021
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table					
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil					
NA										
FA- Comment	Comment									
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment									
Approximate Sample Mass	g									
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment									
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment									
TRH										
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500						
Asbestos										
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment									
Metals										
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500		6.6	3	5.0	5
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				8.8	45	13	25	11
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000		22	11	13	6.2
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	11	31	14	17	9
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200		8.9	1.9	6.7	1.4
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000		16	5	16	5
Organochlorine Pesticides										
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30					
PAH										
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5								
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	0.6				
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400					

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

					Field ID								
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table	Number of	Number of	Minimum	Minimum	Maximum	Maximum	Average	Median
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil	Results	Detects	Concentration	Detect	Concentration	Detect	Concentration *	Concentration *
NA													
FA- Comment	Comment					13	13	1	1	1	1	1	1
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment					13	13	0	0	1	1	0.92	1
Approximate Sample Mass	g					13	13	9	9	1,146	1,146	657	627
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment					13	13	1	1	1	1	1	1
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment					13	13	1	1	1	1	1	1
TRH													
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500		12	2	<100	210	<500	330	103	50
Asbestos													
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment					13	13	1	1	1	1	1	1
Metals													
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500	16	13	<2	2.9	57	57	9.3	4.55
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				16	16	8.8	8.8	73	73	31	26.5
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000	16	14	<5	6.2	71	71	23	15.5
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	16	16	9	9	100	100	34	28.5
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200	16	13	1.4	1.4	58	58	11	5.6
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000	16	15	5	5	140	140	29	15.5
Organochlorine Pesticides													
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30	3	1	<0.1	0.5	<1	0.5	0.35	0.5
РАН													
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5				16	3	<0.5	1.4	6.2	6.2	0.93	0.25
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	16	16	0.6	0.6	9.6	9.6	1.6	0.6
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400	16	3	<0.5	13.7	77.8	77.8	8.2	0.25

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

					Field ID				
I	1		ñ	-	Date				
			CRC Care HSL-C	NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7)	NEPM 2013 Table	Standard	95% UCL		
	Unit	EQL	Recreational / Open	Management Limits in	1A(1) HILs Res B Soil	Deviation *	(Student's-t) *	% of Detects	% of Non-Detects
NA									
FA- Comment	Comment					0	1	100	0
Respirable Fibres - Comment	Comment					0.28	1.06	100	0
Approximate Sample Mass	g					265	787.8	100	0
Organic Fibres - Comment	Comment					0	1	100	0
Synthetic Fibres - Comment	Comment					0	1	100	0
TRH									
C16-C34	mg/kg	100	5,300	2,500		100	155.1	17	83
Asbestos									
Asbestos Reported Result	Comment					0	1	100	0
Metals									
Arsenic	mg/kg	1			500	14	15.5	81	19
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5				19	39.77	100	0
Copper	mg/kg	0.5			30,000	20	31.66	88	12
Lead	mg/kg	1			1,200	25	44.53	100	0
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5			1,200	16	18.24	81	19
Zinc	mg/kg	2			60,000	38	45.75	94	6
Organochlorine Pesticides									
Toxaphene	mg/kg	0.1			30	0.26	0.788	33	67
РАН									
Benzo(a) pyrene	mg/kg	0.5				1.7	1.674	19	81
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5			4	2.5	2.717	100	0
PAHs (Sum of total)	mg/kg	0.5			400	21	17.31	19	81

CRC Care, 2011, CRC Care HSL-C Recreational / Open Space NEPM, NEPM 2013 Table 1B(7) Management Limits in Res / Parkland, Coarse Soil 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1A(1) HILs Res B Soil

Statistics

				Field ID	MW01	MW02	MW03	QC101	QC102	TRIP BLANK	TRIP SPIKE
				Date	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	8/02/2021
			ANZECC 2000 slightly-	NEPM 2013 Table 1C							
	Unit	EQL	moderately disturbed	GILs, Fresh Waters							
BTEX											
Benzene	μg/L	1	950	950	<1	<1	<1			<1	
	%										95
Toluene	μg/L	1			<1	<1	<1			<1	
	%										100
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	1			<1	<1	<1			<1	
	%										100
Xylene Total	μg/L	3		550	<3	<3	<3			<3	
Xylene Total	%										110
TRH											
C10-C16 (F2 minus Naphthalene)	μg/L	50			<50	<50	<50				
C6-C10 (F1 minus BTEX)	μg/L	20			<20	<20	<20				
C16-C34	μg/L	100			<100	<100	<100				
C34-C40	μg/L	100			<100	<100	<100				
Metals											
Arsenic	mg/L	0.001			0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.001			
Arsenic (filtered)	mg/L	0.001							0.001		
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002			
Cadmium (filtered)	mg/L	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002					< 0.0001		
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/L	0.001			< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001			
Chromium (III+VI) (filtered)	mg/L	0.001							< 0.001		
Copper	mg/L	0.001	0.0014	0.0014	0.006	0.004	0.007	< 0.001			
Copper (filtered)	mg/L	0.001	0.0014	0.0014					0.001		
Lead	mg/L	0.001	0.0034	0.0034	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001			
Lead (filtered)	mg/L	0.001	0.0034	0.0034					< 0.001		
Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	0.00006	0.00006	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Mercury (filtered)	mg/L	0.0001	0.00006	0.00006					< 0.0001		
Nickel	mg/L	0.001	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.032	0.017	0.010			
Nickel (filtered)	mg/L	0.001	0.011	0.011					0.009		
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	0.008	0.008	0.038	0.061	0.054	0.024			
Zinc (filtered)	mg/L	0.005	0.008	0.008					0.024		
РАН											
1-Methylnaphthalene	μg/L	0.1							<0.1		
2-methylnaphthalene	μg/L	0.1							<0.1		
Benzo(a) pyrene	μg/L	0.01			< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.1		
PAHs (Sum of total)	μg/L	0.01			<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<1		

DoE, 2000, ANZECC 2000 slightly-moderately disturbed systems 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters

Statistics



1		Number of	Number of	Minimum	Minimum	Maximum	Maximum	Average	Modian	Standard			
	Unit	Results	Detects	Concentration	Detect	Concentration	Detect	Concentration *	Concentration *	Deviation *	(Student's_t) *	% of Detects	% of Non-Detects
BTEX				concentration				concentration					pronton Detects
Benzene	ug/L	4	0	<1	ND	<1	ND	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	100
	<u>~8/ -</u> %		1	95	95	95	95		95			100	0
Toluene	ug/L	4	0	<1	ND	<1	ND	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	100
	%	1	1	100	100	100	100		100			100	0
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	4	0	<1	ND	<1	ND	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	100
	%	1	1	100	100	100	100		100			100	0
Xylene Total	μg/L	4	0	<3	ND	<3	ND	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	0	100
Xylene Total	%	1	1	110	110	110	110		110			100	0
TRH													
C10-C16 (F2 minus Naphthalene)	μg/L	3	0	<50	ND	<50	ND	25	25	0	25	0	100
C6-C10 (F1 minus BTEX)	μg/L	3	0	<20	ND	<20	ND	10	10	0	10	0	100
C16-C34	μg/L	3	0	<100	ND	<100	ND	50	50	0	50	0	100
C34-C40	μg/L	3	0	<100	ND	<100	ND	50	50	0	50	0	100
Metals													
Arsenic	mg/L	4	3	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.00088	0.001	0.00025	0.00117	75	25
Arsenic (filtered)	mg/L	1	1	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		0.001			100	0
Cadmium	mg/L	4	0	<0.0002	ND	<0.0002	ND	0.0001	0.0001	0	0.0001	0	100
Cadmium (filtered)	mg/L	1	0	<0.0001	ND	<0.0001	ND		0.00005			0	100
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/L	4	0	<0.001	ND	<0.001	ND	0.0005	0.0005	0	0.0005	0	100
Chromium (III+VI) (filtered)	mg/L	1	0	<0.001	ND	<0.001	ND		0.0005			0	100
Copper	mg/L	4	3	<0.001	0.004	0.007	0.007	0.0044	0.005	0.0029	0.00775	75	25
Copper (filtered)	mg/L	1	1	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		0.001			100	0
Lead	mg/L	4	0	<0.001	ND	<0.001	ND	0.0005	0.0005	0	0.0005	0	100
Lead (filtered)	mg/L	1	0	<0.001	ND	<0.001	ND		0.0005			0	100
Mercury	mg/L	4	0	<0.0001	ND	<0.0001	ND	0.00005	0.00005	0	0.00005	0	100
Mercury (filtered)	mg/L	1	0	<0.0001	ND	<0.0001	ND		0.00005			0	100
Nickel	mg/L	4	4	0.01	0.01	0.032	0.032	0.017	0.014	0.01	0.0294	100	0
Nickel (filtered)	mg/L	1	1	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009		0.009			100	0
Zinc	mg/L	4	4	0.024	0.024	0.061	0.061	0.044	0.046	0.017	0.0638	100	0
Zinc (filtered)	mg/L	1	1	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024		0.024			100	0
РАН			_										
1-Methylnaphthalene	µg/L	1	0	<0.1	ND	<0.1	ND		0.05			0	100
2-methylnaphthalene	µg/L		0	<0.1	ND	<0.1	ND		0.05			0	100
Benzo(a) pyrene	µg/L	5	0	<0.01	ND	<0.1	ND	0.014	0.005	0.02	0.0332	0	100
PAHs (Sum of total)	µg/L	5	0	<0.01	ND	<1	ND	0.1	0.005	0.22	0.315	0	100

DoE, 2000, ANZECC 2000 slightly-moderately disturbed sys⁻ 2013, NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters

Statistics

		Lab Report Number	772260	772260		772260	SE216295		772260	772260		772260	SE216295	
		Field ID	BH04-0.3-0.6	QC201		BH04-0.3-0.6	QC202		BH05-MW02-2.5-2.7	QC101		BH05-MW02-2.5-2.7	QC102	1
		Date	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	1	4/02/2021	4/02/2021		4/02/2021	4/02/2021	1	4/02/2021	4/02/2021	1
		Matrix Type	soil	soil	RPD	soil	soil	RPD	soil	soil	RPD	soil	soil	RPD
	Unit	EQL												
Metals														
Arsenic	mg/kg	1		5.0	86		5	86		6.6	107		3	40
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/kg	0.5	20	25	22	20	11	58	29	45	43	29	13	76
Copper	mg/kg	0.5	8.3	13	44	8.3	6.2	29	18	22	20	18	11	48
Lead	mg/kg	1	17	17	0	17	9	62	28	31	10	28	14	67
Nickel	mg/kg	0.5	5.6	6.7	18	5.6	1.4	120	7.8	8.9	13	7.8	1.9	122
Zinc	mg/kg	2	12	16	29	12	5	82	14	16	13	14	5	95
РАН														
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ calc (Half)	mg/kg	0.5	0.6			0.6			0.6			0.6		

*RPDs have only been considered where a concentration is greater than 1 times the EQL.

Elevated RPDs are highlighted as per QAQC Profile settings (Acceptable RPDs for each EQL multiplier range are: 1000 (1 - 10 x EQL); 50 (10 - 20 x EQL); 30 (> 20 x EQL)) *Interlab Duplicates are matched on a per compound basis as methods vary between laboratories. Any methods in the row header relate to those used in the primary laboratory

		Lab Report Number	772571	772571		772571	SE216282	
		Field ID	MW01	OC101	-	MW01	OC102	1
		Date	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	-	8/02/2021	8/02/2021	-
		Matrix Type	water	water	RPD	water	water	RPD
					1		1	1
	Unit	EQL						
ВТЕХ								
Benzene	μg/L	1	<1			<1		
Toluene	μg/L	1	<1			<1		
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	1	<1			<1		
Xylene Total	μg/L	3	<3			<3		
TRH								
C10-C16 (F2 minus Naphthalene)	μg/L	50	<50			<50		
C6-C10 (F1 minus BTEX)	μg/L	20	<20			<20		
C16-C34	μg/L	100	<100			<100		
C34-C40	μg/L	100	<100			<100		
Metals								
Arsenic	mg/L	0.001	0.001	0.001	0	0.001		
Arsenic (filtered)	mg/L	0.001					0.001	
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	0	<0.0002		
Cadmium (filtered)	mg/L	0.0001					< 0.0001	
Chromium (III+VI)	mg/L	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001		
Chromium (III+VI) (filtered)	mg/L	0.001					< 0.001	
Copper	mg/L	0.001	0.006	< 0.001	143	0.006		
Copper (filtered)	mg/L	0.001					0.001	
Lead	mg/L	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001		
Lead (filtered)	mg/L	0.001					< 0.001	
Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0	<0.0001		
Mercury (filtered)	mg/L	0.0001					< 0.0001	
Nickel	mg/L	0.001	0.011	0.010	10	0.011		
Nickel (filtered)	mg/L	0.001					0.009	
Zinc	mg/L	0.005	0.038	0.024	45	0.038		
Zinc (filtered)	mg/L	0.005					0.024	
РАН								
1-Methylnaphthalene	μg/L	0.1					<0.1	
2-methylnaphthalene	μg/L	0.1					<0.1	
Benzo(a) pyrene	μg/L	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.1	0
PAHs (Sum of total)	μg/L	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	< 0.01	<1	0

*RPDs have only been considered where a concentration is greater than 1 times the EQL.

**Elevated RPDs are highlighted as per QAQC Profile settings (Acceptable RPDs for each EQL multiplier range are: 1000 (1 - 10 x EQL); 50 (10 - 20 x EQL); 30 (> 20 x EQL))

***Interlab Duplicates are matched on a per compound basis as methods vary between laboratories. Any methods in the row header relate to those used in the primary laboratory



CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

3

a Laboratory Perth Laboratory Unit 121 Smallwood Piace Maranie QLD 4172 Sydney Laboratory Bu Unit F3 Bid F 16 Marx Road Laue Cove West NSW 2066 02 9500 8400

Melbourse Laboratory

	Company	Construction Sciences			2	10791	EV.P.	.117				Pro Man	ject ager	Je	essica Bro	odie			Sar	nple	(\$)		Jess	rica B	Irodie	
				Project Nar	ne	DSI S	umme	er Hill				EDD F ESdat,	ormat EQuiS	6	edat			Ha	Inde	d o	ver b	y	Jess	uica B	Irodie	
	Address	31 Anvil Road, Seven Hills NSW 21	47	CALL!			1					1		-				ł	Er	tail 1	70		ineresi	. And		ran Timere der eine, wei
	Contact Name	Jessica Brodle		illereu"															ln Er	voic nail 1	e or		lassica	e,brodie	Pconstr	ructionsciences.net
				Tola" o 'F JITE pnoing															R	sull	s Con	taine	rs			
	Phone №	439516172		es xe epecity * c attract SL														0	Chai	ige (conla nec	iner t essai	уре (У	& siz	e if	Required furnaround fime (TA3) Default will be 5 days if not ticked.
s	necial Directions			Analyse estert piears t be used to	E	а				~			0	Blank		()()()				1	1				ilnes)	Cl a Surcharge will apply Overreght (reporting by Sarrive
				s alre reque code mus		TRHABI	HA	0CD	PCB	Phene	CEC	H	Asbestos	rip Spěke/		bestos (0.	Рон				Ę		elle	ជ្	A Guide	D Şamın daşık D idayık D Zdayık D Idayık
	Purchase Order			hete metal Surre										F		As		Plastic	Dinetie	Madda	her Gla	DA vlal	AS Bob	or HDP	964, WI	🖬 5 steys (Standard)
	Quote ID N2			2														500mL	- Way	1.9Eml	ml Am	1m0t	OmL PF	(Glass	De AS4	•
-		Ollard Dama L. ID	Sampled Date/Time	Matrix														-,			200		500	Jar	Asbest	Sample Comments
		Client Sample ID	dd/mm/yy W. bh:mm	iolid (S) later (W)																					Other (/ Dangerous Goods Hazard Warning
1		BH01-8.0-0.1	42.21	S)	ĸ	x	x	x	x	x						x			T			l				
2		BH01-0.5-0.6	4.2.21				x									x			Ī							
3		BH01-1.0-1.2	4.2.21													x										
4		BH01-1.5-1.7	4.2.21	3	¢	x	x												1							
		BH01-2.0-2.2	4.2.21	-		_					_						x		1	1	1	4		4		
6		BH02-0.0-0.1	4.2.21	1	¢	x	x	_								x		_	Ļ	Ļ	_					
7		BN03-WW01-0.0-0.1	4.2.21			X	X	x	x	-	-					X			ł		+	1		-	-	
8		BH03-M901-0.3-0.5	4.2.21	1	•	X	X	_			-		_			X			ŧ	+	+		-	-	+	
9		BH03-WW01-2 5-2 7	4221					_								X	Y	+	ł	+	ŧ	╞		+	+	
11		BH03-MW01-3.7-3.9	42.21					_		-	x	¥			-	-	^	+	÷	ł	÷	ł	ŀ	╞	-	
12		BH04-0.0-0.1	4.2.21		Ť	x					n		-			x		-	t	t	÷	t	ŀ	F		
13		BH04-0.3-0,6	42.21	3	< 1		x									x			t	t	t	t	F	F		
14		BH04-2.8-3.0	4.2.21															÷	t	t	t	t	t	t		
15		BH05-WW02-0.0-0.1	4.2.21		Ť	x	x									x			t	T		t	t	T		
16		BH05-MW02-1.0-1.2	4.2.21		T	x										x			T	T	T	T	T	T		
17		BH05-WW02-2.5-2.7	4.2.21	1	۲.		x												T	T		Ī.				
18		BH05-MW02-3.0-3.2	4.2.21		1						x	x							T	T						
19		BH06-0.0-0.1	4.2.21			x										x										
20		BH05-0.5-0.8	4.2.21	1	¢	_	x									x			1	1						
21		BH06-1.0-1.2	4.2.21			_											x		1	L			L			
22		BH06-2.5-2.7	4.2.21		4	-	-						_				x	_	Ļ	Ļ	-		L	_		
23		BH06-3.5-3.7	4.2.21	1	(x	_										_	+	1					_	BH06-3.7-3.8
24		BH07-0.0-0.1	42.21			_	-	X	X	X						X		-	-	4	-		-	1	_	
25		BH07-0.8-1.0	42.21	,	(x	x	-				_			_	X		-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	
20		BH07-1.5-1.7	4.2.21			_						-					x	-	+	÷	+		-	-	-	
21		BH07-1.8-2.0	42.21		,	-	v						-				×	-	+	÷	+	+	-	+		
29		BH08-MW03-0.0-0.1	42.21	,		x	x				-			-		x			t	t	+	-	H	-	+-	
30		BH08-MW03-0.5-0.7	4.2.21				x									~			t	-	t	+		t	-	
31		BH08-14W03-1.8-2.0	4.2.21	,	(x	x			-	-			-					ł	+	-	t		-		
32		QC101	4.2.21	,															t	t	t	t	ŀ	t	t	
33		QC102	4.2.21	,	(t	t	t	t	t	T	T	Please send to SGS
34		QC201	42.21	,	(1	T	T		T	T	
35		QC202	4221	,															t		T	T		Ē		Please send to SGS
36		Trip Spike/Blank	4.2.21											x					T	T				E		
37		BH02-0.0-PACM	4.2.21										x													
38																			I	I						
39																			ļ							
																			1						Ш	
41									_										Ļ						μ	
42																										
		no e	- RIDINI		0.	~~ ^			Æ																	
			JILILI		וע	0	11	0	1 L		~	it	2	7:	7 3	71	`n									
			12:16 PI	7		2.	7	°	-		-	pr-	Т	10	- 1	-0	U									
						- •																				

#AU04_Enviro_Sample_NSW

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Jessica Brodie <jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net> Saturday, 6 February 2021 10:34 PM #AU04_Enviro_Sample_NSW Re: ATTN: Eurofins Sample Receipt Advice - Report 772260 : Site DSI SUMMER HILL (10791EV.P.117)</jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net>
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Hi Mikael,

Sorry for the COC issues.

Could you please put BH06-0.0-0.1 on for PAH and Metals (8) if there is no BH06-0.5-0.8? As 4 asbestos bags are missing, are there any that have not been selected for analysis? If so, could up to 4 of those be analysed? If there are no extra asbestos bags to be analysed, no worries.

I also see on the summary a mention of inappropriate sample containers being used. Can you advise me as to what this was?

Thank you for your time,

Get Outlook for Android

Jessica Brodie Graduate Environmental Scientist CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES



Direct +61 2 8662 1108 Head Office +61 1300 165 769 Address Unit 2, 4 Kellogg Road, Rooty Hill, NSW 2766 Email jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net Web www.constructionsciences.net

CONNECT WITH CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES in

Construction Sciences management systems are certified to ISO9001 (quality) and ISO45001 (occupational health and safety)

This email and its attachments may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). All electronically supplied data must be checked against an applicable hardcopy version which shall be the only document which Construction Sciences warrants accuracy. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, distribution or copying of the information contained in this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please email the sender by replying to this message and immediately delete and destroy any copies of this email and any attachments. The views or opinions expressed are the author's own and may not reflect the views or opinions of Construction Sciences.

From: EnviroSampleNSW@eurofins.com <EnviroSampleNSW@eurofins.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 6, 2021 9:03:37 PM
To: Jessica Brodie <jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net>
Subject: ATTN: Eurofins Sample Receipt Advice - Report 772260 : Site DSI SUMMER HILL (10791EV.P.117)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Valued Client,

Sample BH01-0.5-0.8 received labelled as BH01-0.3-0.6. Sample BH01-0.8-0.1 received as extra (jar only) and logged on hold. Sample BH06-0.5-0.8 not received, analysis cancelled. Asbestos bags not received for samples BH03-MW01-0.3-0.5, BH03-MW01-1.0-1.2, BH04-0.3-0.6, BH07-0.8-1.0, asbestos analysis cancelled. Samples QC102 and QC202 (1 jar each) forwarded to SGS for analysis.

Please find attached a Sample Receipt Advice (SRA), a Summary Sheet and a scanned copy of your Chain-of-Custody (COC). It is important that you check this documentation to ensure that the details are correct such as the Client Job Number, Turn Around Time, any comments in the Notes section and sample numbers as well as the requested analysis. If there are any irregularities then please contact your Eurofins Analytical Services Manager as soon as possible to make certain that they get changed.

Kind regards, Mickael Ros Sample Receipt

Eurofins | Environmental Testing

Unit F3, Parkview Building 16 Mars Road LANE COVE WEST NSW 2066 AUSTRALIA Phone: +61 02 9900 8421 Email: <u>EnviroSampleNSW@eurofins.com</u> Website: <u>environment.eurofins.com.au</u>

EnviroNote 1108 - Emissions from Stationary Sources EnviroNote 1103 - NATA Accreditation for Dioxins

Click <u>here</u> to report this email as spam.

ScannedByWebsenseForEurofins



ABN: 50 005 085 521

www.eurofins.com.au

EnviroSales@eurofins.com

New Zealand

Australia

Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3175 16 Mars Road Phone : +61 3 8564 5000 Lane Cove We NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271

Brisbane Unit E3 Building E NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

 Muraris Road
 Muraris QLD 4172

 Lane Cove West NSW 2066
 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600

 Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
 NATA # 1261 Site # 2007
 1/21 Smallwood Place NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736

Newcastle 4/52 Industrial Drive Mayfield East NSW 2304 PO Box 60 Wickham 2293 Phone : +61 2 4968 8448

Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327

Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290

Sample Receipt Advice

Sydney

Company name:	Construction Sciences P/L (Seven Hills)
Contact name:	Jessica Brodie
Project name:	DSI SUMMER HILL
Project ID:	10791EV.P.117
Turnaround time:	5 Day
Date/Time received	Feb 5, 2021 12:16 PM
Eurofins reference	772260

Sample Information

- A detailed list of analytes logged into our LIMS, is included in the attached summary table. 1
- X All samples have been received as described on the above COC.
- COC has been completed correctly. /
- Attempt to chill was evident.
- Appropriately preserved sample containers have been used.
- All samples were received in good condition.
- Samples have been provided with adequate time to commence analysis in accordance with the relevant holding times.
- Appropriate sample containers have been used.
- Sample containers for volatile analysis received with zero headspace.
- Split sample sent to requested external lab.
- X Some samples have been subcontracted.
- N/A Custody Seals intact (if used).

Notes

Sample BH01-0.5-0.8 received labelled as BH01-0.3-0.6. Sample BH01-0.8-0.1 received as extra (jar only) and logged on hold. Asbestos bags not received for samples BH03-MW01-0.3-0.5, BH03-MW01-1.0-1.2, BH04-0.3-0.6, BH07-0.8-1.0, asbestos analysis cancelled. Samples QC102 and QC202 (1 jar each) forwarded to SGS for analysis.

Contact

If you have any questions with respect to these samples, please contact your Analytical Services Manager:

Ursula Long on phone : or by email: UrsulaLong@eurofins.com

Results will be delivered electronically via email to Jessica Brodie - jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net.

Note: A copy of these results will also be delivered to the general Construction Sciences P/L (Seven Hills) email address.

Global Leader - Results you can trust

	eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	lew Zealand	
	curon	Envi	ironment	Testing	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261	0 L	ydney Init F3, I 6 Mars ane Cov hone : -	Building Road /e West -61 2 99	F t NSW 2 900 840	8 1/ 2066 Pi 0 N	risbane (21 Sma lurarrie hone : - ATA # 1	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Si	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	P 2 K 0 P 94 N	erth /91 Lead ewdale hone : + IATA # 1	ch High WA 610 -61 8 92 I261	way)5 251 960	N 4/ M 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial Di East NS 60 Wickl ⊦61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 34 93 P 8 1/	Auckland 5 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
ABN: 5	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	email: EnviroSale	es@eurofins.com	Site # 1254 & 14271	N	IATA # '	1261 Sit	te # 182	17				S	ite # 23	736								
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Construction 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	Sciences P/L d	. (Seven Hills)		O R Pl Fa	rder N eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7 1	77226 1300 -	60 165 70	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	РМ
Pre	oject Name:	DSI SUMME	R HILL																					
Pro	oject ID:	10791EV.P.	117															Е	urofi	ns An	alytic	al Se	rvices Manager : L	Irsula Long
Sample Detail Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271						Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Melt	oourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271												Х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	_	
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794																				_	
Pert	h Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 237	'36																				-	
May	field Laboratory	/																					_	
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling	Matrix	LAB ID																		1	
1	BH01-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	TIME	Soil	S21-Fe13837	x								Х	x					x			-	
2	BH01-0.5-0.8	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13838	x					х				x								-	
3	BH01-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13839	Х																		
4	BH01-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13840									L	X		Х]	
5	BH02-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13841	Х									Х		Х							
6	BH03-MW01- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13842	х								х	х				х					
7	BH03-MW01- 0.3-0.5	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13843										x		х							
8	BH03-MW01-	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13844				Х															

	eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN:	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	vironment " au email: EnviroSales	Testing s@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8175 1 0 L N 0 N	Sydney Jnit F3, 6 Mars ane Co Phone : NATA #	Building Road ve Wesi +61 2 9 1261 Si	g F t NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 P 0 N 217	risbane /21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # 1	allwood QLD 4 61 7 39	Place 172 902 460 e # 207	2 K 0 P 94 N S	Perth /91 Lead Cewdale Phone : 4 IATA # 1 Site # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊦61 8 92 1261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4, 0 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick F61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 4 F 93 F 8 I/	Auckland 55 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Cc Ac	ompany Name: Idress:	Constructio 31 Anvil Rc Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L pad	(Seven Hills))		O R P F	erder I eport hone: ax:	No.: : #:	7	77226 1300 1	0 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pr Pr	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMM 10791EV.P	ER HILL 9.117															E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
		S			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	НОГД	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH			
Mell	ourne Laborate	ory - NATA Sit	e # 1254 & 142	71												Х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х		
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site	# 20794																					
Pert	h Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 23	3736																					
May	field Laboratory	/																					4	
Exte	ernal Laboratory	/					_														ļ		4	
	1.0-1.2	-		0 "			_																4	
9	BH03-MW01- 3.7-3.9	Feb 04, 2021		Soll	S21-Fe13845					Х					Х	Х								
10	BH04-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13846	Х									Х			X						
11	BH04-0.3-0.6	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13847						Х	X			X								4	
12	BH05-MW02- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13848	x									x				х					
13	BH05-MW02- 1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13849	х									x			х						
14	BH05-MW02- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13850						х	х			x									
15	BH05-MW02-	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13851					х					х	х								

	eurofi	ns		Australia																		New Zealand	
ABN: 5	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	u email: EnviroSales@eurofins.	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 xom Site # 1254 & 14271 Site # 1254 & 14271	S 3175 1 00 L F N	Sydney Jnit F3, I 6 Mars ane Co Phone : - NATA #	Building Road ve West +61 2 99 1261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 Pl 0 N. 217	risbane /21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # /	allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Si	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	194 N	Perth 2/91 Lea Kewdale Phone : - NATA # Site # 23	ch High WA 610 +61 8 92 1261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4, 10 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 50 Wick ⊦61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	4 F 93 F 8 L	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Construction 31 Anvil Ros Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven H ad	lills)		O R Pl Fa	rder M eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226 1300 -	0 165 70	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pre Pre	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117														E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : I	Jrsula Long
			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH				
Mell	ourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	e # 1254 & 14271												Х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217		Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	X	х	х	x	х	х	X	Х		
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	‡ 20794																				
Pert	h Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 23	736				ļ						ļ							ļ	ļ		
Мау	field Laboratory	/											 							ļ	ļ		
Exte	ernal Laboratory	/	I I																			_	
	3.0-3.2																					_	
16	BH06-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13852	X					X	X			X			X					_	
17	BH06-0.5-0.8	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13853			X			×												-	
18	BH06-3.7-3.8	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13854	Y					X	X	X	×	X								-	
19	BH07-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13855	X							X	X	X								-	
20	BHU7-0.8-1.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soll	521-Fe13856	X												-					-	
21	BH08-MW03	Feb 04, 2021	Soll	S21-Fe13857			-	-		X							-					-	
23	0.0-0.1 BH08-MW03-	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13850	X	-								X		X						-	
	0.5-0.7			0211010000						X				X									

	eurofi	ns		Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 5	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	ironment Testing	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 om Site # 1254 & 14271	S U 3175 1 00 L P N	ydney Jnit F3, 6 Mars ane Co Phone : - IATA #	Building Road ve West +61 2 99	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 P 10 N 217	/21 Sma /21 Sma lurarrie hone : - ATA #	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	F 2 10 10 794 8	Perth 2/91 Lea Cewdale Phone : ATA # Site # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊧61 8 92 1261 736	way)5 251 960	N 4/ 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick ⊧61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 1 P 93 P 3 1/	Auckland 15 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Constructior 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven H ad	ills)		O R F	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226 1300 -	0 165 70	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117														E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH				
Mell	pourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 14271												Х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217		Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	X	Х	х	X	х		
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794																				
Pert	h Laboratory - N	ATA Site # 23	736																				
Мау	field Laboratory	1																		ļ		_	
Exte	rnal Laboratory	, 	I I																	ļ		4	
24	BH08-MW03- 1.8-2.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13860										x		х						_	
25	QC101	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13861	-						X			X								-	
26	QC201	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13862	-						X			X								4	
27	TRIP SPIKE	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13863																	X	-	
28	TRIP SPIKE	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13864																	х	_	
29	TRIP BLANK	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13865		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			X		4	
30	BH02-0.0- PACM	Feb 04, 2021	Building Materials	S21-Fe13866		x																	
31	BH01-2.0-2.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13867	1	1	 	X			 									ļ		4	
32	BH03-MW01-	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13868				Х															

🥵 eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	Vew Zealand	
ABN: 50 005 085 521 web:	En	vironment Tes	sting	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone: +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8175 1 0 L F	Sydney Jnit F3, 6 Mars ane Co Phone :	Building Road ve West +61 2 99	F NSW 2 900 840	8 1/ 2066 P 0 N	risbane /21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # 1	allwood QLD 4 61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 4600 e # 2079	P 2 K 0 F 94 N	Perth /91 Lead (ewdale Phone : + IATA # 1 Site # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊦61 8 92 1261 736	way)5 251 960	N 4/ M 0 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East N\$ 50 Wick ⊦61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 4 P 93 P 8 I/	uckland i5 O'Rorke Road ³ enrose, Auckland 1061 ³ hone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
ABN: 50 003 003 321 Web.	www.euronnis.com		101113.00111	One # 1234 & 14271			1201 01	10 # 102	. 17					<i>ne + 2</i> 5	/ 50								
Company Name: Address:	Constructio 31 Anvil Ro Seven Hills NSW 2147	on Sciences P/L (Se oad S	ven Hills)		O R P F	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226 1300 1	0 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	РМ
Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUMM 10791EV.F	1er Hill P.117															E	urofiı	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : !	Ursula Long
		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH					
Melbourne Laborate	ory - NATA Si	te # 1254 & 14271													X							-	
Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х		
Brisbane Laborator	y - NATA Site	# 20794																					
Perth Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 2	3736																					
Mayfield Laboratory	/																						
External Laboratory	/																						
32 BH03-MW01- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13868																			
33 BH04-2.8-3.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13869				Х														4	
34 BH06-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13870				Х															
35 BH06-2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13871				Х															
36 BH07-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13872				Х															
37 BH07-1.8-2.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	I	S21-Fe13873				Х														_	
38 BH01-0.8-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soi	1	S21-Fe13874				Х														_	
Test Counts					12	1	1	9	2	7	7	1	3	23	2	6	3	2	1	1	2		



Certificate of Analysis

Environment Testing

Construction Sciences P/L (Seven Hills) 31 Anvil Road Seven Hills NSW 2147



NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025–Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Attention:	Jessica Brodie
Report	772260-AID
Project Name	DSI SUMMER HILL
Project ID	10791EV.P.117
Received Date	Feb 05, 2021
Date Reported	Feb 17, 2021

Methodology:

Asbestos Fibre Identification	Conducted in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 4964 – 2004: Method for the Qualitative Identification of Asbestos in Bulk Samples and in-house Method LTM-ASB-8020 by polarised light microscopy (PLM) and dispersion staining (DS) techniques. NOTE: Positive Trace Analysis results indicate the sample contains detectable respirable fibres.
Unknown Mineral Fibres	Mineral fibres of unknown type, as determined by PLM with DS, may require another analytical technique, such as Electron Microscopy, to confirm unequivocal identity. NOTE: While Actinolite, Anthophyllite and Tremolite asbestos may be detected by PLM with DS, due to variability in the optical properties of these materials, AS4964 requires that these are reported as UMF unless confirmed by an independent technique.
Subsampling Soil Samples	The whole sample submitted is first dried and then passed through a 10mm sieve followed by a 2mm sieve. All fibrous matter greater than 10mm, greater than 2mm as well as the material passing through the 2mm sieve are retained and analysed for the presence of asbestos. If the sub 2mm fraction is greater than approximately 30 to 60g then a sub-sampling routine based on ISO 3082:2009(E) is employed. <i>NOTE: Depending on the nature and size of the soil sample, the sub-2 mm residue material may need to be sub-sampled for trace analysis, in accordance with AS 4964-2004.</i>
Bonded asbestos- containing material (ACM)	The material is first examined and any fibres isolated for identification by PLM and DS. Where required, interfering matrices may be removed by disintegration using a range of heat, chemical or physical treatments, possibly in combination. The resultant material is then further examined in accordance with AS 4964 - 2004. NOTE: Even after disintegration it may be difficult to detect the presence of asbestos in some asbestos-containing bulk materials using PLM and DS. This is due to the low grade or small length or diameter of the asbestos fibres present in the material, or to the fact that very fine fibres have been distributed intimately throughout the materials. Vinyl/asbestos floor tiles, some asbestos-containing sealants and mastics, asbestos-containing epoxy resins and some ore samples are examples of these types of material, which are difficult to analyse.
Limit of Reporting	The performance limitation of the AS 4964 (2004) method for non-homogeneous samples is around 0.1 g/kg (equivalent to 0.01% (w/w)). Where no asbestos is found by PLM and DS, including Trace Analysis, this is considered to be at the nominal reporting limit of 0.01% (w/w). The NEPM screening level of 0.001% (w/w) is intended as an on-site determination, not a laboratory Limit of Reporting (LOR), per se. Examination of a large sample size (e.g. 500 mL) may improve the likelihood of detecting asbestos, particularly AF, to aid assessment against the NEPM criteria. Gravimetric determinations to this level of accuracy are outside of AS 4964 and hence NATA Accreditation does not cover the performance of this service (non-NATA results shown with an asterisk). NOTE: NATA News March 2014, p.7, states in relation to AS 4964: "This is a qualitative method with a nominal reporting limit of 0.01%" and that currently in Australia "there is no validated method available for the quantification of asbestos". This report is consistent with the analytical procedures and reporting recommendations in the NEPM and the WA DoH.





Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025–Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Project Name	DSI SUMMER HILL
Project ID	10791EV.P.117
Date Sampled	Feb 04, 2021
Report	772260-AID

Client Sample ID	Eurofins Sample No.	Date Sampled	Sample Description	Result
BH01-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13837	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 775g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained soil, corroded metal, glass and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH01-0.5-0.8	21-Fe13838	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 617g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained soil, glass and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH01-1.0-1.2	21-Fe13839	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 659g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained soil, brick, glass and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH02-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13841	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 590g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained soil, brick, glass and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH03-MW01-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13842	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 627g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained sandy soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH04-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13846	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 1031g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil, glass, brick and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH05-MW02-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13848	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 687g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH05-MW02-1.0-1.2	21-Fe13849	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 601g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.





NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025–Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Client Sample ID	Eurofins Sample No.	Date Sampled	Sample Description	Result
BH06-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13852	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 540g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH07-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13855	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 1146g Sample consisted of: Brown coarse-grained soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH07-0.8-1.0	21-Fe13856	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 663g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH08-MW03-0.0-0.1	21-Fe13858	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 595g Sample consisted of: Brown fine-grained clayey soil and rocks	No asbestos detected at the reporting limit of 0.001% w/w.* Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.
BH02-0.0-PACM	21-Fe13866	Feb 04, 2021	Approximate Sample 9g / 50x20x5mm Sample consisted of: Brown fibre plaster cement fragment	No asbestos detected. Organic fibre detected. No trace asbestos detected.



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Asbestos - LTM-ASB-8020	Sydney	Feb 06, 2021	Indefinite
Asbestos - LTM-ASB-8020	Sydney	Feb 06, 2021	Indefinite

	eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	lew Zealand	
ABN: 6	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	email: EnviroSale	Testing es@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 5000 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8 U 175 1 0 L P N	ydney Init F3, I 6 Mars ane Co hone : - IATA #	Building Road ve West -61 2 99 1261 Sit	F t NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 Pl 0 N 217	risbane 21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # 1	allwood QLD 4 ⁻ -61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 e # 207	P 2/ K 0 P 94 N S	erth '91 Lead ewdale hone : + ATA # 1 ite # 23	ch High WA 610 -61 8 92 1261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4, N 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 0 Wick +61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 4 P 93 P 8 I/	Auckland 15 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone: 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Construction 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	Sciences P/L d	. (Seven Hills))		O R P Fa	rder N eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	7226 300 1	0 165 76	<u>59</u>						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ime:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pre Pre	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	R HILL I 17															E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : L	Irsula Long
		Sa			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH			
Mell	oourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271												х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			X	X	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	_	
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794																				_	
Pert	h Laboratory - N	ATA Site # 237	'36																				_	
May	field Laboratory	,																					_	
Exte	ernal Laboratory		o "																				-	
NO	Sample ID	Sample Date	Time	Matrix	LABID																			
1	BH01-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13837	Х								Х	x					х			_	
2	BH01-0.5-0.8	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13838	Х			<u> </u>		Х				X			<u> </u>					4	
3	BH01-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13839	Х												<u> </u>					4	
4	BH01-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13840										X		Х	<u> </u>					4	
5	BH02-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13841	Х									X		Х	<u> </u>					4	
6	BH03-MW01- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13842	х								х	x				х					
7	BH03-MW01- 0.3-0.5	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13843										x		х						_	
8	BH03-MW01-	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13844				Х															

	eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 50	005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	vironment Te	esting eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8 U 175 1 0 L P N	ydney Init F3, I 6 Mars ane Cov hone : - IATA #	Building Road ve West -61 2 99 1261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 066 Pl 0 N 17	risbane /21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # 1	allwood QLD 4 ⁻ -61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 e # 207	P 2 K 0 P 94 N S	erth /91 Lead ewdale hone : + ATA # 1 ite # 23	ch High WA 610 -61 8 92 261 736	way)5 251 960	N 4/ M 0 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield I O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick 61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 4 P 93 P 8 I/	Auckland 55 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Com Add	npany Name: ress:	Constructio 31 Anvil Ro Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (S ad	Seven Hills)		O Ri Pi Fa	rder N eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226 1300 1	0 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Proj Proj	ect Name: ect ID:	DSI SUMM 10791EV.P	ER HILL .117															E	urofir	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
		S			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH			
Melbo	ourne Laborato	ory - NATA Sit	e # 1254 & 14271													Х								
Sydne	ey Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217			X	X	Х	X	х	Х	X	х	Х	X	х	х	X	х	х	X	Х	_	
Brisba	ane Laborator	y - NATA Site	# 20794																				4	
Perth	Laboratory - N	ATA Site # 23	5736										<u> </u>										4	
Mayfie	eld Laboratory																<u> </u>						-	
Extern	1 0-1 2																						-	
9 E	BH03-MW01- 3.7-3.9	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13845					x					x	x								
10 E	BH04-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13846	Х									Х			Х						
11 E	BH04-0.3-0.6	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13847						Х	Х			X								4	
12 E	BH05-MW02- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13848	х									x				x					
13 E	BH05-MW02- 1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13849	x									x			x						
14 E	BH05-MW02- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13850						х	x			x									
15 E	BH05-MW02-	Feb 04, 2021	S	oil	S21-Fe13851					Х					Х	Х								

	eurofi	ns		Australia																	N	lew Zealand	
ABN: 5	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	u email: EnviroSales@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 n Site # 1254 & 14271	S 3175 1 10 L F N	ydney Init F3, I 6 Mars ane Cov Phone : - IATA #	Building Road ve West +61 2 99 1261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 066 Pi 0 N 17	risbane (21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # ^	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Si	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	P 2 6 94 94 8	Perth /91 Lea (ewdale Phone : - IATA # Site # 23	ch High WA 610 +61 8 92 1261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4, N 0 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick F61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3: 4 P 93 P 8 IA	uckland 5 O'Rorke Road 'enrose, Auckland 1061 'hone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767: Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Construction 31 Anvil Ros Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven Hil ad	s)		O R Pi Fi	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226 1300 <i>1</i>	0 165 70	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ime:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMMI 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117														E	urofiı	ns An	alytic	al Se	rvices Manager : I	Ursula Long
		Sa	ample Detail		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Melk	oourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	e # 1254 & 14271												х		ļ					_	
Sydi	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	_	
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	<u>≠ 20794</u>		-																	-	
Pert	n Laboratory - N	ATA Site # 23	/30		-		-					-			-							-	
Exto	melu Laboratory	,									-											4	
LALE	3.0-3.2																					-	
16	BH06-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13852	x		1			x	x		1	x		1	x					1	
17	BH06-0.5-0.8	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13853			Х																
18	BH06-3.7-3.8	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13854						Х	Х			Х									
19	BH07-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13855	Х							Х	Х	Х									
20	BH07-0.8-1.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13856	Х									Х		х							
21	BH07-2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13857						х	x			X									
22	BH08-MW03- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13858	x									x		x							
23	BH08-MW03- 0.5-0.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13859						Х				x									

💐 eurofir	15		1	Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 50 005 085 521 web: w	ww.eurofins.com.au	ironment Testi	ng I ns.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 5000 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8 U 175 1 D L N N	ydney nit F3, I 6 Mars ane Cov hone : - ATA # 1	Building Road ve West ⊦61 2 99 1261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 Pl 0 N 217	risbane 21 Sma lurarrie hone : + ATA # 1	allwood QLD 4 ⁷ -61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 e # 207	F 2 k 0 F 94 N S	Perth 2/91 Lea Cewdale Phone : - NATA # Site # 23	ch High WA 610 +61 8 92 1261 736	way)5 251 960	N 4, 10 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick 61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	4 F 93 F 8 I/	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Construction 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	a Sciences P/L (Sever ad	n Hills)			O R Pl Fa	rder M eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	7226 300 1	0 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ime:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117															E	urofi	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
	Sa	Imple Detail			Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Melbourne Laborator	ry - NATA Site	# 1254 & 14271													Х								
Sydney Laboratory -	NATA Site # 1	8217			х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	_	
Brisbane Laboratory	- NATA Site #	20794													ļ		ļ					_	
Perth Laboratory - NA	ATA Site # 237	736																				_	
Mayfield Laboratory																						_	
External Laboratory	F 1 04 000									<u> </u>						-					<u> </u>	-	
24 BH08-MW03- 1.8-2.0	⊦eb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13860										x		Х							
25 QC101	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13861							X			X	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					4	
26 QC201	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13862							X			X			<u> </u>					4	
27 TRIP SPIKE	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13863																	Х	4	
28 TRIP BLANK	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13865											-		-			X		4	
29 BH02-0.0- PACM	Feb 04, 2021	Buildir Materi	ng als	S21-Fe13866		x																	
30 BH01-2.0-2.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13867				Х														_	
31 BH03-MW01- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13868				x															
32 BH04-2.8-3.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil		S21-Fe13869				х															

🔅 eurofi	ns			Australia																	1	New Zealand	
ABN: 50 005 085 521 web: v	www.eurofins.c	Enviro om.au em	ail: EnviroSales@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 5000 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	S L 175 1 0 L F N	Sydney Init F3, 6 Mars ane Co Phone : IATA #	Building Road ve West +61 2 9 1261 Si) F t NSW 2 900 840 ite # 182	B 1, № 2066 P 10 N 217	/21 Sm /21 Sm lurarrie hone : IATA #	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 3 1261 Si	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	F 2 % 94 N 5	Perth /91 Lead (ewdale Phone : + IATA # 1 Site # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊦61 8 92 I261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4 10 P	lewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box (hone : -	ile Istrial D East NS 50 Wick +61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 22 968 844	4 F 93 F 8 I	uckland 15 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767; Phone: 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Constru 31 Anvil Seven H NSW 21	ction So Road Iills 47	siences P/L (Seven Hills)			O R P F	rder M eport hone: ax:	No.: :#:		77226 1300	60 165 7	69						Rece Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	РМ
Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUI 10791E	MMER I √.P.117	HILL ,														E	urofi	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : I	Jrsula Long
		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH					
Melbourne Laborato	ry - NATA	Site # 1	254 & 14271				—	─	 						Х							_	
Sydney Laboratory	NATA Sit	e # 182	17		X	X	<u> </u>	+ <u>×</u>	+ <u>×</u>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	4	
Brisbane Laboratory	ATA Site	11e # 20	194				+	+	+	-							-					-	
Mayfield Laboratory	ATA Sile i	23130					+	+	+													-	
External Laboratory							+	+	1											1		1	
33 BH06-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 20	21	Soil	S21-Fe13870			1	x														-	
34 BH06-2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 20	21	Soil	S21-Fe13871				х															
35 BH07-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 20	21	Soil	S21-Fe13872				х															
36 BH07-1.8-2.0	Feb 04, 20	21	Soil	S21-Fe13873			\perp	X															
37 BH01-0.8-0.1	Feb 04, 20	21	Soil	S21-Fe13874			\perp	X	\bot													4	
Test Counts					12	1	1	9	2	7	7	1	3	23	2	6	3	2	1	1	1		



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. QC data may be available on request.

- 2. All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 4. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- 5. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the Sample Receipt Advice.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

Units

% w/w: weight for weight h	asis	grams per kilogram			
Filter leading:		fitnes/400 stoticule stoce			
Filter loading:		libres/ roo graticule areas			
Reported Concentration:		fibres/mL			
Flowrate:		L/min			
Terms					
Dry	Sample is dried by heating prior to analysis				
LOR	Limit of Reporting				
COC	Chain of Custody				
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice				
ISO	International Standards Organisation				
AS	Australian Standards				
WA DOH	Reference document for the NEPM. Government of Western Austr Sites in Western Australia (2009), including supporting document F	alia, Guidelines for the Assessment, Remediation and Management of Asbestos-Contaminated Recommended Procedures for Laboratory Analysis of Asbestos in Soil (2011)			
NEPM	National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination	on) Measure, 2013 (as amended)			
ACM	Asbestos Containing Materials. Asbestos contained within a non-asbestos matrix, typically presented in bonded and/or sound condition. For the purposes of the NEPM, ACM is generally restricted to those materials that do not pass a 7mm x 7mm sieve.				
AF	Asbestos Fines. Asbestos containing materials, including friable, w equivalent to "non-bonded / friable".	eathered and bonded materials, able to pass a 7mm x 7mm sieve. Considered under the NEPM as			
FA	Fibrous Asbestos. Asbestos containing materials in a friable and/or materials that do not pass a 7mm x 7mm sieve.	severely weathered condition. For the purposes of the NEPM, FA is generally restricted to those			
Friable	Asbestos-containing materials of any size that may be broken or cr outside of the laboratory's remit to assess degree of friability.	umbled by hand pressure. For the purposes of the NEPM, this includes both AF and FA. It is			
Trace Analysis	Analytical procedure used to detect the presence of respirable fibre	as in the matrix.			



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
N/A	Not applicable

Asbestos Counter/Identifier:

Chamath JHM Annakkage Senior Analyst-Asbestos (NSW)

Authorised by:

Sayeed Abu

Senior Analyst-Asbestos (NSW)

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final Report – this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.



Certificate of Analysis

Environment Testing

Construction Sciences P/L (Seven Hills) 31 Anvil Road Seven Hills NSW 2147





NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

	-	
Atto	ntic	n.
Allo	THUC	

Jessica Brodie

Report Project name Project ID Received Date 772260-S DSI SUMMER HILL 10791EV.P.117 Feb 05, 2021

Client Sample ID			^{G01} BH01-0.0-0.1	BH01-0.5-0.8	BH01-1.5-1.7	BH02-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13837	S21-Fe13838	S21-Fe13840	S21-Fe13841
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract						
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 250	-	270	63
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 250	-	< 50	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 250	-	270	63
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	-	< 0.3	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	56	-	67	INT
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 250	-	< 50	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	< 250	-	< 50	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 500	-	330	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 500	-	< 100	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 500	-	330	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	9.6	1.9
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	9.6	2.1
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	9.6	2.4
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.9	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.6	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	8.0	1.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	6.2	1.4
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	4.5	1.0
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	4.0	1.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.7	1.3
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.6	1.2


Client Sample ID			G01BH01-0.0-0.1	BH01-0.5-0.8	BH01-1.5-1.7	BH02-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Furofins Sample No			S21-Fe13837	S21-Fe13838	S21-Fe13840	S21-Fe13841
Date Sampled			Eab 04, 2021	Eab 04, 2021	Eab 04, 2021	Eab 04, 2021
	1.05		reb 04, 2021	reb 04, 2021	reb 04, 2021	reb 04, 2021
lest/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.2	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	12	1.9
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.1	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	3.0	0.7
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	12	1.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	11	2.1
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	77.8	13.7
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	72	66	55	73
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	83	59	67	74
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	0.1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
4.4'-DDD	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
4.4'-DDE	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
4.4'-DDT	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
a-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aldrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
b-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
d-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Dieldrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endosulfan I	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endosulfan II	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endosulfan sulphate	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endrin aldehyde	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Endrin ketone	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Heptachlor	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Heptachlor epoxide	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Methoxychlor	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Toxaphene	0.1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	137	-	-	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	109	-	-	-
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1232	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1242	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1248	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1254	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1260	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Total PCB*	0.5	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	137		-	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	109	-	-	-



Client Sample ID			^{G01} BH01-0.0-0.1	BH01-0.5-0.8	BH01-1.5-1.7	BH02-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13837	S21-Fe13838	S21-Fe13840	S21-Fe13841
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Phenols (Halogenated)		-				
2-Chlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
2.4-Dichlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
2.6-Dichlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Pentachlorophenol	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	10	mg/kg	< 10	-	-	-
Total Halogenated Phenol*	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
Phenols (non-Halogenated)						
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	5	mg/kg	< 5	-	-	-
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	-	-
2-Nitrophenol	1	mg/kg	< 1	-	-	-
2.4-Dimethylphenol	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
2.4-Dinitrophenol	5	mg/kg	< 5	-	-	-
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	-	-	-
4-Nitrophenol	5	mg/kg	< 5	-	-	-
Dinoseb	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
Phenol	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Total Non-Halogenated Phenol*	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
Phenol-d6 (surr.)	1	%	60	-	-	-
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	2.9	-	4.4	4.4
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	-	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	49	-	10	20
Copper	5	mg/kg	34	-	< 5	71
Lead	5	mg/kg	38	-	14	100
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	58	-	< 5	43
Zinc	5	mg/kg	100	-	11	140
% Moisture	1	%	8.2	7.5	8.8	8.8

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No.			BH03-MW01- 0.0-0.1 Soil S21-Fe13842	BH03-MW01- 0.3-0.5 Soil S21-Fe13843	BH03-MW01- 3.7-3.9 Soil S21-Fe13845	BH04-0.0-0.1 Soil S21-Fe13846
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions					
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	-	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	24	< 20	-	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	160	< 50	-	< 50
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	-	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	184	< 50	-	< 50



Client Sample ID			BH03-MW01- 0.0-0.1	BH03-MW01- 0.3-0.5	BH03-MW01- 3.7-3.9	BH04-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13842	S21-Fe13843	S21-Fe13845	S21-Fe13846
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	, i		, i	
BTEX	2011	Onit				
Benzene	0.1	ma/ka	< 0.1	< 0.1	_	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	ma/ka	< 0.1	< 0.1	-	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	ma/ka	< 0.1	< 0.1	-	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	ma/ka	< 0.2	< 0.2	-	< 0.2
o-Xvlene	0.1	ma/ka	< 0.1	< 0.1	-	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	-	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	130	71	-	83
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	ma/ka	< 20	< 20	-	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	ma/ka	< 20	< 20	-	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	ma/ka	< 50	< 50	-	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	ma/ka	< 50	< 50	-	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	210	< 100	-	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	-	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	210	< 100	-	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	ma/ka	6.1	< 0.5	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	ma/ka	6.1	0.6	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	ma/ka	6.1	1.2	-	-
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	< 0.5	-	-
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	4.0	< 0.5	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	4.0	< 0.5	-	-
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	2.2	< 0.5	-	-
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	3.2	< 0.5	-	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	3.7	< 0.5	-	-
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	3.0	< 0.5	-	-
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	0.8	< 0.5	-	-
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	4.6	< 0.5	-	-
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	2.1	< 0.5	-	-
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	3.4	< 0.5	-	-
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	4.8	< 0.5	-	-
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	36.4	< 0.5	-	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	59	62	-	-
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	77	107	-	-
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
4.4'-DDD	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
4.4'-DDE	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
4.4'-DDT	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
a-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Aldrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
b-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
d-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Dieldrin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-



			RH02_MW01_	RH02_MW01_	BH02_MW01_	
Client Sample ID			0.0-0.1	0.3-0.5	3.7-3.9	BH04-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13842	S21-Fe13843	S21-Fe13845	S21-Fe13846
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Organochlorine Pesticides	LOIN	Onic				
Endosulfan I	0.05	ma/ka	< 0.05			
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Englin Englin	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	mg/кg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Heptachlor	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Heptachlor epoxide	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Methoxychlor	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	-	-
Toxaphene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	< 0.05	-	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	-	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	57	-	-	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	67	-	-	-
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
Aroclor-1232	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aroclor-1242	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aroclor-1248	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aroclor-1254	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	-	-	-
Aroclor-1260	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	-	-	-
Total PCB*	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	-	-	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	57	-	-	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	67	_	_	_
Heavy Metals	•	70	0.			
	2	ma/ka		11		
Codmium		mg/kg	-	4.1	-	-
Chromium	<u> </u>	mg/kg	-	20.4	-	-
Conner	5 5	mg/kg	-	28	-	-
	5 F	mg/kg	-	10	-	-
	5	mg/kg	-	22	-	-
Mercury	0.1	mg/кg	-	< 0.1	-	-
	5	mg/kg	-	6.6	-	-
	5	mg/kg	-	15	-	-
% Moisture	1	%	9.7	14	16	13
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	-	-	11	-
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units	-	-	5.9	-
Cation Exchange Capacity						
Cation Exchange Capacity	0.05	meq/100g	-	-	12	-



Sample Matrix Soil	Client Sample ID			BH04-0 3-0 6	BH05-MW02-	BH05-MW02-	BH05-MW02-
Entrofins Sample No. S21-Fe13847 S31-Fe13848 S21-Fe13847 S31-Fe13848 S31-Fe13849 S31-Fe13849 </td <td>Sample Matrix</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Soil</td> <td>Soil</td> <td>Soil</td> <td>Soil</td>	Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Eurofine Sample No			S21-E013847	S21-E013848	S21-Ee13849	S21-Ee13850
Date Sample0 LOR Unit PB 04, 2021 PE 04,	Euronn's Sample No.			521-Fe1304/	521-Fe13040	521-Fe13649	521-Fe13650
Test/Reference LOR Unit Test/Reference 20 mg/kg - < 20				Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Table Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions v v v	Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	tions					
TRH C10-C14 20 mg/kg - < 20	TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	< 20	-
TRH C15-C28 50 mg/kg - <50	TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	< 20	-
TRH C29-C36 50 mg/kg - < 60 < 60 - BRR C10-C36 (Total) 50 mg/kg - < 60	TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	< 50	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total) 50 mg/kg - < Benzene 0.1 mg/kg - <0.1	TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	< 50	-
BTEX </td <td>TRH C10-C36 (Total)</td> <td>50</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>-</td> <td>< 50</td> <td>< 50</td> <td>-</td>	TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	< 50	-
Benzene 0.1 mg/kg - < <	BTEX						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
Ethylberzene 0.1 mg/kg - <0.1 <0.1 - m8p-Xylenes 0.2 mg/kg - <0.2	Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
msp. Sylenes 0.2 mg/kg - < 0.2 < 0.2 - o-Xylene 0.1 mg/kg - < 0.1	Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
o-Xylene 0.1 mg/kg - <0.1 <0.1 - Xylenes - Total" 0.3 mg/kg - <0.3	m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	-	< 0.2	< 0.2	-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.) 1 % - 95 86 - Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions N N N Maphthalene ^{Mu2} 0.5 mg/kg - <<0.5	Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	-	< 0.3	< 0.3	-
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions Naphthalen ⁶⁹⁰² 0.5 mg/kg - <0.5	4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	-	95	86	-
Naphthalene ^{W2} 0.5 mg/kg - < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04} 20 mg/kg - < 20 < 20 < 20 . TRH >C10-C16 50 mg/kg - < 50	TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	< 20	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	< 20	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{W01} 50 mg/kg - < 50 < 50 . TRH >C16-C34 100 mg/kg - < 100	TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	< 50	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	< 50	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	< 100	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)* 100 mg/kg - < 100 < 0 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 0.6 0.6 . . Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 1.2 1.2 . 1.2 Acenaphthene 0.5 mg/kg <0.5	TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	< 100	-
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < < 0.5 Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 0.6 0.6 - 0.6 Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 1.2 1.2 - 1.2 Acenaphthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	< 100	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) * 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 $< < 0.5$ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 0.6 0.6 0.6 Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 1.2 1.2 - 1.2 Acenaphthene 0.5 mg/kg <0.5	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound)* 0.5 mg/kg 0.6 0.6 - 0.6 Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound)* 0.5 mg/kg 1.2 1.2 - 1.2 Acenaphthene 0.5 mg/kg <0.5	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) * 0.5 mg/kg 1.2 1.2 . 1.2 Acenaphthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	-	0.6
Acenaphthene0.5 mg/kg < 0.5< 0.5< < 0.5Acenaphthylene0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	-	1.2
Acenaphthylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 $< < 0.5$ Anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 $< < 0.5$ $< < 0.5$ Benz(a)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 $< < < 0.5$ $< < < 0.5$ Benzo(a)pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 $< < < < < < < < < < < < > Benzo(b&j)fluorantheneN070.5mg/kg< 0.5< 0.5< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $	Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 $< < 0.5$ Benz(a)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benz(a)pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(a)pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07} 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Dibenz(a.h)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Fluoranthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0	Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5	Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07} 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07} 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Benzo(k)fluoranthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < < 0.5 < < 0.5 Chrysene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Chrysene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Dibenz(a.h)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 <td>Chrysene</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>mg/kg</td> <td>< 0.5</td> <td>< 0.5</td> <td>-</td> <td>< 0.5</td>	Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Fluoranthene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5	Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Fluorene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 <t< td=""><td>Fluoranthene</td><td>0.5</td><td>mg/kg</td><td>< 0.5</td><td>< 0.5</td><td>-</td><td>< 0.5</td></t<>	Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 </td <td>Fluorene</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>ma/ka</td> <td>< 0.5</td> <td>< 0.5</td> <td>_</td> <td>< 0.5</td>	Fluorene	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	_	< 0.5
Naphthalene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Phenanthrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Phenanthrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Naphthalene	0.5	mg/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Pyrene 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 Total PAH* 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5	Phenanthrene	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
Total PAH* 0.5 mg/kg < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.) 1 % 74 78 - 86 p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.) 1 % 105 104 - 106	Pyrene	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.) 1 % 74 78 - 86 p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.) 1 % 105 104 - 106	Total PAH*	0.5	ma/ka	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	< 0.5
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.) 1 % 105 104 - 106	2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	74	78	-	86
	p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	105	104	-	106



Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No.			BH04-0.3-0.6 Soil S21-Fe13847	BH05-MW02- 0.0-0.1 Soil S21-Fe13848	BH05-MW02- 1.0-1.2 Soil S21-Fe13849	BH05-MW02- 2.5-2.7 Soil S21-Fe13850
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	< 2	-	-	< 2
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	-	-	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	20	-	-	29
Copper	5	mg/kg	8.3	-	-	18
Lead	5	mg/kg	17	-	-	28
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	5.6	-	-	7.8
Zinc	5	mg/kg	12	-	-	14
% Moisture	1	%	14	19	17	19

		1			1	1
Client Sample ID			BH05-MW02-	BH06-0 0-0 1	BH06-3 7-3 8	BH07-0 0-0 1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13851	S21-Fe13852	S21-Fe13854	S21-Fe13855
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	, ,		, .	
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions	Onit				
TRH C6-C9	20	ma/ka	-	< 20	-	-
TRH C10-C14	20	ma/ka	-	< 20	-	-
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	-	-
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	-	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	-	-
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	-	-
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	-	-
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	-	-
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	-	< 0.2	-	-
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	-	-
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	-	< 0.3	-	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	-	65	-	-
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	-	-
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	-	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	-	< 20	-	-
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	-	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	-	< 50	-	-
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	-	-
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	-	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	-	< 100	-	-
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	-	0.6	0.6	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	-	1.2	1.2	-
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-



Client Sample ID			BH05-MW02-			
			3.0-3.2	BH06-0.0-0.1	BH06-3.7-3.8	BH07-0.0-0.1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13851	S21-Fe13852	S21-Fe13854	S21-Fe13855
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	-	< 0.5	< 0.5	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	-	86	79	-
p-lerphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	-	106	105	-
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	0.1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.1
4.4'-DDD	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
4.4'-DDE	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
D-BHC	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
	0.05	mg/kg				< 0.05
Endrin	0.05	mg/kg	_	_		< 0.05
	0.05	ma/ka	_	_	_	< 0.05
Endrin ketone	0.05	ma/ka	_	_	_	< 0.05
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.05	ma/ka	_	_	_	< 0.05
Heptachlor	0.05	ma/ka	_	-	-	< 0.05
Heptachlor epoxide	0.05	ma/ka	-	-	-	< 0.05
Hexachlorobenzene	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
Methoxychlor	0.2	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.2
Toxaphene	0.1	mg/kg	-	-	-	0.5
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.05	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.05
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.2
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.2
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	INT
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	108
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.1
Aroclor-1232	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Aroclor-1242	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Aroclor-1248	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5



Client Sample ID			BH05-MW02-	BH06-0 0-0 1	BH06-3 7-3 8	BH07-0 0-0 1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No			S21-Fe13851	S21-Fe13852	S21-Fe13854	S21-Fe13855
Data Sampled			Ech 04, 2021	521-1 e 13032	Ech 04, 2021	Ech 04, 2021
	1.00		reb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	reb 04, 2021
lest/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polychiorinated Biphenyis						
Aroclor-1254	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Aroclor-1260	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Total PCB*	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	INI
l etrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	108
Phenois (Halogenated)		1				
2-Chlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
2.4-Dichlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
2.6-Dichlorophenol	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
Pentachlorophenol	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	10	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 10
Total Halogenated Phenol*	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
Phenols (non-Halogenated)						
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	20	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 20
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 5
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	0.2	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.2
2-Nitrophenol	1	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 1
2.4-Dimethylphenol	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
2.4-Dinitrophenol	5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 5
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	0.4	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.4
4-Nitrophenol	5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 5
Dinoseb	20	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 20
Phenol	0.5	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 0.5
Total Non-Halogenated Phenol*	20	mg/kg	-	-	-	< 20
Phenol-d6 (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	84
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	-	7.4	26	-
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	-	< 0.4	< 0.4	-
Chromium	5	mg/kg	-	25	58	-
Copper	5	mg/kg	-	28	61	-
Lead	5	mg/kg	-	32	56	-
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
Nickel	5	mg/kg	-	5.5	16	-
Zinc	5	mg/kg	-	23	42	-
% Moisture	1	%	19	17	17	5.2
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	< 10	-	-	-
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units	7.3	-	-	-
Cation Exchange Capacity						
Cation Exchange Capacity	0.05	meq/100g	5.4	-	-	-



Client Sample ID					BH08-MW03-	BH08-MW03-
			BH07-0.8-1.0	BH07-2.5-2.7	0.0-0.1	0.5-0.7
Sample Matrix			Soll	Soll	Soll	Soll
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13856	S21-Fe13857	S21-Fe13858	S21-Fe13859
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions					
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	< 0.2	-
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	< 0.1	-
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	-	< 0.3	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	84	-	105	-
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	< 0.5	-
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	< 20	-
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	< 50	-
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	< 100	-
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	96	72	101	75
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	101	104	103	107



Client Sample ID Sample Matrix Eurofins Sample No. Date Sampled			BH07-0.8-1.0 Soil S21-Fe13856 Feb 04, 2021	BH07-2.5-2.7 Soil S21-Fe13857 Feb 04, 2021	BH08-MW03- 0.0-0.1 Soil S21-Fe13858 Feb 04, 2021	BH08-MW03- 0.5-0.7 Soil S21-Fe13859 Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	15	57	4.7	-
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	-
Chromium	5	mg/kg	73	57	28	-
Copper	5	mg/kg	20	44	12	-
Lead	5	mg/kg	58	64	29	-
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
Nickel	5	mg/kg	5.6	< 5	5.4	-
Zinc	5	mg/kg	13	19	35	-
% Moisture	1	%	20	11	15	16

	1	1	-	1		-i
Client Sample ID			BH08-MW03-	00101	00201	
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofine Sample No			S21-Ee13860	S21-Ee13861	S21-Ee13862	S21-E013863
Dete Compled			521-Fe13000	521-Fe13001	521-Fe13002	521-Fe13803
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	tions					
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	-	-
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	-	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	-	-
втех						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	-	-	-
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	-	-	-
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	-	-	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	87	-	-	-
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	tions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20	-	-	-
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	-	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	50	mg/kg	< 50	-	-	-
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	-	-
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	-	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	-	-	-
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	-	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	-	-	-
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
			-			•



Client Sample ID			BH08-MW03- 1.8-2.0	QC101	QC201	TRIP SPIKE
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13860	S21-Fe13861	S21-Fe13862	S21-Fe13863
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021	Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	-	-	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	94	-	-	-
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	98	-	-	-
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	2	mg/kg	< 2	6.6	5.0	-
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	-
Chromium	5	mg/kg	8.8	45	25	-
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	22	13	-
Lead	5	mg/kg	11	31	17	-
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	8.9	6.7	-
Zinc	5	mg/kg	< 5	16	16	-
% Moisture	1	%	14	18	13	-
TRH C6-C10	1	%	-	-	-	98
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons						
Naphthalene	1	%	-	-	-	87
TRH C6-C9	1	%	-	-	-	99
втех						
Benzene	1	%	-	-	-	95
Ethylbenzene	1	%	-	-	-	100
m&p-Xylenes	1	%	-	-	-	99
o-Xylene	1	%	-	-	-	100
Toluene	1	%	-	-	-	93
Xylenes - Total	1	%	-	-	-	100
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	-	-	-	111



Client Sample ID			
Sample Matrix			5011
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe13865
Date Sampled			Feb 04, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions		
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20
втех			
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total*	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	101
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions		
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	20	mg/kg	< 20



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
BTEX	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Phenols (Halogenated)	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Phenols (non-Halogenated)	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Metals M8	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS			
Organochlorine Pesticides	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water			
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	28 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water			
% Moisture	Sydney	Feb 06, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	7 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4030 Conductivity			
Cation Exchange Capacity	Melbourne	Feb 15, 2021	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3060 Cation Exchange Capacity by bases & Exchangeable Sodium Percentage			
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Sydney	Feb 10, 2021	7 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7090 pH in soil by ISE			

	eurofi	ns			Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 5	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	ironment	Testing es@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	5 175 1 0 L P N	ydney Init F3, I 6 Mars ane Cov hone : - IATA #	Building Road /e West -61 2 99 1261 Sit	F 1 NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 P 10 N 217	/21 Sma /21 Sma lurarrie hone : ATA #	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 4600 e # 2079	P 2 K) P 94 N S	erth /91 Lea ewdale hone : - ATA # ite # 23	ch High WA 610 -61 8 92 261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4 N 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial D East NS 60 Wick ⊦61 2 4§	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	3 3 4 P 93 P 8 I/	Auckland 15 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone: 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	ompany Name: Idress:	Construction 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	Sciences P/L ad	. (Seven Hills))		O Ri Pl Fa	rder N eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	-	77226 1300 -	60 165 76	9						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	R HILL 117															E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : L	Irsula Long
		Sa		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH				
Melk	ourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271												х								
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217			х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х		
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794																					
Pert	h Laboratory - N	ATA Site # 237	736															ļ			ļ		4	
Мау	field Laboratory	,																					_	
Exte	rnal Laboratory																						-	
NO	Sample ID	Sample Date	Time	Matrix	LABID																			
1	BH01-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13837	Х								Х	X					х				
2	BH01-0.5-0.8	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13838	Х					Х				X			<u> </u>			<u> </u>		4	
3	BH01-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13839	Х																	4	
4	BH01-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13840										X		X	-					4	
5	BH02-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13841	X				-					X		X						4	
6	вноз-мw01- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021		501	S21-Fe13842	Х								Х	X				Х					
7	BH03-MW01- 0.3-0.5	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13843										x		х							
8	BH03-MW01-	Feb 04, 2021		Soil	S21-Fe13844				Х															

	eurofi	ns		Australia																	N	New Zealand	
	curon	Env	ironment Testin	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC Phone : +61 3 8564 50 NATA # 1261	U 3175 1 00 L F	Sydney Jnit F3, 6 Mars ane Co Phone :	Building Road ve Wes +61 2 9	9 F t NSW 2 900 840	8 1, 2066 P 10 N	Arisban /21 Sma Aurarrie Phone : - IATA #	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 e # 207	F 2 K 0 F 94 N	Perth /91 Lea (ewdale Phone : - IATA #	ch High WA 610 +61 8 92 1261	way)5 251 9600	N 4/ M) P ⁽ P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield I O Box 6 hone : +	le strial Di East NS 0 Wickl -61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 8448	4 P 93 P 8 I/	Auckland J5 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
ABN:	50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	u email: EnviroSales@eurofins	com Site # 1254 & 14271	Ν	NATA #	1261 Si	ite # 182	217				S	Site # 23	736								
Co Ac	ompany Name: Idress:	Constructior 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven I ad	Hills)		O R P F	rder eport hone ax:	No.: : #: :	-	77226 1300 -	0 165 76	<u>59</u>						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ved: ty: act Na	ime:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	РМ
Pr Pr	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117														E	urofir	ns An	alvtic	al Se	ervices Manager : I	Jrsula Long
		Sa	Imple Detail		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Mell	bourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 14271												X							_	
Syd	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-	
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	: 20794 720			+																-	
Pert	in Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 23/ ,	/ 30																			-	
Exte	anal Laboratory	,						+														-	
	1.0-1.2					1		1														1	
9	BH03-MW01- 3.7-3.9	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13845					x					x	х]	
10	BH04-0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13846	Х									Х			Х						
11	BH04-0.3-0.6	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13847						х	х			х									
12	BH05-MW02- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13848	x									x				х					
13	BH05-MW02- 1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13849	x									x			х						
14	BH05-MW02- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13850						х	х			x									
15	BH05-MW02-	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13851					Х					X	Х								

🎎 eurofi	ns		Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	email: EnviroSales@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	S 3175 1 0 L F N	Sydney Jnit F3, I 6 Mars ane Co Phone : NATA #	Building Road ve Wesi r61 2 99 1261 Si	g F t NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 P 10 N 217	risbano /21 Sma lurarrie hone : - ATA #	e allwood QLD 4 +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 4600 e # 2079	F 2 k 0 F 94 N S	Perth 2/91 Lea 2 Aewdale 2 Aone : - 3 ATA # 2 Site # 23	ch High WA 610 +61 8 92 1261 8736	way 05 251 960	N 4, N 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial Di East NS 60 Wickl 61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 844	A 3 4 P 93 P 8 I/	uckland 15 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : - 64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 767 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Construction 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	Sciences P/L (Seven Hills d)		O R Pl Fa	rder I eport hone: ax:	No.: #:	7	77226	60 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ime:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	R HILL 117														E	urofi	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : I	Jrsula Long
	Sa		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	НОГО	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH			
Melbourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 14271												х								
Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	8217		Х	X	Х	х	х	Х	x	х	Х	x	х	х	x	Х	х	x	Х		
Brisbane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794																				
Perth Laboratory - N	IATA Site # 237	/36			1			<u> </u>												 	4	
Mayfield Laboratory	,																				4	
External Laboratory						<u> </u>			<u> </u>												4	
3.0-3.2	Fab 04 2024	L Coil	CO1 E012050																		-	
17 BH06-0.5-0.9	Feb 04, 2021	Soll	S21-Fe13852	~		Y			X				<u> </u>								-	
18 BH06-3 7-3 8	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13854		1				x	x			×								-	
19 BH07-0.0-0 1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13855	x				1			x	x	x								-	
20 BH07-0.8-1.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13856	X	1	1			1				x		x						1	
21 BH07-2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13857						х	x			X								1	
22 BH08-MW03- 0.0-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13858	x									x		x							
23 BH08-MW03- 0.5-0.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13859						х				х									

Automa Devicement Testing Withow Test	🤼 eurofi	ns		Australia																	1	New Zealand	
Company Name: Construction Sciences PL (Seven Hills) Order No.: Report is: 772280 Protect Sime Feb 5, 2021 12:16 PM Address: Bit Avuil Road Seven Hills Seven Hills Seven Hills Duc: Feb 12, 2021 Priority: 5 Day Project Name: DIS SUMMER HILL 10791EV.P.117 Dis SumMER HILL Jussica Brodie Sample Detail Mage register Project Sime Pr	ABN: 50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.au	ironment Testing	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	8175 1 0 L N	ydney Init F3, E 6 Mars I ane Cov hone : + IATA # 1	Building Road ve West -61 2 99 1261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1, 2066 P 0 N 217	/21 Sma /21 Sma furarrie hone : - IATA #	e allwood QLD 4 ⁻ +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 460 te # 207	F 2 k 0 F 94 N S	Perth 2/91 Lea 2 Anne : - 2 Anne : - 3 Anna # 2 3 Anna # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊧61 8 92 1261 736	way)5 251 960	N 4/ M 0 P P	ewcast 52 Indu ayfield O Box 6 hone : +	le Istrial Di East NS 50 Wickl F61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 229 968 8448	4 F 93 F 8 I.	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Project Name: DSI SUMMER HILL Project Name: DSI SUMMER HILL Decisiti Dire DSI SUMMER HILL Sample Detail Not supported to the support of the sup	Company Name: Address:	Constructior 31 Anvil Roa Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven Hills ad)		O Ri Pi Fa	rder N eport none: ax:	No.: #:	-	77226 1300 -	60 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ty: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Address Address Address Control Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 2 <th< th=""><th>Project Name: Project ID:</th><th>DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.</th><th>ER HILL 117</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>E</th><th>urofii</th><th>ns An</th><th>alytic</th><th>al Se</th><th>ervices Manager : l</th><th>Jrsula Long</th></th<>	Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUMME 10791EV.P.	ER HILL 117														E	urofii	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 x<		Sa	imple Detail		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	HOLD	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 X <td>Melbourne Laborat</td> <td>ory - NATA Site</td> <td># 1254 & 14271</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Melbourne Laborat	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 14271												Х								
Brisbarte Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 Image: Constraint of the state and the s	Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site # 1	18217		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 Image: state with the state	Brisbane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	[‡] 20794																				
Mayfield Laboratory Image: Constraint of the constraint	Perth Laboratory -	NATA Site # 237	736																				
External Laboratory Image: Constraint of the constraint	Mayfield Laborator	y																				_	
24 BH08-MW03- 1.8-2.0 Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13860 X	External Laborator	/	I I																			_	
25 QC101 Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13861 X <	24 BH08-MW03- 1.8-2.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13860										x		х						_	
26 QC201 Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13862 X <	25 QC101	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13861							X			X								_	
27 TRIP SPIKE Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13863 Image: Constraint of the	26 QC201	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13862							X			X								_	
28 TRIP SPIKE LAB Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13864 Image: S21-Fe13865 Image: S21-Fe13865 <td>27 TRIP SPIKE</td> <td>Feb 04, 2021</td> <td>Soil</td> <td>S21-Fe13863</td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	27 TRIP SPIKE	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13863																	Х	_	
29 TRIP BLANK Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13865 × 30 BH02-0.0- PACM Feb 04, 2021 Building Materials S21-Fe13866 X X 31 BH01-2.0-2.2 Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13867 X	28 TRIP SPIKE LAB	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13864																	x	_	
30 BH02-0.0- PACM Feb 04, 2021 Building Materials S21-Fe13866 X Image: Constraint of the second secon	29 TRIP BLANK	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13865	<u> </u>										<u> </u>					X		4	
31 BH01-2.0-2.2 Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13867 X Image: Second seco	30 BH02-0.0- PACM	Feb 04, 2021	Building Materials	S21-Fe13866		x																	
32 BH03-MW01- Feb 04, 2021 Soil S21-Fe13868 S2	31 BH01-2.0-2.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13867				Х														_	
	32 BH03-MW01-	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13868				Х															

🥵 eurofi	nc		Australia																	N	New Zealand	
ABN: 50 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	vironment Testin	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC Phone : +61 3 8564 50 NATA # 1261 com Site # 1254 & 14271	3175 1 00 L F	Sydney Jnit F3, 16 Mars Lane Co Phone : NATA #	Building Road ve Wes +61 2 9 1261 Si	g F t NSW 2 900 840 ite # 182	1 1 2066 F 00 N 217	/21 Sma /21 Sma furarrie hone : - IATA #	e allwood QLD 4 ⁻ +61 7 39 1261 Sit	Place 172 902 4600 re # 2079	P 2 K 0 P 94 N S	erth /91 Lead ewdale hone : + IATA # 1 ite # 23	ch High WA 610 ⊦61 8 92 1261 736	way 05 251 960	N 4, N 0 P P	ewcast /52 Indu layfield O Box 6 hone : +	tle ustrial D East NS 60 Wick +61 2 49	rive SW 2304 ham 22 968 844	A 3 4 F 93 F 8 I/	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 ANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Company Name: Address:	Construction 31 Anvil Ro Seven Hills NSW 2147	n Sciences P/L (Seven ad	Hills)		O R P F	order leport hone ax:	No.: : #: :	-	77226 1300 ⁻	0 165 76	69						Recei Due: Priori Conta	ived: ity: act Na	ame:		Feb 5, 2021 12:16 Feb 12, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	PM
Project Name: Project ID:	DSI SUMMI 10791EV.P	ER HILL 117														E	urofi	ns An	alytic	al Se	ervices Manager : l	Jrsula Long
	S	ample Detail		Asbestos - WA guidelines	Asbestos Absence /Presence	CANCELLED	НОГД	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Metals M8	Phenols (IWRG 621)	Suite B13: OCP/PCB	Moisture Set	Cation Exchange Capacity	Eurofins Suite B7	Eurofins Suite B1	Eurofins Suite B4	Eurofins Suite B7A	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	BTEXN and Volatile TRH		
Melbourne Laborate	ory - NATA Site	e # 1254 & 14271												Х								
Sydney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217		X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	X	Х	_	
Brisbane Laborator	y - NATA Site	# 20794																			4	
Perth Laboratory - I	NATA Site # 23	736																			-	
Mayrield Laboratory	/																				-	
32 BH03-MW01- 2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13868																		1	
33 BH04-2.8-3.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13869				X	1													1	
34 BH06-1.0-1.2	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13870				Х															
35 BH06-2.5-2.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13871				Х															
36 BH07-1.5-1.7	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13872				х															
37 BH07-1.8-2.0	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13873				Х														4	
38 BH01-0.8-0.1	Feb 04, 2021	Soil	S21-Fe13874				Х														_	
Test Counts				12	1	1	9	2	7	7	1	3	23	2	6	3	2	1	1	2		



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site 1. Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds
- 6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- 7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued. 9.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days. **NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	mg/L: milligrams per litre	ug/L: micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	ppb: Parts per billion	%: Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units	MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms	
Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
сос	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
СР	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- 1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- 3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- 4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported 5. in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- 6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- 9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.



Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank		-	1		r	
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	< 20		20	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	< 50		50	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	< 50		50	Pass	
Method Blank			T T	I		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	< 50		50	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/kg	< 100		100	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/kg	< 100		100	Pass	
Method Blank			I I			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Chrysene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Fluorene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Naphthalene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Method Blank			1 1	1		
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
a-BHC	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Aldrin	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
b-BHC	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
d-BHC	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Dieldrin	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endosulfan II	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endrin	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Heptachlor	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	< 0.2		0.2	Pass	
Toxaphene	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Method Blank						



Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Total PCB*	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Method Blank		1				
Phenols (Halogenated)						
2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
2.4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	< 1		1	Pass	
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	< 1		1	Pass	
2.6-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	mg/kg	< 1		1	Pass	
Pentachlorophenol	mg/kg	< 1		1	Pass	
Tetrachlorophenols - Total	mg/kg	< 10		10	Pass	
Method Blank						
Phenols (non-Halogenated)						
2-Cyclohexyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	mg/kg	< 20		20	Pass	
2-Methyl-4.6-dinitrophenol	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	mg/kg	< 0.2		0.2	Pass	
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	< 1		1	Pass	
2.4-Dimethylphenol	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
2.4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	mg/kg	< 0.4		0.4	Pass	
4-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Dinoseb	mg/kg	< 20		20	Pass	
Phenol	mg/kg	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Method Blank		1	i	T		
Heavy Metals	1					
Arsenic	mg/kg	< 2		2	Pass	
Cadmium	mg/kg	< 0.4		0.4	Pass	
Chromium	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Copper	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Lead	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Mercury	mg/kg	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Nickel	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Zinc	mg/kg	< 5		5	Pass	
Method Blank		1				
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	uS/cm	< 10		10	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1	1	-		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C10-C14	%	71		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery						
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	1.					
Acenaphthene	%	83		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	%	77		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	%	90		70-130	Pass	l .



Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	%	107		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	%	109		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	%	81		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	%	105		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	%	81		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	%	79		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	%	96		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	84		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1	1 1	1		
Organochlorine Pesticides						
Chlordanes - Total	%	92		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	%	84		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	%	70		70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	%	104		70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	%	104		70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	%	100		70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	%	108		70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	%	104		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	%	94		70-130	Pass	
Endrin	%	74		70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	%	93		70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone	%	80		70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor	%	120		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	%	103		70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	%	105		70-130	Pass	
Methoxychlor	%	98		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1				
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	%	119		70-130	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	%	106		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1	I I	1		
Phenols (Halogenated)					_	
2-Chlorophenol	%	83		30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dichlorophenol	%	82		30-130	Pass	
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	%	85		30-130	Pass	
2.6-Dichlorophenol	%	84		30-130	Pass	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	%	86		30-130	Pass	
Pentachlorophenol	%	74		30-130	Pass	
l etrachlorophenols - I otal	%	78		30-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery		1				
Prenois (non-Halogenated)	0/	0.0		20,400	Dest	
	%	82	<u> </u>	30-130	Pass	
	%	/4		30-130	Pass	
	%	85		30-130	Pass	
Sα4-ivietnyipnenoi (map-Cresoi)	%	86		30-130	Pass	
	% 0/	95		30-130	Pass	
	%	11		30-130	Pass	
Phenoi	%	86		30-130	Pass	



Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code		
LCS - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals									
Arsenic	%	97			80-120	Pass			
Cadmium	%	98			80-120	Pass			
Chromium			%	97			80-120	Pass	
Copper			%	96			80-120	Pass	
Lead			%	98			80-120	Pass	
Mercurv			%	103			80-120	Pass	
Nickel			%	102			80-120	Pass	
Zinc			%	100			80-120	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery			,,,				00 120	1 400	
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract a	t 25°C as rec.)		%	86			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA	Units	Result 1			Acceptance	Pass	Qualifying
Spike - % Pecovery	-	Source					Linits	Linnis	COUE
Spike - % Recovery	<u>,</u>			Booult 1				-	
	S01 E012027	СР	0/	104			70 120	Booo	
Acenaphthylene	S21-Fe13637		% 0/	01			70-130	Pass	
Acenaphinyiene	S21-Fe13637		% 0/	01			70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	S21-Fe13837		%	01			70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	S21-Fe13837		%	91			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	S21-Fe13837	СР	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	115			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	109			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	115			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	82			70-130	Pass			
Spike - % Recovery				1	1		1		
Phenols (Halogenated)	1	1		Result 1					
2-Chlorophenol	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	123			30-130	Pass	
2.4-Dichlorophenol	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	90			30-130	Pass	
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	91			30-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				1			1		
Phenols (non-Halogenated)	T	1		Result 1					
2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	87			30-130	Pass	
2-Nitrophenol	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	98			30-130	Pass	
3&4-Methylphenol (m&p-Cresol)	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	92			30-130	Pass	
Dinoseb	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	95			30-130	Pass	
Phenol	S21-Fe13837	CP	%	72			30-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals				Result 1					
Arsenic	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	111			75-125	Pass	
Cadmium	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	121			75-125	Pass	
Chromium	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	110			75-125	Pass	
Copper	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	101			75-125	Pass	
Lead	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	119			75-125	Pass	
Mercury	S21-Fe12122	NCP	%	121			75-125	Pass	
Nickel	S21-Fe17230	NCP	%	119			75-125	Pass	
Zinc	S21-Fe12122	NCP	%	108			75-125	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA	Units	Result 1			Acceptance	Pass	Qualifying
Dunlicate		Source	l		I	L	Linits	Linits	COUR
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Arsenic	S21-Fe17227	NCP	ma/ka	11	8.9	25	30%	Pass	
/ 100110			ing/ity		0.0	<u> </u>	0070	1 433	



Duplicate											
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	Result 1	Result 2	RPD							
TRH C10-C14	S21-Fe13840	CP	mg/kg	< 20	25	71	30%	Fail	Q15		
TRH C15-C28	S21-Fe13840	CP	mg/kg	270	51	140	30%	Fail	Q15		
TRH C29-C36	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass						
Duplicate											
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD					
TRH >C10-C16	S21-Fe13840	CP	ma/ka	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass			
TRH >C16-C34	S21-Fe13840	CP	mg/kg	330	< 100	140	30%	Fail	Q15		
TRH >C34-C40	S21-Fe13840	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass			
Duplicate											
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD					
% Moisture	S21-Fe13842	CP	%	9.7	10	5.0	30%	Pass			
Duplicate											
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD					
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25°C as rec.)	S21-Fe14271	NCP	uS/cm	11	14	20	30%	Pass			
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25°C as											
rec.)	S21-Fe19133	NCP	pH Units	6.1	6.1	Pass	30%	Pass			
Duplicate					1		[1			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD		_			
TRH C10-C14	S21-Fe13852	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass			
TRH C15-C28	S21-Fe13852	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass			
TRH C29-C36	S21-Fe13852	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass					
Duplicate								1			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD		_			
IRH >C10-C16	S21-Fe13852	СР	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass			
TRH >C16-C34	S21-Fe13852	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass			
TRH >C34-C40	S21-Fe13852	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass			
Duplicate											
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		_			
Acenaphthene	S21-Fe13852	СР	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Acenaphthylene	S21-Fe13852	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Anthracene	S21-Fe13852		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Benz(a)anthracene	S21-Fe13852		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Benzo(a)pyrene	S21-Fe13852		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Benzo(b&j)fluorantnene	S21-Fe13852		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Benzo(g.n.i)perviene	S21-Fe13852		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Benzo(k)iluorantmene	S21-Fe13652		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
	S21-Fe13652		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Diberiz(a.ri)antirracerie	S21-Fe13652		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
	S21-Fe13652		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
	S21-FE13032		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Nonhtholono	S21-FE13032		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Dependence	S21-Fe13652		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
	S21-FE13032		mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass			
Duplicate	321-Fe13032		під/ку	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	F d 55			
				Result 1	Result 2	RbD					
% Moisture	S21-Fe1385/	CP	%	17	17	~1	30%	Pase			
Dunlicate	0211613034		70	17	11	~1	5070	1 435			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD					
TRH C6-C9	S21-Fe13856	CP	ma/ka	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass			



Duplicate										
втех				Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Benzene	S21-Fe13856	S21-Fe13856 CP mg/kg ·				<1	30%	Pass		
Toluene	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
Ethylbenzene	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
m&p-Xylenes	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass		
o-Xylene	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
Xylenes - Total*	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Naphthalene	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass		
TRH C6-C10	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass		
Duplicate										
Heavy Metals			-	Result 1	Result 2	RPD				
Cadmium	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	<1	30%	Pass		
Chromium	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	73	46	45	30%	Fail	Q15	
Copper	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	20	13	45	30%	Fail	Q15	
Lead	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	58	39	40	30%	Fail	Q15	
Mercury	S21-Fe13856	356 CP mg/kg		< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass		
Nickel S21-Fe13856 CP mg/kg					< 5	19	30%	Pass		
Zinc	S21-Fe13856	CP	mg/kg	13	9.6	27	30%	Pass		



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
G01	The LORs have been raised due to matrix interference
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
N07	Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs

Q15 The RPD reported passes Eurofins Environment Testing's QC - Acceptance Criteria as defined in the Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary page of this report.

Authorised by:

Ursula Long	Analytical Services Manager
Andrew Sullivan	Senior Analyst-Organic (NSW)
Charl Du Preez	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (NSW)
Emily Rosenberg	Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC)
John Nguyen	Senior Analyst-Metal (NSW)

11/1/

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final Report - this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY REC	ORD		Sydney Laboratory Jnit F3 Bls:F 10 Mars 12 9900 8400 Ervin	Li Bristane Laboratory s Road Lane Cove West NSW 2006 Unit 121 rolising/M40W@warotins.com 07 3902 4	y LL Perth Smallwood Place Mutarte QLD 4172 600 EnviruSampleQLD@eurofins.com	Laboratory Unit 2 S1 Leach Highway Kewdale W 08 II251 9000 EnviroSampleWAQ	(A 0105 eurotes.com	Li Bebourne Li 8 Mon 03 KS	aleratory energ Road Dandenong South VIC 3175 4 5000 Enveolangelv/va@sevotins.com
Company Construction Sci Address 2/4 Kellogy rd Contact Name Jessica Brood Phone No. 0468718810	ences 1 Repty Hill	Project N Project N paulity_ or get_ paulity_ paul	R NE ZO Name DS	ST SUMARE	Project Manager EDD Format ESdat, EQUIS etc	a Bradic	Sampler(s Handed over Email for Invoice Email for Results Change co	n by " tessic tessic u containers ntainer type & size iecessary.	A Required Turnaround Time (TAT) Default will be 5 days & not Traded
Special Directions Purchase Order Quote ID Ne Client Sample ID	Sampled Date/Time dd/mm/yy hb:mm	A poer experies parties and better with the same boundaries and open and and a same boundaries and the same boundary and the same bo	Metals (8) MAH (ultra trac	THE TEX			500mL Plastic 250mL Plastic 125mL Plastic	200mL Amber Glass 40mL VOA vial 500mL PFAS Bottle Jar (Glass or HDPE)	Sample Comments / Dangerous Goods Hazard Warning
1 MWOI	8/2/21	W	××	×	-				
2 MWOZ	ĸ	N	$\times \times$	×					
3 MWOZ	xc	se	XX						
4 QC101	<c.< td=""><td>((</td><td>XX</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>010050</td></c.<>	((XX						010050
· Q(102	N	×1	XX						Send to SUS
· Trip spike blank	10	111		X					

GTV 812/21 3:52pm 772571



Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd trading as Eurofins | mgt

Submission of samples to the faboratory will be deemed as acceptance of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions unless agreed otherwise. A copy of Eurofins | mgt Standard Terms and Conditions is available on request.



ABN: 50 005 085 521

www.eurofins.com.au

EnviroSales@eurofins.com

New Zealand

Australia

Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3175 16 Mars Road Phone : +61 3 8564 5000 Lane Cove We NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney Unit F3, Building F Brisbane NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

 Muraris Road
 Muraris QLD 4172

 Lane Cove West NSW 2066
 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600

 Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
 NATA # 1261 Site # 10017
 1/21 Smallwood Place NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736

Newcastle 4/52 Industrial Drive Mayfield East NSW 2304 PO Box 60 Wickham 2293 Phone : +61 2 4968 8448

Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327

Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290

Sample Receipt Advice

Company name:	Construction Sciences P/L (Glendenning)
Contact name:	Jessica Brodie
Project name:	DSI SUMMER HILL
Project ID:	Z0791EV.P.117
Turnaround time:	5 Day
Date/Time received	Feb 8, 2021 3:52 PM
Eurofins reference	772571

Sample Information

- 1 A detailed list of analytes logged into our LIMS, is included in the attached summary table.
- All samples have been received as described on the above COC.
- COC has been completed correctly.
- Attempt to chill was evident.
- Appropriately preserved sample containers have been used.
- All samples were received in good condition.
- Samples have been provided with adequate time to commence analysis in accordance with the relevant holding times.
- Appropriate sample containers have been used.
- Sample containers for volatile analysis received with zero headspace.
- Split sample sent to requested external lab. 1
- X Some samples have been subcontracted.
- N/A Custody Seals intact (if used).

Notes

Sample ID QC102 forwarded to SGS.

Contact

If you have any questions with respect to these samples, please contact your Analytical Services Manager:

Ursula Long on phone : or by email: UrsulaLong@eurofins.com

Results will be delivered electronically via email to Jessica Brodie - jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net. Note: A copy of these results will also be delivered to the general Construction Sciences P/L (Glendenning) email address.

Global Leader - Results you can trust

	eurofi	ns			Australia									New Zealand	
ABN: 5	0 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	ironment u email: EnviroSale	Testing es@eurofins.com	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261 Site # 1254 & 14271	S U 175 10 0 La P N	ydney Init F3, E 6 Mars F ane Cov hone : + IATA # 1	Building Road e West 61 2 99 261 Sit	F NSW 2 900 840 te # 182	8 1/ 2066 Pl 0 N 217	risbane /21 Smallwood Place lurarrie QLD 4172 hone : +61 7 3902 4600 ATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261 Site # 23736	Newcastle 4/52 Industrial Drive Mayfield East NSW 2304 PO Box 60 Wickham 2293 Phone : +61 2 4968 8448	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone: - 649 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
Co Ad	mpany Name: dress:	Construction 2/4 Kellogg Glendenning NSW 2761	n Sciences P/L Rd J	. (Glendennin	g)		Or Re Pr Fa	der N port none: x:	No.: #:	7	772571 02 9854 1700		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Feb 8, 2021 3:52 P Feb 15, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	М
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMME Z0791EV.P.	ER HILL 117										Eurofins Analytical	Services Manager : L	Irsula Long
		Sa	ample Detail			Metals M8	BTEX	Eurofins Suite B1	BTEX	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)					
Melb	ourne Laborato	ory - NATA Site	# 1254 & 142	271							-				
Sydr	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217			X	X	Х	X	X	-				
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site #	20794								4				
Pert	h Laboratory - N	NATA Site # 23	736								4				
May	rield Laboratory	/									-				
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling	Matrix	LAB ID						-				
1	MW01	Feb 08, 2021	Time	Water	S21-Fe16145	x		Х		x	-				
2	MW02	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16146	х		х		x	-				
3	MW03	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16147	Х		Х		Х					
4	QC101	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16148	Х				Х]				
5	TRIP SPIKE	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16149				Х]				
6	TRIP BLANK	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16150		Х								
Test	Counts					4	1	3	1	4					



Certificate of Analysis

Environment Testing

Construction Sciences P/L (Glendenning) 2/4 Kellogg Rd Glendenning NSW 2761





NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

A ++.	oni	hin	n •
мιι		ເເບເ	

Jessica Brodie

Report Project name Project ID Received Date 772571-W DSI SUMMER HILL Z0791EV.P.117 Feb 08, 2021

				1		
Client Sample ID			MW01	MW02	MW03	QC101
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe16145	S21-Fe16146	S21-Fe16147	S21-Fe16148
Date Sampled			Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fract	ions					
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
BTEX						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	-
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Xylenes - Total*	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	98	96	97	-
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fract	ions					
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	-
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)						
Acenaphthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Acenaphthylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Chrysene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluorene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001



Client Sample ID Sample Matrix			MW01 Water	MW02 Water	MW03 Water	QC101 Water
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe16145	S21-Fe16146	S21-Fe16147	S21-Fe16148
Date Sampled			Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)						
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Naphthalene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Phenanthrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Total PAH*	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	132	84	109	71
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	95	63	83	64
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	0.001	mg/L	0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.001
Cadmium	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Chromium	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper	0.001	mg/L	0.006	0.004	0.007	< 0.001
Lead	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mercury	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel	0.001	mg/L	0.011	0.032	0.017	0.010
Zinc	0.005	mg/L	0.038	0.061	0.054	0.024

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix			TRIP SPIKE Water	TRIP BLANK Water
Eurofins Sample No.			S21-Fe16149	S21-Fe16150
Date Sampled			Feb 08, 2021	Feb 08, 2021
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit		
BTEX				
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total*	0.003	mg/L	-	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	-	102
BTEX				
Benzene	1	%	95	-
Ethylbenzene	1	%	100	-
m&p-Xylenes	1	%	110	-
o-Xylene	1	%	100	-
Toluene	1	%	100	-
Xylenes - Total	1	%	110	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	106	-



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Eurofins Suite B1			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Sydney	Feb 09, 2021	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
BTEX	Sydney	Feb 09, 2021	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Feb 09, 2021	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Feb 09, 2021	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)	Melbourne	Feb 10, 2021	7 Days
Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water (trace)			
Metals M8	Sydney	Feb 15, 2021	180 Days

- Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS

	eurofi	ns			Australia									New Zealand	
••••	Curon	Env	vironment	Testing	Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong South VIC 3 Phone : +61 3 8564 500 NATA # 1261	175 1 0 L	ydney Jnit F3, E 6 Mars F ane Cov Phone : +	Building Road e West 61 2 99	F NSW 2 900 840	E 1 2066 F	Brisbane /21 Smallwood Place /lurarrie QLD 4172 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600 IATA # 1261 Site # 20794	Perth 2/91 Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600 NATA # 1261	Newcastle 4/52 Industrial Drive Mayfield East NSW 2304 PO Box 60 Wickham 2293 Phone : +61 2 4968 8448	Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Phone : +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ # 1327	Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Phone : 0800 856 450 IANZ # 1290
ABN: 5	0 005 085 521 web:	www.eurofins.com.a	u email: EnviroSale	es@eurofins.com	Site # 1254 & 14271	N	IATA # 1	261 Sit	te # 182	217		Site # 23736			
Co Ad	mpany Name: dress:	Constructio 2/4 Kellogg Glendennin NSW 2761	n Sciences P/L Rd g	_ (Glendennin	g)		Oi Re Pi Fa	der Neport none: nx:	No.: #:	-	772571 02 9854 1700		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Feb 8, 2021 3:52 F Feb 15, 2021 5 Day Jessica Brodie	M
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	DSI SUMM Z0791EV.P	ER HILL .117										Eurofins Analytical	Services Manager : I	Jrsula Long
		S	ample Detail			Metals M8	BTEX	Eurofins Suite B1	BTEX	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)					
Melb	ourne Laborato	ory - NATA Sit	e # 1254 & 142	271							_				
Sydı	ney Laboratory	- NATA Site #	18217			X	X	Х	X	X	4				
Bris	bane Laborator	y - NATA Site	# 20794								4				
Pert	h Laboratory - N	NATA Site # 23	736								4				
May	ield Laboratory	/									-				
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID						_				
1	MW01	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16145	х		Х		X	1				
2	MW02	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16146	Х		Х	1	Х	1				
3	MW03	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16147	Х		Х		Х	1				
4	QC101	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16148	Х				X]				
5	TRIP SPIKE	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16149				Х]				
6	TRIP BLANK	Feb 08, 2021		Water	S21-Fe16150		Х]				
Test	Counts					4	1	3	1	4					



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site 1. Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds
- 6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- 7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued. 9.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days. **NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	mg/L: milligrams per litre	ug/L: micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	ppb: Parts per billion	%: Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units	MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms	
Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
сос	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
СР	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- 1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- 3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- 4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported 5. in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- 6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- 9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.



Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code				
Method Blank										
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions										
TRH C6-C9	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass					
TRH C10-C14	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass					
TRH C15-C28	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass					
TRH C29-C36	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass					
Method Blank		1								
втех										
Benzene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Toluene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
m&p-Xylenes	mg/L	< 0.002		0.002	Pass					
o-Xylene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Xylenes - Total*	mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass					
Method Blank		1								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions										
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass					
TRH C6-C10	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass					
TRH >C10-C16	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass					
TRH >C16-C34	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass					
TRH >C34-C40	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass					
Method Blank		1								
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)										
Acenaphthene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Acenaphthylene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Chrysene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Fluorene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Phenanthrene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001		0.00001	Pass					
Method Blank		I		1	r					
Heavy Metals										
Arsenic	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0002		0.0002	Pass					
Chromium	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Copper	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Lead	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0001		0.0001	Pass					
Nickel	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass					
Zinc	mg/L	< 0.005		0.005	Pass					
LCS - % Recovery		T			1					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions										
TRH C6-C9	%	85		70-130	Pass					


Test			Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
TRH C10-C14			%	100		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1		F		
BTEX								
Benzene			%	89		70-130	Pass	
Toluene			%	94		70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene			%	91		70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes			%	93		70-130	Pass	
o-Xylene			%	93		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total*			%	93		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions						
Naphthalene			%	84		70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10			%	85		70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16			%	101		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery				1				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(Trace level)							
Acenaphthene			%	127		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene			%	128		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene			%	84		70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene			%	91		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene			%	72		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene			%	88		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene			%	105		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene			%	95		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene			%	125		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene			%	101		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene			%	128		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene			%	125		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene			%	103		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene			%	102		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene			%	129		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene			%	124		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery								
Heavy Metals			-					
Arsenic			%	102		80-120	Pass	
Cadmium			%	103		80-120	Pass	
Chromium			%	102		80-120	Pass	
Copper			%	98		80-120	Pass	
Lead			%	98		80-120	Pass	
Mercury			%	117		80-120	Pass	
Nickel			%	100		80-120	Pass	
Zinc			%	98		80-120	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Spike - % Recovery				1	1	1		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1				
TRH C10-C14	M21-Fe23480	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery					1	1		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1				
TRH >C10-C16	M21-Fe23480	NCP	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery				1		1		
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(Trace level)			Result 1				
Acenaphthene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	88		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	



Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Benz(a)anthracene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	96			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	83			70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	116			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	116			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	118			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	S21-Fe13565	NCP	%	113			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals				Result 1					
Arsenic	S21-Fe16148	CP	%	104			75-125	Pass	
Cadmium	S21-Fe16148	CP	%	102			75-125	Pass	
Chromium	S21-Fe16148	CP	%	101			75-125	Pass	
Copper	S21-Fe16148	CP	%	98			75-125	Pass	
Lead	S21-Fe16148	CP	%	97			75-125	Pass	
Mercury	S21-Fe16148	СР	%	115			75-125	Pass	
Nickel	S21-Fe16148	СР	%	98			75-125	Pass	
Zinc	S21-Fe16148	СР	%	98			75-125	Pass	
Teet	Lab Cample ID	QA	Unito	Deput 1			Acceptance	Pass	Qualifying
1631	Lab Sample ID	Source	Units	Result 1			Limits	Limits	Code
Duplicate				I =			1	[
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	1999 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD		_	
TRH C10-C14	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
				D 14					
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons -	2013 NEPM Fract	ions		Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
IRH >C10-C16	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
1RH >C34-C40	M21-Fe25455	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons		NOR		Result 1	Result 2	RPD	0.001		
Acenaphthene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluorantnene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(k)Tiuoranthene	521-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	521-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	521-Fe13564	NCP	rng/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	S21-F013564	NOP	rng/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	521-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-ca)pyrene	521-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
	521-Fe13564	NCP	rng/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Prinenanthrene	521-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrene	S21-Fe13564	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	



Duplicate									
Heavy Metals	_			Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Arsenic	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	0.001	0.001	3.0	30%	Pass	
Cadmium	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Copper	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	0.006	0.006	2.0	30%	Pass	
Lead	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Mercury	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	0.011	0.011	1.0	30%	Pass	
Zinc	S21-Fe16145	CP	mg/L	0.038	0.040	5.0	30%	Pass	



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code Description

N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
	E1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total RTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total RTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of RTEX

F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.

Authorised by:

Ursula Long John Nguyen Joseph Edouard Vivian Wang

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final Report - this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Analytical Services Manager

Senior Analyst-Metal (NSW)

Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)

Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC)

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.



ANALYTICAL REPORT



	LABORATORY DE	- LABORATORY DETAILS				
Jessica Brodie	Manager	Huong Crawford				
CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD	Laboratory	SGS Alexandria Environmental				
31 ANVIL ROAD SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147	Address	Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015				
0436 620 611 02 8438 0310	Telephone Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0400 +61 2 8594 0499				
jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net	Email	au.environmentai.sydney@sgs.com				
10791EV.P.117 DSI Summer Hill (Not specified) 2	SGS Reference Date Received Date Reported	SE216295 R0 8/2/2021 15/2/2021				
	Jessica Brodie CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD 31 ANVIL ROAD SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147 0436 620 611 02 8438 0310 jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net 10791EV.P.117 DSI Summer Hill (Not specified) 2	Jessica Brodie Manager CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD Laboratory 31 ANVIL ROAD Address SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147 Telephone 0436 620 611 Telephone 02 8438 0310 Facsimile jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net Email 10791EV.P.117 DSI Summer Hill SGS Reference (Not specified) Date Received 2 Date Reported	LABORATORY DETAILSJessica BrodieManagerHuong CrawfordCONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTDLaboratorySGS Alexandria Environmental31 ANVIL ROADAddressUnit 16, 33 Maddox StSEVEN HILLS NSW 2147AddressUnit 16, 33 Maddox St0436 620 611Telephone+61 2 8594 040002 8438 0310Facsimile+61 2 8594 0499jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.netEmailau.environmental.sydney@sgs.com10791EV.P.117 DSI Summer HillSGS ReferenceSE216295 R0(Not specified)Date Received8/2/20212Date Reported15/2/2021			

COMMENTS

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

SIGNATORIES

Dong LIANG Metals/Inorganics Team Leader

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278 Australia t +61 2 8594 0400 Australia f +61 2 8594 0499

www.sgs.com.au



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES [AN040/AN320] Tested:

			QC102	QC202
PADAMETED	UOM	108	SOIL - 4/2/2021	SOIL - 4/2/2021
Arsenic As	ma/ka	1	32210295.001	5216295.002
Cadmium Cd	ma/ka	0.3	3	<0.3
	ing/kg	0.0	-0.0	-0.0
	mg/kg	0.5	13	11
Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	11	6.2
Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	14	9
Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	1.9	1.4
Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	5	5



SE216295 R0

Mercury in Soil [AN312] Tested: 11/2/2021

			QC102	QC202
			SOIL	SOIL
			4/2/2021	4/2/2021
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE216295.001	SE216295.002
Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	<0.05	<0.05



Moisture Content [AN002] Tested: 9/2/2021

			QC102	QC202
			SOIL	SOIL
			4/2/2021	4/2/2021
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE216295.001	SE216295.002
% Moisture	%w/w	1	18.3	15.0



METHOD	
AN002	The test is carried out by drying (at either 40°C or 105°C) a known mass of sample in a weighed evaporating basin. After fully dry the sample is re-weighed. Samples such as sludge and sediment having high percentages of moisture will take some time in a drying oven for complete removal of water.
AN040/AN320	A portion of sample is digested with nitric acid to decompose organic matter and hydrochloric acid to complete the digestion of metals. The digest is then analysed by ICP OES with metals results reported on the dried sample basis. Based on USEPA method 200.8 and 6010C.
AN040	A portion of sample is digested with Nitric acid to decompose organic matter and Hydrochloric acid to complete the digestion of metals and then filtered for analysis by ASS or ICP as per USEPA Method 200.8.
AN312	Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS in Soils: After digestion with nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide and hydrochloric acid, mercury ions are reduced by stannous chloride reagent in acidic solution to elemental mercury. This mercury vapour is purged by nitrogen into a cold cell in an atomic absorption spectrometer or mercury analyser. Quantification is made by comparing absorbances to those of the calibration standards. Reference APHA 3112/3500

FOOTNOTES -

*	NATA accreditation does not cover	- N\//	Not analysed. Not validated		Unit of Measure.
**	Indicative data, theoretical holding	IS	Insufficient sample for analysis.	t↓	Raised/lowered Limit of
***	time exceeded. Indicates that both * and ** apply.	LNR	Sample listed, but not received.		Reporting.

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- a. 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- b. 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: <u>www.sgs.com.au/en-gb/environment-health-and-safety</u>.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.



STATEMENT OF QA/QC PERFORMANCE

CLIENT DETAILS		LABORATORY DETAILS	
Contact	Jessica Brodie	Manager	Huong Crawford
Client	CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD	Laboratory	SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address	31 ANVIL ROAD SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147	Address	Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015
Telephone	0436 620 611	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile	02 8438 0310	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499
Email	jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com
Project	10791EV.P.117 DSI Summer Hill	SGS Reference	SE216295 R0
Order Number	(Not specified)	Date Received	08 Feb 2021
Samples	2	Date Reported	15 Feb 2021

COMMENTS

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document. This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report. The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

All Data Quality Objectives were met with the exception of the following:

Analysis Date Moisture Content 2 items Duplicate Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES 1 item

SAMPLE SUMMARY	-
----------------	---

Samples clearly labelled Sample container provider Samples received in correct containers Date documentation received Samples received in good order Sample temperature upon receipt Turnaround time requested

Yes Other Lab Yes 8/2/2021 Yes 20.0°C Standard

Complete documentation received Sample cooling method Sample counts by matrix Type of documentation received Samples received without headspace Sufficient sample for analysis

Yes Ice Bricks 2 Soil COC Yes Yes

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278

Environment, Health and Safety

Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd BC Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia t +61 2 8594 0400 Australia

www.sgs.com.au f +61 2 8594 0499

Member of the SGS Group



SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the sampled date is not supplied then compliance with criteria cannot be determined. If the received date is after one or both due dates then holding time will fail by default.

								ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN312	
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed	
QC102	SE216295.001	LB218453	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	04 Mar 2021	11 Feb 2021	04 Mar 2021	15 Feb 2021	
QC202	SE216295.002	LB218453	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	04 Mar 2021	11 Feb 2021	04 Mar 2021	15 Feb 2021	
Moisture Content Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]ANG									
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed	
QC102	SE216295.001	LB218246	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	18 Feb 2021	09 Feb 2021	14 Feb 2021	15 Feb 2021†	
QC202	SE216295.002	LB218246	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	18 Feb 2021	09 Feb 2021	14 Feb 2021	15 Feb 2021†	
)-[ENV]AN040/AN320	
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed	
QC102	SE216295.001	LB218441	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	03 Aug 2021	11 Feb 2021	03 Aug 2021	15 Feb 2021	
QC202	SE216295.002	LB218441	04 Feb 2021	08 Feb 2021	03 Aug 2021	11 Feb 2021	03 Aug 2021	15 Feb 2021	



SURROGATES

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No surrogates were required for this job.



METHOD BLANKS

SE216295 R0

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

				Method: ME-(AU)-[ENV]A
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB218453.001	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	<0.05

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB218441.001	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	<1
	Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3
	Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	<0.5
	Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	<1
	Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	<2



Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may give a different calculated RPD.

						ENVJAN31		
Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE216245.007	LB218453.014	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	0.09	0.09	85	6
SE216264.006	LB218453.022	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	<0.05	0.06	131	13

Moisture Conter

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE216218.002	LB218246.011	% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.6	6.6	44	14
SE216218.006	LB218246.016	% Moisture	%w/w	1	7.7	7.6	43	2

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE216245.006	LB218441.014	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	1	1	99	1
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	178	0
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	3.1	3.5	45	12
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	19	18	33	2
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	1.0	1.0	82	2
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	48	53	32	10
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	460	140	31	108 ②
SE216264.006	LB218441.023	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	5	5	50	4
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	<0.3	<0.3	200	0
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	6.8	6.3	38	7
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	49	54	31	10
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	24	19	32	22
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	18	18	36	1
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	84	79	32	7



Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

								J)-[ENV]AN312
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	s L	.OR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB218453.002	Mercury	mg/kg	0.	05	0.20	0.2	70 - 130	100

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOES

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB218441.002	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	360	318.22	80 - 120	112
	Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	4.9	5.41	80 - 120	90
	Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	45	38.31	80 - 120	117
	Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	330	290	80 - 120	114
	Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	200	187	80 - 120	107
	Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	98	89.9	80 - 120	109
	Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	300	273	80 - 120	109



MATRIX SPIKES

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

								J)-[ENV]AN312
QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE216295.001	LB218453.004	Mercury	mg/kg	0.05	0.21	<0.05	0.2	94

Total Recoverable Elements in Soil/Waste Solids/Materials by ICPOE

QC Sample	Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Original	Spike	Recovery%
SE216295.001	LB218441.004	Arsenic, As	mg/kg	1	51	3	50	96
		Cadmium, Cd	mg/kg	0.3	45	<0.3	50	89
		Chromium, Cr	mg/kg	0.5	62	13	50	99
		Copper, Cu	mg/kg	0.5	65	11	50	107
		Nickel, Ni	mg/kg	0.5	54	1.9	50	103
		Lead, Pb	mg/kg	1	60	14	50	93
		Zinc, Zn	mg/kg	2	56	5	50	102



Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.



Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: https://www.sgs.com.au/~/media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022 QA QC Plan.pdf

- * NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.
- ** Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
- *** Indicates that both * and ** apply.
- Sample not analysed for this analyte.
- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received.
- LOR Limit of reporting.
- QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
- QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
- ② RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
- ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
- 6 LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
- O LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
- Image: Image:
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- [®] LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
- t Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

рапу	Construction Sciences		Projec	Ne	10	791EV.	P.117				Pro Man	ject ager	Jes	nice Bri	odie		Sampler(5) Jessica Brodie
			Project 1	lame	D	SI Sumr	ner Hill				EDD F ESdat	ormat EQuiS	Esc	dat			Handed over by Jessics Brodie
ress	31 Anvil Road, Seven Hills NSW 2147									Ī		-					Email for Annia Andre Sector Annia
t Name	Jessica Brodie		front in the														Email for annaholis formation and Results
ne Ne	439516172		The second														Containers Charge container type & size if Default will be 5 days if not necessary
lirections			And the second s	E	ă							ę	Dark		001%)		
			Contraction of the second s	Metals	TRHBI	PAH	00	80	Phenc	CEC	1	Ashesto	Trip Spikel		Vathentine (I)	Hold	A Galden MA Galden M
e Order			E R														nL Plants nL Plants Amber 0 Amber 0 PFAS B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B
IU NE		Sampled	Hateis														500r 2500 1251 2500mL 300mL Jue (Gi beetos J
	Client Sample ID	Date/Time dd/mm/yy bh.mm	Splid (S) Water (W)														Jangerous Goods Ha Warning
	BH01-0.0-0.1	42.21	5	x	x	x	x	x	x						x		
	BH01-0.5-0.8	42.21				x									x		
	BH01-1.8-1.2	4221													x		
	BH01-1.5-1.7	42.21		x	x	x											
	BH01-2.0-2.2	4221		2	1				-							x	
	BH024.0-0.1	4221		X	x	X			-	-				-	X		
	BH03-WW01-0.0-0.1	4221			x	x	X	x	-	-		-		_	x		
	BH03-MW01-1.0-1.2	4221		-	^	1	-		-		-	-	_		×		
	BH03-WW01-2.5-2.7	4221				-			-							x	
	BH03-MW01-3.7-3.9	42.21							-	x	x						
	BH04-0.0-0.1	42.21			x										x		SGS EHS Sydney COC
	BH04-0.3-0.6	42.21		x		x			5						x		CE246205
	BH04-2.9-3.0	42.21			_												3E210295
	BH05-WW02-0.0-0.1	42.21			X	x									x		
	BH05-MW02-1.0-1.2	4221			x	7180			-				_		x	_	
	BH05-MW02-3-5-2.7	4221		X		x			-								
	BH05-0.0-0.1	42.21		-	x			-	-	×	*	-	-		v		
	BH06-0.5-0.8	4221		x		x		-	-				-	-	x		
	BH06-1.0-1.2	42.21							-				-		-	x	
	BH05-2.5-2.7	4221														x	
	BH06-3.5-3.7	42.21		x		x											Please amend ID on re BH06-3.7-3.8
	BH07-0.0-0.1	42.21					x	x	x						x		
	BH07-0.8-1.0	4.2.21		x	x	x									x		
	BH07-1.5-1.7	42.21														x	
	BH07-1.8-2.0	42.21														x	
	BH07-2.5-2.7	42.21		X		X						_	_	-			
	BHUB-BWW03-0.0-0.1	42.21		X	X	X			-			-		_	X		
	BH05-WW03-1 8-2.0	4221		Y		×					-	-	-	-		-	
	QC101	42.21		x	^				-		-		-				
1	QC102	42.21		x	-								-		-		Please send to SGS
	QC201	4221		x													
2	QC202	42.21		x													Please send to SGS
	Trip Spike/Blank	4221											x				
	BH02-0.8-PACM	4221										x					
					_											_	
	no 0-	ein in		~				16									00.



ANALYTICAL REPORT



CLIENT DETAILS		LABORATORY DE	TAILS	
Contact Client Address	Jessica Brodie CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD 31 ANVIL ROAD SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147	Manager Laboratory Address	Huong Crawford SGS Alexandria Environmental Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015	
Telephone	0436 620 611	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400	
Facsimile	02 8438 0310	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499	
Email	jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com	
Project	20791EV.P.117 DS1 Summer Hill	SGS Reference	SE216282 R0	
Order Number	(Not specified)	Date Received	9/2/2021	
Samples	1	Date Reported	16/2/2021	

COMMENTS -

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. NATA accredited laboratory 2562(4354).

SIGNATORIES

Dong LIANG Metals/Inorganics Team Leader

Kamrul AHSAN Senior Chemist

Teresa NGUYEN Organic Chemist

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278

Environment, Health and Safety

Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd BC Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia t +61 2 8594 0400 Australia f +61 2 8594 0499

www.sgs.com.au



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

SE216282 R0

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water [AN420] Tested: 15/2/2021

			QC102
			WAIER
			8/2/2021
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE216282.001
Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
2-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
1-methylnaphthalene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)anthracene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
Total PAH (18)	µg/L	1	<1



Trace Metals (Dissolved) in Water by ICPMS [AN318] Tested: 9/2/2021

			QC102
			WATER
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	- 8/2/2021 SE216282.001
Arsenic, As	μg/L	1	1
Cadmium, Cd	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
Copper, Cu	μg/L	1	1
Chromium, Cr	µg/L	1	<1
Nickel, Ni	μg/L	1	9
Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	<1
Zinc, Zn	µg/L	5	24



ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Mercury (dissolved) in Water [AN311(Perth)/AN312] Tested: 10/2/2021

			QC102
			WATER
			8/2/2021
PARAMETER	UOM	LOR	SE216282.001
Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	<0.0001



METHOD	METHODOLOGY SUMMARY
AN020	Unpreserved water sample is filtered through a 0.45µm membrane filter and acidified with nitric acid similar to APHA3030B.
AN311(Perth)/AN312	Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS in Waters: Mercury ions are reduced by stannous chloride reagent in acidic solution to elemental mercury. This mercury vapour is purged by nitrogen into a cold cell in an atomic absorption spectrometer or mercury analyser. Quantification is made by comparing absorbances to those of the calibration standards. Reference APHA 3112/3500.
AN318	Determination of elements at trace level in waters by ICP-MS technique,, referenced to USEPA 6020B and USEPA 200.8 (5.4).
AN420	(SVOCs) including OC, OP, PCB, Herbicides, PAH, Phthalates and Speciated Phenols (etc) in soils, sediments and waters are determined by GCMS/ECD technique following appropriate solvent extraction process (Based on USEPA 3500C and 8270D).

- FOOTNOTES -

*	NATA accreditation does not cover	-	Not analysed.	UOM	Unit of Measure.
**	Indicative data theoretical holding	IS	Not validated.	LOR	Limit of Reporting. Raised/lowered Limit of
	time exceeded.	LNR	Sample listed, but not received.	14	Reporting.
***	Indicates that both * and ** apply.				

Unless it is reported that sampling has been performed by SGS, the samples have been analysed as received. Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

Where "Total" analyte groups are reported (for example, Total PAHs, Total OC Pesticides) the total will be calculated as the sum of the individual analytes, with those analytes that are reported as <LOR being assumed to be zero. The summed (Total) limit of reporting is calculated by summing the individual analyte LORs and dividing by two. For example, where 16 individual analytes are being summed and each has an LOR of 0.1 mg/kg, the "Totals" LOR will be 1.6 / 2 (0.8 mg/kg). Where only 2 analytes are being summed, the "Total" LOR will be the sum of those two LORs.

Some totals may not appear to add up because the total is rounded after adding up the raw values.

If reported, measurement uncertainty follow the ± sign after the analytical result and is expressed as the expanded uncertainty calculated using a coverage factor of 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%, unless stated otherwise in the comments section of this report.

Results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, radionuclide or gross radioactivity concentrations are expressed in becquerel (Bq) per unit of mass or volume or per wipe as stated on the report. Becquerel is the SI unit for activity and equals one nuclear transformation per second.

Note that in terms of units of radioactivity:

- a. 1 Bq is equivalent to 27 pCi
- b. 37 MBq is equivalent to 1 mCi

For results reported for samples tested under test methods with codes starting with ARS-SOP, less than (<) values indicate the detection limit for each radionuclide or parameter for the measurement system used. The respective detection limits have been calculated in accordance with ISO 11929.

The QC and MU criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QAQC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: <u>www.sgs.com.au/en-gb/environment-health-and-safety</u>.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This report must not be reproduced, except in full.



STATEMENT OF QA/QC PERFORMANCE

CLIENT DETAILS		LABORATORY DETAILS	
Contact	Jessica Brodie	Manager	Huong Crawford
Client	CONSTRUCTION SCIENCES PTY LTD	Laboratory	SGS Alexandria Environmental
Address	31 ANVIL ROAD SEVEN HILLS NSW 2147	Address	Unit 16, 33 Maddox St Alexandria NSW 2015
Telephone	0436 620 611	Telephone	+61 2 8594 0400
Facsimile	02 8438 0310	Facsimile	+61 2 8594 0499
Email	jessica.brodie@constructionsciences.net	Email	au.environmental.sydney@sgs.com
Project	20791EV.P.117 DS1 Summer Hill	SGS Reference	SE216282 R0
Order Number	(Not specified)	Date Received	09 Feb 2021
Samples	1	Date Reported	16 Feb 2021

COMMENTS

All the laboratory data for each environmental matrix was compared to SGS' stated Data Quality Objectives (DQO). Comments arising from the comparison were made and are reported below.

The data relating to sampling was taken from the Chain of Custody document. This QA/QC Statement must be read in conjunction with the referenced Analytical Report. The Statement and the Analytical Report must not be reproduced except in full.

All Data Quality Objectives were met (within the SGS Alexandria Environmental laboratory).

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Samples clearly labelled Sample container provider Samples received in correct containers Date documentation received Samples received in good order Sample temperature upon receipt Turnaround time requested Yes Other Lab Yes 9/2/2021 Yes 8.3°C Standard

Complete documentation received Sample cooling method Sample counts by matrix Type of documentation received Samples received without headspace Sufficient sample for analysis Yes Ice Bricks 1 Water COC Yes Yes

SGS Australia Pty Ltd ABN 44 000 964 278 Environment, Health and Safety

Unit 16 33 Maddox St PO Box 6432 Bourke Rd BC Alexandria NSW 2015 Alexandria NSW 2015 Australia t +61 2 8594 0400 Australia f +61 2 8594 0499

t +61 2 8594 0400 www.sgs.com.au f +61 2 8594 0499

16/2/2021

Member of the SGS Group



HOLDING TIME SUMMARY

SGS holding time criteria are drawn from current regulations and are highly dependent on sample container preservation as specified in the SGS "Field Sampling Guide for Containers and Holding Time" (ref: GU-(AU)-ENV.001). Soil samples guidelines are derived from NEPM "Schedule B(3) Guideline on Laboratory Analysis of Potentially Contaminated Soils". Water sample guidelines are derived from "AS/NZS 5667.1 : 1998 Water Quality - sampling part 1" and APHA "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 21st edition 2005.

Extraction and analysis holding time due dates listed are calculated from the date sampled, although holding times may be extended after laboratory extraction for some analytes. The due dates are the suggested dates that samples may be held before extraction or analysis and still be considered valid.

Extraction and analysis dates are shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria. If the sampled date is not supplied then compliance with criteria cannot be determined. If the received date is after one or both due dates then holding time will fail by default.

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
QC102	SE216282.001	LB218289	08 Feb 2021	09 Feb 2021	08 Mar 2021	10 Feb 2021	08 Mar 2021	10 Feb 2021
PAH (Polynuclear Aroma	itic Hydrocarbons) in Water							ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN420
Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
QC102	SE216282.001	LB218582	08 Feb 2021	09 Feb 2021	15 Feb 2021	15 Feb 2021	27 Mar 2021	15 Feb 2021
								ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318
Sample Name	Sample No	OC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Duo	Analysed

Sample Name	Sample No.	QC Ref	Sampled	Received	Extraction Due	Extracted	Analysis Due	Analysed
QC102	SE216282.001	LB218200	08 Feb 2021	09 Feb 2021	07 Aug 2021	09 Feb 2021	07 Aug 2021	10 Feb 2021



SURROGATES

Surrogate results are evaluated against upper and lower limit criteria established in the SGS QA/QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). At least two of three routine level soil sample surrogate spike recoveries for BTEX/VOC are to be within 70-130% where control charts have not been developed and within the established control limits for charted surrogates. Matrix effects may void this as an acceptance criterion. Water sample surrogate spike recoveries are to be within 40-130%. The presence of emulsions, surfactants and particulates may void this as an acceptance criterion.

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

		E-(AU)-[ENV]AN420			
Parameter	Sample Name	Sample Number	Units	Criteria	Recovery %
2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	QC102	SE216282.001	%	40 - 130%	70
d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	QC102	SE216282.001	%	40 - 130%	86
d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	QC102	SE216282.001	%	40 - 130%	64



METHOD BLANKS

Blank results are evaluated against the limit of reporting (LOR), for the chosen method and its associated instrumentation, typically 2.5 times the statistically determined method detection limit (MDL).

Result is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

Chromium, Cr

Copper, Cu

Lead, Pb

Nickel, Ni

Zinc, Zn

				ENVJAN311(Perth)/AN312
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB218289.001	Mercury	mg/L	0.0001	<0.0001

PAH (Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons) in Water

Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB218582.001	Naphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	2-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	1-methylnaphthalene	µg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthylene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Acenaphthene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Fluorene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Phenanthrene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Anthracene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Fluoranthene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Pyrene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Chrysene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Dibenzo(ah)anthracene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	μg/L	0.1	<0.1
Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	%	-	66
	2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	%	-	70
	d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	%	-	90
				lethod: ME-(AU)-[ENV]AN318
Sample Number	Parameter	Units	LOR	Result
LB218200.001	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	<1
	Cadmium, Cd	μg/L	0.1	<0.1

<1

<1

<1

<1

<5

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

1

1

1

1

5



Duplicates are calculated as Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifier when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

NOTE: The RPD reported is calculated from the unrounded data for the original and replicate result. Manual calculation of the RPD from the rounded data reported may give a different calculated RPD.

								[ENV]AN318
Original	Duplicate	Parameter	Units	LOR	Original	Duplicate	Criteria %	RPD %
SE216275.007	LB218200.014	Arsenic, As	µg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Cadmium, Cd	μg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	200	0
		Chromium, Cr	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Copper, Cu	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Lead, Pb	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Nickel, Ni	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Zinc, Zn	μg/L	5	<5	<5	200	0
SE216282.001	LB218200.016	Arsenic, As	μg/L	1	1	1	84	4
		Cadmium, Cd	μg/L	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	192	0
		Chromium, Cr	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Copper, Cu	μg/L	1	1	1	86	3
		Lead, Pb	μg/L	1	<1	<1	200	0
		Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	9	9	26	3
		Zinc, Zn	μg/L	5	24	24	36	0



Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) results are evaluated against an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into the control during the sample preparation stage, producing a percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA /QC plan (Ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended dagger symbol (†) when outside suggested criteria.

PAH (Polynuclear A	romatic Hydroci							U)-[ENV]AN42(
Sample Number		Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB218582.002		Naphthalene	μg/L	0.1	26	40	60 - 140	65
		Acenaphthylene	μg/L	0.1	29	40	60 - 140	73
		Acenaphthene	μg/L	0.1	35	40	60 - 140	87
		Phenanthrene	μg/L	0.1	37	40	60 - 140	92
		Anthracene	μg/L	0.1	37	40	60 - 140	92
		Fluoranthene	μg/L	0.1	35	40	60 - 140	88
		Pyrene	μg/L	0.1	40	40	60 - 140	101
		Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.1	40	40	60 - 140	99
	Surrogates	d5-nitrobenzene (Surrogate)	μg/L	-	0.3	0.5	40 - 130	60
		2-fluorobiphenyl (Surrogate)	μg/L	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	70
		d14-p-terphenyl (Surrogate)	μg/L	-	0.4	0.5	40 - 130	84
								AU)-[ENV]AN31
Sample Number		Parameter	Units	LOR	Result	Expected	Criteria %	Recovery %
LB218200.002		Arsenic, As	μg/L	1	19	20	80 - 120	96
		Cadmium, Cd	μg/L	0.1	21	20	80 - 120	105
		Chromium, Cr	μg/L	1	22	20	80 - 120	109
		Copper, Cu	µg/L	1	23	20	80 - 120	114
		Lead, Pb	µg/L	1	20	20	80 - 120	99
		Nickel, Ni	µg/L	1	22	20	80 - 120	110
		Zinc, Zn	ua/L	5	22	20	80 - 120	108



MATRIX SPIKES

Matrix Spike (MS) results are evaluated as the percentage recovery of an expected result, typically the concentration of analyte spiked into a field sub-sample during the sample preparation stage. The original sample's result is subtracted from the sub-sample result before determining the percentage recovery. The criteria applied to the percentage recovery is established in the SGS QA/QC plan (ref: MP-(AU)-[ENV]QU-022). For more information refer to the footnotes in the concluding page of this report.

Recovery is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

QC Sample Sample Number Parameter Units LOR



Matrix spike duplicates are calculated as Relative Percent Difference (RPD) using the formula: RPD = | OriginalResult - ReplicateResult | x 100 / Mean

The original result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike. The Duplicate result is the analyte concentration of the matrix spike duplicate.

The RPD is evaluated against the Maximum Allowable Difference (MAD) criteria and can be graphically represented by a curve calculated from the Statistical Detection Limit (SDL) and Limiting Repeatability (LR) using the formula: MAD = 100 x SDL / Mean + LR

Where the Maximum Allowable Difference evaluates to a number larger than 200 it is displayed as 200.

RPD is shown in Green when within suggested criteria or Red with an appended reason identifer when outside suggested criteria. Refer to the footnotes section at the end of this report for failure reasons.

No matrix spike duplicates were required for this job.



Samples analysed as received.

Solid samples expressed on a dry weight basis.

QC criteria are subject to internal review according to the SGS QA/QC plan and may be provided on request or alternatively can be found here: https://www.sgs.com.au/~/media/Local/Australia/Documents/Technical Documents/MP-AU-ENV-QU-022 QA QC Plan.pdf

- * NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service.
- ** Indicative data, theoretical holding time exceeded.
- *** Indicates that both * and ** apply.
- Sample not analysed for this analyte.
- IS Insufficient sample for analysis.
- LNR Sample listed, but not received.
- LOR Limit of reporting.
- QFH QC result is above the upper tolerance.
- QFL QC result is below the lower tolerance.
- ① At least 2 of 3 surrogates are within acceptance criteria.
- ② RPD failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- ③ Results less than 5 times LOR preclude acceptance criteria for RPD.
- ④ Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to matrix interference.
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to the presence of significant concentration of analyte (i.e. the concentration of analyte exceeds the spike level).
- 6 LOR was raised due to sample matrix interference.
- O LOR was raised due to dilution of significantly high concentration of analyte in sample.
- Image: Image:
- Recovery failed acceptance criteria due to sample heterogeneity.
- [®] LOR was raised due to high conductivity of the sample (required dilution).
- t Refer to relevant report comments for further information.

This document is issued by the Company under its General Conditions of Service accessible at <u>www.sqs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx</u>. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein.

Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client only. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

LI Brisbane Laboratory LI Perth Laboratory LI Melbourne Laboratory L Sydney Laboratory 10 CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD Unit F3 BlduF 16 Mars Road Lane Cove West NSW 2000 8 Monteney Road Dandenong South VIC 3175 Unit 1.21 Smallwood Place Muranie QLD 4172 Unit 2 BT Leach Highway Kewdale WA 6105 Guerden Level Adda for cost rate for 02 9900 8400 EnvedSampleNSWgbeuroRns.com 07 3902 4800 EnviroSampleQLD@euroTre.com 06 9251 9600 EnviroSampleWA@warofins.com 03 8564 5000 EnviroSampleVo@eurofins.com Construction sciences Project Manager Jessica Brodie 20791 EV. P. 117 Sampler(s) Project Ne Jessica Bradic Company 2/4 Kelloog rd, Rooty Hill EDD Form Sdat, EQui-etc 11 11 DSI Summer Project Name Handed over by ESda Address tessica. Broglie (2) Constructionsciences Email for , not Jessica Brodie 0468718810 Email for N (A) Contact Name Results Containers Change container type & size if Required Turnaround Time (TAT Default will be 5 days if not licked. race Phone NE stantane of red Oversight importing by Berlie Special Directions Li tere day & Li 1 day & 0 LI 2 mart 1 3 mart ultra ar (Glass or HDPE) 200mL Amber Glass 500mL PFAS Bottle WA 40mL VOA vial 125mL Plastic 500mL Plastic 250mL Plastic Stays (Sanderd Purchase Order 8 os AS4964, Lion Quote ID NE Netal Sampled Date/Time Matrix Solid (S) Water (W) Sample Comments / Dangerous Goods Hazard Warning Other (Asb **Client Sample ID** A MWOI 8/2/0 W SGS EHS Sydney COC 11 SE216282 11 MW07 11 11 X MWOB QCIOI ((56 (1) 102 11 10 111 10 х GTV 812/21 3:52pm 09/02/21 0115 772571

and a state of page 6 505 Per 582 8092_COC

APPENDIX G DATA QUALITY INDICATORS



An assessment of performance against the data quality indicators (DQI) is set out in the tables below.

Completeness DQI

Field Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Experienced sampling team used		Yes	Pass
Sampling devices and equipment set out in sampling plan were used	Yes	Yes	Pass
Critical locations in sampling plan, sampled	Yes	Yes	Pass
Critical samples in sampling plan, collected	Yes	Yes	Pass
Completed field logs attached	Yes	Yes	Pass
Completed calibration logs attached.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Completed sample COC attached	Yes	Yes	Pass
Laboratory Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Complete SRA and COA attached	Yes	Yes	Pass
Critical samples identified in sampling plan, analysed	Yes	Yes	Pass
Analysis undertaken addresses COPC in sampling plan	Yes	Yes	Pass
Analytical methods reported in laboratory documentation and appropriate LOR used	Yes	Yes	Pass
Sample holding times met	Yes	Yes	Pass

The data is considered to be adequately complete.

Comparability DQI

Field Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Same sampling team used for all work.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Weather conditions suitable for sampling.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Relevant samples stored in insulated containers and chilled.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Laboratory Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Same laboratory used for all analysis.	Yes	Yes	Pass


Comparable methods if different laboratories used.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comparable LORs if different laboratories used.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Comparable units of measure if different laboratories used.	N/A	N/A	N/A

The data is considered to be adequately comparable.

Representativeness DQI

Field Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Media identified in sampling plan, sampled.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Samples required by sampling plan, collected.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Laboratory Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Samples identified in sampling plan, analysed.	Yes	Yes	Pass

The data is considered to be adequately representative.

Precision DQI

Field Considerations	Terret	A atual	
Based on Table 4 of AS 4482.1-2005	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
Minimum 5% duplicates collected and analysed.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Minimum 5% triplicates collected and analysed.	Yes	Yes	Pass
Minimum 10% duplicates collected and analysed (where PFAS is a COPC)	N/A	N/A	N/A
RPD unlimited where detected concentrations are <10 times the LOR.	Yes	Comment	Samples were not homogenised prior to splitting, as volatiles were identified as a COPC. The RPD exceedances are considered likely attributable to heterogeneity in each of the discrete soil samples. As a conservative measure, the samples reporting the higher detected concentration of relevant analytes should be used when assessing the classification of the waste. Performance against DQI considered acceptable
RPD within 30% where detected concentrations are 10-20 times the LOR.	Yes	Comment	Samples were not homogenised prior to splitting, as volatiles were identified as a COPC. The RPD exceedances are considered likely attributable to heterogeneity in each of the discrete soil samples. As a conservative measure, the samples reporting the higher detected concentration of relevant



			analytes should be used when assessing the classification of the waste. Performance against DQI considered acceptable
RPD within 50% where detected concentrations are >20 times the LOR.	Yes	Comment	Samples were not homogenised prior to splitting, as volatiles were identified as a COPC. The RPD exceedances are considered likely attributable to heterogeneity in each of the discrete soil samples. As a conservative measure, the samples reporting the higher detected concentration of relevant analytes should be used when assessing the classification of the waste. Performance against DQI considered acceptable
Laboratory Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment
All laboratory duplicate RPDs within laboratory acceptance criteria.	Yes	Yes	Pass RPD exceedance was reported to be outside the laboratory acceptance criterion, due to sample heterogeneity. As a conservative measure, the samples reporting the higher detected concentration of relevant analytes should be used when assessing the classification of the waste.

The data is considered to be adequately precise.

Accuracy (bias) DQI

Field Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment		
Trip blank analyte results less than LOR.	Yes	Yes	Pass		
Trip spike analyte results less between 60% and 140%.	Yes	Yes	Pass		
Rinsate blank analyte results less than LOR.	Yes	Yes	A rinsate blank was not used for this project. Re- usable sampling equipment was not used. The samples were collected either directly from the base/wall of the test pits, or from the centre of the soils in the excavator bucket, using a fresh pair of nitrile gloves for each sample. On that basis, the risk of cross contamination during sampling is considered negligible.		
Field blank analyte results less than LOR (where PFAS is a COPC),	Yes	Yes	Pass		
Laboratory Considerations	Target	Actual	Pass / Fail / Comment		
Laboratory method blank results within laboratory acceptance limits.	Yes	Yes	Pass		
Laboratory control sample results within laboratory acceptance limits.	Yes	Yes	Pass		



Laboratory spike sample	results	withinYes	Yes	Pass		
laboratory acceptance limits.						

The data is considered to be adequately accurate.

Located across Australia and New Zealand

QLD

Airlie Beenleigh Brisbane (Acacia Ridge) Brisbane (Beenleigh) Brisbane (Brendale) Brisbane (Petrie) Cairns Emerald Gladstone Gold Coast Mackay Moranbah Rockhampton Petrie Sunshine Coast Toowoomba Townsville

NSW

Ballina Coffs Harbour Grafton Lynwood Newcastle Sydney (Glendenning) Sydney (Seven Hills) Sydney (St Peters) Taree Wollongong

VIC

Ararat Bendigo Echuca Melbourne (Chadstone) Melbourne (Keysborough) Melbourne (Pakenham) Melbourne (Oaklands Junction) Melbourne (Sunshine West) Traralgon

WA

Bunbury Kalgoorlie Newman Perth Port Hedland

SA

Adelaide Port Augusta

NT

Darwin

ACT

Canberra

NZ Wellington



